

UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT
UND
INTERNATIONALE MAKROÖKONOMIK



Paul J.J. Welfens

**Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function for Open
Economies: A New Endogenous Knowledge and Output Analysis**

Diskussionsbeitrag 211
Discussion Paper 211

Europäische Wirtschaft und Internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen
European Economy and International Economic Relations

ISSN 1430-5445

Paul J.J. Welfens

Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function for Open Economies: A New Endogenous Knowledge and Output Analysis

January 2016

Herausgeber/Editor: Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Chair in European Economic Integration

EUROPÄISCHES INSTITUT FÜR INTERNATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN (EIIW)/
EUROPEAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS
Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Campus Freudenberg, Rainer-Gruenter-Straße 21,
D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany
Tel.: (0)202 – 439 13 71
Fax: (0)202 – 439 13 77
E-mail: welfens@eiiw.uni-wuppertal.de
www.eiiw.eu

JEL classification: E23, F02, F62, 011, 032

Key words: Potential Output, Innovation, Knowledge Production Function,
Macroeconomics, Globalization

Summary: The macroeconomic production function is a traditional key element of modern macroeconomics, as is the more recent knowledge production function which explains knowledge/patents by certain input factors such as research, foreign direct investment or international technology spillovers. This study is a major contribution to innovation, trade, FDI and growth analysis, namely in the form of a combination of an empirically relevant knowledge production function for open economies - with both trade and inward FDI as well as outward foreign direct investment plus research input – with a macro production function. Plugging the open economy knowledge production function into a standard macroeconomic production function yields important new insights for many fields: The estimation of the production potential in an open economy, growth decomposition analysis in the context of economic globalization and the demand for labor as well as long run international output interdependency of big countries; and this includes a view at the asymmetric case of a simple two country world in which one country is at full employment while the other is facing underutilized capacities. Finally, there are crucial implications for the analysis of broad regional integration schemes such as TTIP or TPP and a more realistic and comprehensive empirical analysis.

Zusammenfassung: Die makroökonomische Produktionsfunktion ist ein traditionelles Schlüsselement der modernen Makroökonomik, und zwar zusammen mit der Wissensproduktionsfunktion, wobei letztere über bestimmte Inputs, wie etwa die Zahl der Forscher, Direktinvestitionen oder internationale Technologie-Übertragungseffekte die Entwicklung von Wissen (Patenten) erklärt. Die vorliegende Studie ist ein grundlegender Beitrag zu Innovations-, Handels-, Direktinvestitions- und Wachstumsanalyse, und zwar in der Form einer Kombination von empirisch relevanter Wissensproduktionsfunktion für offene Volkswirtschaften – mit Direktinvestitionen im In- und Ausland bzw. Einsatz von Forschern – mit einer Makro-Produktionsfunktion. Die Wissensproduktionsfunktion wird mit einer makroökonomischen Produktionsfunktion im Inland und im Ausland verbunden. Wir erhalten ganz grundlegend neue Einsichten zur Wirtschaftsdynamik bei Globalisierung. Wichtige Schlussfolgerungen betreffen u.a. eine realitätsnahe Analyse des Produktionspotenzials und die Wachstumszerlegungsanalyse sowie die langfristige Interdependenz von Volkswirtschaften und die Arbeits- und Kapital- und Forschernachfrage plus eine neue Goldene Regel für die langfristige Maximierung des Pro-Kopf-Einkommens. Zudem sind wichtige Implikationen für die Analyse von regionalen Integrationsprojekten – wie etwa bei TTIP und TPP – zu beachten und neue Optionen für eine politikrelevante empirische Analyse.

I gratefully acknowledge discussions with and research assistance by Jens Perret and Vladimir Udalov as well as editorial assistance by David Hanrahan. Particular thanks go to Andre Jungmittag, Frankfurt School of Applied Sciences, for stimulating discussion – the reader is also referred to the companion empirical paper EIIW No. 212. The usual disclaimer applies. This paper is dedicated to the late Edward Graham, Petersen Institute for International Economics – he has conducted crucial research on foreign direct investment in the world economy.

Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Professor for European Economic Integration; Chair for Macroeconomics; President of the European Institute for International Economic Relations at the University of Wuppertal, (Rainer-Gruenter-Str. 21, D-42119 Wuppertal; +49 202 4391371), Alfred Grosser Professorship 2007/08, Sciences Po, Paris; Research Fellow, IZA, Bonn; Non-Resident Senior Fellow at AICGS/Johns Hopkins University, Washington DC

Prof. Welfens has testified before the US Senate, the German Parliament, the EP, the IMF etc.

welfens@eiiw.uni-wuppertal.de , www.eiiw.eu

EIIW 2015 = 20 years of award-winning research

Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function for Open Economies: A New Endogenous Knowledge and Output Analysis

Discussion Paper 211

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	I
List of Tables.....	II
1. Introduction	1
2. Knowledge Production Function and Macroeconomic Production Function	5
2.1 Theoretical Aspects of the Knowledge Production Function	6
3. The Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function.....	10
3.1 Output Elasticity with Respect to Foreign Knowledge . Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.	
3.2 Endogenous Growth Model..... Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.	
3.3 Golden Rule	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.
3.4 Labor Market Demand and other Macro Aspects	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.
3.5 Hybrid Medium-Term Macro Model	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.
3.6 Further Extensions	Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.
4. Policy Conclusions	11
References.....	20

Appendix	22
Optimal Choice of the Size of the R&D Sector	22
Schumpeterian CES Function	23

List of Tables

Table 1: Tab. 1: Knowledge Production Function: patent applications at the European Patent Office explained by researchers (full time equivalent), per capita GDP (PPP, constant dollars), inward FDI-GDP ratio: panel data analysis for 20 EU countries, 2002-2012; all variables in logs.....	1
--	----------

1. Introduction

International Economics is a crucial field for the understanding of output, innovation, trade, investment and employment in the world economy; and in the new setting of economic globalization, where trade, multinational investment and international innovation dynamics go together, a Schumpeterian International Economics would be particularly interesting – what this means in terms of better model building shall be explained subsequently. For the modern world economy, the available macroeconomic approaches are not really adequate if one wants to understand long term economic dynamics: The problem is that open economy models do not include foreign direct investment in a meaningful way, namely in combination with trade and research activities. This problem became eapparent, for example, in the context of the analysis of the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) where the official study for the European Commission (FRANCOIS ET AL., 2013) suggests that the economic benefits from deep transatlantic integration of the EU and the US would amount to about 0.5% of GDP – based on trade creation effects. This, however, is a rather limited view, since effects from more foreign direct investment and intensified innovation dynamics are neglected (it is true that in Chapter 6 of the Francois et al. study there is some emphasis on the expansion of multinational subsidiaries' output and employment: with expected 11% and 10% of subsidiaries' employment in the EU and the US, respectively). However, this still omits the crucial role of innovation that one may expect when the two most innovative regions of the world are establishing a transatlantic free trade area. To some extent the problem is that there is no accepted knowledge production function for open economies under economic globalization – combining trade, FDI and innovation – in an empirically relevant concept; if one had such a concept, one could combine the knowledge production function (explaining patents or knowledge A) as a function of key inputs, including the number of researchers) with the macroeconomic production function. It will be shown subsequently, that a compact formulation (with L' for researchers, X and J are exports and imports, respectively; L is population, Y is real GDP, α is the share of cumulated foreign investment in the capital stock K ; $*$ denotes a foreign variable) of an empirically significant knowledge production function is $A(L', X/L, J/L, Y^*/Y, \alpha K/Y, \alpha^* K/Y^*)$: This function basically can be restated – as shown subsequently - (y is per capita income) as $A(L', y, \alpha K/Y, \alpha^* K/Y^*)$: The latter function can be implemented empirically and, relying on the relevant findings for the EU (JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 2016) and in a similar approach for Chinese regions (CHEUNG/LIN, 2003), one can insert the knowledge production function with good reasons into a standard macroeconomic production function: based on this, one can create an endogenous growth model in a two country context and obtain very many new crucial findings that are highly relevant for firms and policymakers - as not only output dynamics are much better understood, but international innovation and regional integration dynamics as well. To adopt a Schumpeterian view of the world economy and economic globalization, respectively, is the relevant perspective here. Moreover, there are crucial implications for the analysis of broad regional integration schemes such as TTIP (or TPP) and a more realistic and comprehensive empirical analysis where the traditional emphasis on trade creation and lack of looking at FDI and innovation is an analytical shortcoming: With a broad view the economic welfare effects of the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership look different – and more favourable.

The macroeconomic production function is a key element of modern macroeconomics, as is the more recent knowledge production function which explains knowledge/patents by certain input factors such as research or international technology spillovers. This contribution gives an innovative consistent combination of the knowledge production function for open economies with both trade and inward as well as outward foreign direct investment. Macroeconomic production functions such as the Cobb-Douglas function $Y=K^{\beta}(AL)^{1-\beta}$ (where output is Y, K is capital, L is labor and A is knowledge) and the CES production function $Y = \lambda[(1-\Omega)(AL)^{-\nu} + \Omega K^{-\nu}]^{-1/\nu}$ are useful workhorses of modern Economics. For the economic analysis of a full employment economy and neoclassical economic growth models these functions are a natural element (WELFENS, 2011).

While technological progress in a neoclassical growth model falls like manna from heaven, a better approach is endogenous growth modeling – namely with knowledge explained in turn by a knowledge production function which is a familiar concept in Innovation Economics (GRILICHES, 1979; AGHION/HOWITT, 1998). The knowledge production function is a broad concept that includes key questions such as understanding the link between innovation dynamics - patent stocks and flows as well as entrepreneurial variables – and total factor productivity growth as well as the spatial aspects of R&D activities and regional innovation plus inter-regional innovation spillovers (e.g. PERRET, 2013). The focus of analysis is at first sight rather traditional, namely to look at a macroeconomic knowledge production function which has received some attention in the earlier literature (e.g. MACHLUP, 1979) and which also has complementary research strands with a focus on sectoral knowledge production functions (e.g. for Germany: BÖNTE, 2001). Open economy aspects thus far were mainly considered in the context of intermediate technology-intensive input imports and related questions relevant for total factor productivity growth (e.g. COE/HELPMAN, 1995); with respect to the empirical relevance of this concept KELLER (2000) has raised crucial objections. JUNGMITTAG (2004) has emphasized the role of trade and high-technology specialization for economic catching-up in an empirical EU context. The globalization of innovation – and hence the role of multinational companies - has received increasing attention since the beginning of the 21st century (e.g. NARULA/ZANFEI, 2005; UNCTAD, 2005, VEUGELERS, 2005), however, it has not been much considered in international macroeconomics and regional integration analysis although deep integration projects, such as the EU-US project TTIP (Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership) or the project TPP (Trans-Pacific Partnership) of the US with countries around the Pacific Basin, suggest to consider the interaction of trade, FDI, innovation and output dynamics simultaneously – and not to focus solely on trade dynamics. Given recent studies which look in a micro perspective at the link between FDI and trade or innovation and exports (e.g. DUNNING/LUNDAN, 2008; LACHENMAIER/WÖSSMANN, 2006), one may argue that there is broad empirical evidence for some links at the micro level or the sectoral level, but standard international macroeconomics has not integrated FDI, innovation and trade in a systematic way.

The special feature considered here is the simultaneous open economy focus on trade and FDI for knowledge production – and the link of knowledge dynamics with macroeconomic output dynamics. The following approach is a major analytical innovation for the case of an open economy with imports and exports of goods and services and both inward foreign direct investment and outward FDI. Applying this concept to 20 EU countries has resulted

in clear empirical evidence supporting this approach (JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 2016) as the regression analysis for patent applications shows where the exogenous variables are the number of researchers, the inward FDI stock-GDP ratio and per capita GDP (y).

Table 1: Knowledge Production Function: patent applications at the European Patent Office explained by researchers (full time equivalent), per capita GDP (PPP, constant dollars), inward FDI-GDP ratio: panel data analysis for 20 EU countries, 2002-2012; all variables in logs

Dependent Variable: LOG(PAT?)

Method: Pooled Least Squares

Date: 01/14/16 Time: 17:10

Sample: 2002 2012

Included observations: 11

Cross-sections included: 20

Total pool (unbalanced) observations: 205

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-16.75261	1.936751	-8.649851	0.0000
LOG(RDPERS?)	0.354843	0.091091	3.895492	0.0001
LOG(PGDPDOLLAR?)	1.819009	0.194151	9.369062	0.0000
LOG(FDISTOCKQ?)	0.164400	0.074407	2.209453	0.0284
Fixed Effects (Cross)				
_AT--C	0.522542			
_BE--C	0.384865			
_CZ--C	-0.911734			
_DK--C	0.118808			
_FI--C	0.432950			
_FR--C	1.701492			
_DE--C	2.471143			
_GR--C	-1.365439			
_HU--C	-0.343212			
_IE--C	-1.054935			
_IT--C	1.424113			
_LU--C	-2.833316			
_NL--C	0.804435			
_PL--C	-0.270742			
_PT--C	-1.450550			
_SK--C	-1.585013			
_SI--C	-0.856756			
_ES--C	0.238784			
_SE--C	0.739902			
_UK--C	1.326632			

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.993230	Mean dependent var	6.740298
Adjusted R-squared	0.992411	S.D. dependent var	1.795015
S.E. of regression	0.156371	Akaike info criterion	-0.767790
Sum squared resid	4.450218	Schwarz criterion	-0.394964
Log likelihood	101.6984	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-0.616991
F-statistic	1213.621	Durbin-Watson stat	1.052678
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Source: JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS (2016), Tab. 1, forthcoming

While the first two variables are standard in the literature (see e.g. CHEUNG/LIN, 2003) the per capita income is a variable that can be derived from a broader theoretical approach as explained subsequently – it can be shown that this variable partly reflects the impact of

trade intensity. Note that $dPAT/dt$ (researchers, y , FDI stock-GDP ratio) can be interpreted as a function that explains the number of new patents $dPAT/dt$; if, however, the growth rate of patents (a' , t is time index) were constant in a long run equilibrium perspective so that $dPAT/dt = a'PAT$ this approach could also explain the stock of patents: In an equilibrium model the ratio of patent applications A' to the stock of patents (A) would be constant so that the growth rate of patents is at an equilibrium: $A'/A = a'$ and hence the growth rate of patent applications will be equal to the growth rate of the stock patents.

The approach presented here suggests a consistent integration of the knowledge production function in the macroeconomic production function and it seems obvious that this two-pronged analytical perspective on knowledge and output is useful for a world economy characterized by globalization and innovation. In the US, Europe and China/ASEAN, trade and foreign direct investment have played an increasing role both in the form of inward FDI and as outward FDI (KRUGMAN/GRAHAM, 1995; UNCTAD, 2014; ADB, 2015; WORLD BANK, 2016).

The knowledge production function suggested here is straightforward and its implication for a Cobb-Douglas production function likewise – it is very interesting and allows a much better understanding of some key economic questions than previously. Plugging the knowledge production function – that is empirically robust – into a standard macroeconomic production function yields important new insights for many fields: The estimation of the production potential in an open economy, growth decomposition analysis in the context of economic globalization and the demand for labor, as well as long run international output interdependency of big countries – and this includes a view at the asymmetric case of a simple two country world in which one country is at full employment while the other is facing underutilized capacities. Estimation of the production potential is important in many ways, not least for the analysis of structural budget deficits and capacity utilization. Finally, the debate about output multipliers can be stated within the new framework in a different way than was the case in the traditional debate. Since economic globalization has continued for decades – with trade intensities and cumulated FDI inflows and FDI outflows (relative to GDP) increasing – it is important to get a better understanding of the supply-side dynamics in open economies.

There is clear evidence that over time the export-GDP ratio and the import-GDP ratio in OECD countries as well as in NICs are growing. A similar observation holds for the ratio of inward FDI stocks in OECD countries, since about 1985, and also for outward FDI stocks of OECD countries (and for some newly industrialized countries this also holds).

The following section states at first a knowledge production function which can be considered as robust with respect to OECD countries; here the intensity of exports ($x := X/Y$ where X is export volume) and the intensity of imports ($j := J/Y$; where J stands for the real import volume) as well as the share of cumulated inward FDI in the total capital stock (α^*) and the share (α) outward cumulated FDI in the foreign capital stock as well as the share of researchers in the total labor force is crucial; plus some other variables. The next step is to plug the knowledge production function into the production function and to take a closer look at some key implications, including the marginal product of labor and long run labor demand, respectively. There also are several key implications for the supply side and growth dynamics in open economies – and also selected policy implications will have to be considered.

The Schumpeterian Macro Production Function obtained from plugging the knowledge production function for an open economy brings many new insights; these include:

- a new understanding of the rather complex input factors that determine output in an open economy with inward and outward FDI, trade and research activities; this includes the complex elasticity of output with respect to foreign knowledge
- a new view on the long term interdependency of output in a two country approach
- an empirically valid endogenous growth model (with analytical solutions restricted to certain parameter conditions)
- a new solution for the golden rule
- a new view on the role of domestic and foreign real money balances for domestic full employment equilibrium output
- a clear understanding that in an economy with trade and two-way FDI the long run foreign output growth rate will be one of the determinants of long run steady state economic growth – along with specific parameters from the knowledge production function
- a better – more realistic – basis for supply-side policy actions in open economies.
- One also can easily understand that this includes opportunities for international policy cooperation.

In the subsequent analysis there is at first a new – broader – approach to the knowledge production function for an open economy and then the integrated Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function is considered; followed by some basic conclusions and long run policy implications.

2. Knowledge Production Function and Macroeconomic Production Function

Since the Industrial Revolution, the creation of new knowledge has been a key driver of economic growth. Patent protection has been the institutional innovation that has stimulated innovation in the industrial sector since the 1830s (with the temporary notable exceptions of Switzerland and Netherlands which had no patent protection for some time as an ultra-liberal position taken by society and government suggested that having no intellectual property rights would be the best way to stimulate new knowledge). The modern economy in which services are dominating in terms of value-added and employment still has a crucial industrial core and patent applications continue to be a valuable indicator for innovation dynamics – although part of innovation dynamics is covered by copyrights and, in certain fields, through the very speed of innovation waves - as is the case, for example, in part of the digital economy. As regards international trade in new knowledge, there is broad consensus amongst economists that technological information/knowledge markets are very imperfect since revealing part of knowledge for free is required in order for potential buyers to assess the economic value of the respective innovation. At the same time, there is the problem of asymmetric information and opportunistic behavior which implies rather low opportunities for patent trading; most

international exchange of new knowledge is in the form of intra-company licenses in multinational companies or cross-licensing among MNCs.

2.1 Theoretical Aspects of the Knowledge Production Function

Since the Industrial Revolution, the creation of new knowledge has been a key driver of economic growth. Patent protection has been the institutional innovation that has stimulated innovation in the industrial sector since the 1830s (with the temporary notable exceptions of Switzerland and Netherlands which had no patent protection for some time as an ultra-liberal position taken by society and government suggested that having no intellectual property rights would be the best way to stimulate new knowledge). The modern economy in which services are dominating in terms of value-added and employment still has a crucial industrial core and patent applications continue to be a valuable indicator for innovation dynamics – although part of innovation dynamics is covered by copyrights and, in certain fields, through the very speed of innovation waves - as is the case, for example, in part of the digital economy. As regards international trade in new knowledge, there is broad consensus amongst economists that technological information/knowledge markets are very imperfect since revealing part of knowledge for free is required in order for potential buyers to assess the economic value of the respective innovation. At the same time, there is the problem of asymmetric information and opportunistic behavior which implies rather low opportunities for patent trading; most international exchange of new knowledge is in the form of intra-company licenses in multinational companies or cross-licensing among MNCs.

2.2 Theoretical Aspects of the Knowledge Production Function

In an open economy it is straightforward to assume that trade intensity – proxied through X/Y or X/L and J/Y or J/L , respectively – will contribute to knowledge A (X is export volume, J is import volume; α is the share of country 1 investors' ownership of the foreign capital stock K^* ; α^* is the share of foreign ownership of the capital stock K of country 1.); on the import side, intermediate technology-intensive products in particular should contribute to raising knowledge in line with the arguments of COE/HELPMAN (1995) and a high export intensity should also put pressure on the aggregate of firms to raise knowledge, namely in line with MELITZ (2003) whose argument is that in a world with heterogeneous firms, opening up for trade will allow the most productive firms to expand through exports while the weakest firms, in terms of productivity and knowledge, respectively, will leave the market. Moreover, the size of the R&D sector (z is the share of output devoted to R&D) and the share of cumulated inward FDI relative to K (α^*) - or to Y - plus the sourcing of foreign technologies abroad through relative outward FDI stocks (proxied by $\alpha K^*/Y^*$ or $\alpha K^*/K$) should contribute to knowledge. Inward FDI stock is naturally associated with intra-company international technology transfer from the headquarter to subsidiaries; a high outward FDI stock in technologically leading OECD countries should allow to tap foreign technological progress through asset-seeking FDI – and in this context not least through regional innovation spillovers abroad as well as

through R&D projects conducted abroad. Hence, with positive parameters H , V' , V'' , V and V^* , one can state the knowledge production function as follows:

$$(1'') A = (X/L)^H (J/L)^{V'} (z''Y)^{V''} (\alpha^*K/Y)^V (\alpha K^*/Y^*)^{V^*}$$

As regards the international technology transfer from abroad one might consider alternatively to $(\alpha K^*/Y^*)$ the variable $\alpha K^*/Y$ since the asset-seeking (knowledge-seeking) cumulated outward FDI relative to the GDP of the source country of FDI could be the relevant indicator – empirical analysis has to clarify this point (note that $\alpha K^*/Y$ can be rewritten as $(\alpha K^*/Y^*)(Y^*/Y)$ so that the subsequently derived integrated Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function – with the knowledge production function integrated into the macro production function – would have to be slightly reformulated). The equation stated to some extent seems to be in line with the skeptical view of JONES (1995) who has raised some doubts about the rather optimistic view of ROMER (1990) who suggests that the number of researchers determines the growth rate of knowledge; JONES uses total factor productivity (TFP) as a measure of knowledge. Interestingly, the model presented here suggests that the foreign rate of technological progress as well as the domestic level of knowledge contribute to output expansion: A determines the level of the output growth path, but the model with an exogenous foreign growth rate of knowledge (a^*) implies that the trend growth rate of GDP in country 1 is affected by a^* . ABDIH/JOUTZ (2005) – using patents to proxy the stock of knowledge – have argued with respect to the USA that a simple knowledge production function could be stated as $dA/dt = a' L'^{a''} A^{c''}$ (t is time, L' is the number of researchers, a' , a'' and c'' are positive parameters) and the authors estimate a long run relationship: that doubling the stock of knowledge (patent stock) will raise TFP by only 10 percent in the long run.

The approach developed here follows the above equation (1'') and some standard equations from macroeconomics:

Assuming $X = xY^*$ and $J = jY$ one may write ($0 < x < 1$; $0 < j < 1$):

$$(2'') A = (xyY^*/Y)^H (jy)^{V'} (z''Y)^{V''} (\alpha^*K/Y)^V (\alpha K^*/Y^*)^{V^*}$$

The ratio of R&D workers L' to total employment L is L'/L and it is assumed (with z' denoting a positive parameter) that

$$(3'') L'/L = (1/z')z'':$$

$$(3''.1) z'' = z' L'/L$$

Hence one obtains:

$$(3'') z''Y = z'L'y$$

Real GDP (Y) is assumed to be represented by the equation $Y=(1-z'')K^\beta(AL)^{1-\beta}$ and abroad by $Y^*=(1-z''^*)K^{*\beta^*}(A^*L^*)^{1-\beta^*}$ ($0<\beta<1$; $0<\beta^*<1$); hence R&D output is considered as an intermediate input, which indeed was the standard view of the System of National Accounts until 2014. If one assumes profit maximization, and hence $\beta Y/K = r$ (r is the real interest rate and depreciation of capital is disregarded here in order to avoid tedious calculation; abroad $\beta^* Y^*/K^*=r^*$), one may rewrite the equation for the knowledge production function as follows:

$$(4'') A = (xyY^*/Y)^H (jy)^{V'} (z'L'y)^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$$

Rearranging the terms gives the fundamental formulation of the knowledge production function:

$$(5'') A = (xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$$

As can be seen, knowledge A is explained by the export-GDP ratio, the ratio Y^*/Y , the import-GDP ratio j, per capita income y, the size of the research labor force L' and the globalization parameters α^* and α , respectively (also r and r^* ; under full capital mobility one may expect $r=r^*$). One may define $H'' := H + V + V''$.

$$(6'') \ln A = H \ln x + H \ln(Y^*/Y) + V' \ln j + H'' \ln y + V'' (\ln z' + \ln L') + V (\ln \alpha^* + \ln \beta - \ln r) + V^* (\ln \alpha + \ln \beta^* - \ln r^*)$$

An empirical implementation could be – with $H' = V'' \ln z'$:

$$(7'') \ln A = H' + H \ln x + V' \ln j + H \ln(Y^*/Y) + H'' \ln y + V'' \ln L' + V (\ln \alpha^* + \ln \beta - \ln r) + V^* (\ln \alpha + \ln \beta^* - \ln r^*)$$

This is a compact theoretical basis for the empirical analysis of a knowledge production function with inward cumulated FDI and outward cumulated FDI; if one assumes, roughly in line with a Heckscher-Ohlin setting, that production technology at home is the same as abroad with respect to β and β^* ($\beta=\beta^*$), respectively, and if capital mobility brings about $r=r^*$, a setup with $V=V''$ allows to consider the simplified equation for empirical implementation (otherwise the more complex version would have to be used):

$$(8'') \ln A = H' + H \ln x + V' \ln j + H \ln(Y^*/Y) + H'' \ln y + V'' \ln L' + V \ln \alpha^* + V \ln \alpha$$

Trade globalization would show up in the form of a rise of x and j , and FDI globalization in the form of a rise of α^* and α , respectively. While this formulation of the knowledge production formation is fairly straightforward, it is *a priori* not clear how well the empirical implementation will work. It is noteworthy that one may define a global economic equilibrium through the condition that Y^*/Y as well as the parameters x , j , α , α^* should be constant. If $Y/(AL)=y'$ would be constant in a steady state situation, the implication then is – taking into account that $\ln y' = \ln y - \ln A$ – that the equation obviously implies $(1-H)d\ln A/dt = V''d\ln L'/dt$. Assuming that $0 < H < 1$, the implication then is that the long run steady state growth rate of knowledge is given by:

$$(9'') \quad d\ln A/dt = V''/(1-H)d\ln L'/dt$$

This equation can be understood easily if one assumes that the growth rate of researchers $d\ln L'/dt$ is constant, however, this implies skilled labor dynamics and human capital formation – assuming that research is skill-intensive. With respect to empirical analysis and panel data analysis, one may emphasize that OECD countries differ in the degree of two-way FDI intensity; many countries have relatively high FDI inflows, but rather small relative FDI outflows, but fixed country effects in panel data analysis should cover this and other aspects. For small open economies the analysis is rather straightforward, more complicated is the situation of international technology or macroeconomic interdependency. International technology interdependency could be related to technoglobalization (JUNGMITTAG, 2016; DACHS, 2016; DACHS ET AL., 2015) or to the oligopolistic international interdependency of multinational companies' production and R&D activities.

An important next analytical step is to then plug the basic knowledge production function (1'') $A = (X/L)^H (J/L)^{V'} (z^{\alpha} Y)^{V''} (\alpha^* K/Y)^V (\alpha K^*/Y^*)^{V^*}$ into the macroeconomic production function. Hence, export per capita X/L , import per capita J/L , the output of the R&D sector, the inward FDI stock relative to GDP and the ratio of cumulated outward FDI to foreign GDP explain knowledge. However, in a competitive environment with a Cobb Douglas function in both countries it has to be remembered that (1'') can be rearranged as (5''), namely in the following compact form $A = (xY^*/Y)^H j^V y'^{H+V'+V''} (z^{\alpha} L')^{V''} (\alpha^* \beta/r)^V (\alpha \beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$. This formulation, which shows the impact of Y^*/Y , of per capita income, of researchers and of the inward FDI capital variable as well as the outward FDI capital variable – plus x and j as indicators of trade intensity, is the key point of departure for the next section. With respect to a more general knowledge production function – and its empirical implementation – an alternative formulation of the knowledge production function could be the equation $A = (1+X/L)^H (1+ J/L)^{V'} (z^{\alpha} Y)^{V''} (1+\alpha^* K/Y)^V (1+\alpha K^*/Y^*)^{V^*}$ so that the case of a closed economy with no trade and no foreign direct investment could also be covered.

3. The Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function

The Schumpeterian Macro Production Function (SMPF) is obtained from plugging the knowledge production function into the macroeconomic production function. For the sake of simplicity a Cobb Douglas production function $Y = (1 - z^c)K^\beta(AL)^{1-\beta}$ will be considered and it is assumed here that the share z^c of R&D output in GDP is an intermediate product. The knowledge production function is $A(Y^*/Y, y, L', \alpha, \alpha^*, j, x)$ where all partial derivatives are positive. Using the rather compact specification of the knowledge production function developed here, one can easily plug it into the macroeconomic production function and in the end get a macroeconomic long run supply function (with L' denoting the number of researchers):

$$Y = Y(K, L, L', Y^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, x, j)$$

The partial derivatives are all positive. Hence let us consider the explicit result of plugging the knowledge production function for the open economy into the macroeconomic production function which gives the integrated Schumpeterian production function (with nested knowledge production function $A(\dots)$):

$$(I) Y = (1 - z^c) K^\beta (AL)^{1-\beta}$$

$$(II) A = (xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$$

(III)

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= (1 - z^c) K^\beta \left(\left(x \frac{Y^*}{Y} \right)^H j^{V'} \left(\frac{Y}{L} \right)^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L \right)^{1-\beta} = \\ &= (1 - z^c) K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} Y^{-H} j^{V'} Y^{H+V'+V''} \left(\frac{1}{L} \right)^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L \right)^{1-\beta} = \\ &= (1 - z^c) K^\beta Y^{(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} \left(\frac{1}{L} \right)^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L \right)^{1-\beta} = \\ &= (1 - z^c) K^\beta Y^{(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \\ Y^{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} &= (1 - z^c) K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

(IV)

$$Y = \left[(1 - z^c) K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)}}$$

(V)

$$Y = \left((1 - z'') K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^* \beta / r)^V (\alpha \beta^* / r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)}}$$

$$Y = (1 - z'')^{\frac{1}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)}} \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^* \beta / r)^V (\alpha \beta^* / r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)}}$$

$$\ln Y = \frac{1}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \ln(1 - z'') + \frac{\beta}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \ln K$$

$$+ \frac{1-\beta}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \ln \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{1-H-V'-V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^* \beta / r)^V (\alpha \beta^* / r^*)^{V^*} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)} \left\{ \ln(1 - z'') + \beta \ln K + \right.$$

$$\left. + (1-\beta) \left[H \ln x + H \ln Y^* + V' \ln j + (1-H-V'-V'') \ln L + V'' \ln(z'L') + V \ln(\alpha^* \beta / r) + V^* \ln(\alpha \beta^* / r^*) \right] \right\}$$

It is obvious from the logarithmic equation that a positive growth rate $d\ln(L'/L)$ will contribute to economic output growth in the long run. To get a better understanding as to what extent the level of the growth path and the trend growth rate itself will be affected one will have to consider a modified neoclassical growth model.

The result obtained for the Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function looks fairly compact. Real gross domestic product is a positive function of

- the capital stock
- the export-GDP ratio; and foreign GDP
- the import-GDP ratio
- total labor
- the share of researchers in the total labor force
- the ratio of the inward FDI capital stock relative to the total capital stock
- the ratio of the outward FDI capital stock relative to the total capital stock abroad;
- the real interest rate at home and abroad have a negative impact on the production potential.

The latter is quite interesting since it allows a direct link to the real money supply: if money market equilibrium – in an economy with a stable price level at home and abroad - is written as $M/P = hY/(h'r)$ in the home country and as $M^*/P^* = h^*Y^*/(h'^*r^*)$ in country 2 (with positive parameters h, h', h^* and h'^*), one gets $r = hY/(h'M/P)$ and $r^* = h^*Y^*/(h'^*M^*/P^*)$, respectively, and thus obtains an analytical basis for monetary growth models; defining $h/h' := h''$ and $h^*/h'^* := h''^*$, one can see that real money balances at home and abroad are contributing to real GDP in an open economy with inward and outward FDI (an alternative new approach in a closed economy has been suggested by WELFENS (2011) who considers real monetary balances held by private households as an implicit production factor of firms, namely on the basis of positive external effects for companies). Real GDP thus can be written as follows:

$$Y = (1 - z^n)^{\frac{1}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V^*} L^{1-H-V^*-V^n} (z^* L^*)^{V^n} (\alpha^* \beta / r)^V (\alpha \beta^* / r^*)^{V^*} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}}$$

$$Y = (1 - z^n)^{\frac{1}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} \left\{ x^H Y^{*H} j^{V^*} L^{1-H-V^*-V^n} (z^* L^*)^{V^n} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta h^* M}{Y h P} \right)^V \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^* h^* M^*}{h^* Y^* P^*} \right)^{V^*} \right\}^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}}$$

$$Y^{\frac{1-(1-\beta)[V^*+V^n+V]}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} = (1 - z^n)^{\frac{1}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} \left\{ x^H Y^{*H} j^{V^*} L^{1-H-V^*-V^n} (z^* L^*)^{V^n} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta h^* M}{h P} \right)^V \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^* h^* M^*}{h^* Y^* P^*} \right)^{V^*} \right\}^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}}$$

$$Y = \left\{ (1 - z^n)^{\frac{1}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} \left\{ x^H Y^{*H} j^{V^*} L^{1-H-V^*-V^n} (z^* L^*)^{V^n} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta h^* M}{h P} \right)^V \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^* h^* M^*}{h^* Y^* P^*} \right)^{V^*} \right\}^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}} \right\}^{\frac{1-(V^*+V^n)(1-\beta)}{1-(1-\beta)[V^*+V^n+V]}}$$

$$Y = (1 - z^n)^{\frac{1}{1-(1-\beta)[V^*+V^n+V]}} K^{\frac{\beta}{1-(1-\beta)[V^*+V^n+V]}} \left\{ x^H Y^{*H} j^{V^*} L^{1-H-V^*-V^n} (z^* L^*)^{V^n} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta h^* M}{h P} \right)^V \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^* h^* M^*}{h^* Y^* P^*} \right)^{V^*} \right\}^{\frac{1-\beta}{1-(1-\beta)[V^*+V^n+V]}}$$

There are two key insights here:

- The real GDP thus is a positive function of both real money balances at home (M/P) and real money balances abroad (M*/P*).
- The exponent for K and the exponent for the large bracket term is smaller than before so that taking into account money market equilibrium conditions at home and abroad implies that the effective output elasticity with respect to capital and all variables in the large bracket terms is smaller than before.

This effective Schumpeterian production potential could be the basis for a new monetary growth model (one may, however, argue that a true monetary growth model should be based on a production function in which M/P enters directly as a productive input, namely in the form of positive external effects of households' holding of real money balances M/P: WELFENS, 2011).

Let us return to the formulation of the production potential with r and r^* . It is obvious here that if Y^* is growing in a sustained way - hence the foreign economy is already in a steady state - the implication is that the home economy is growing too; and here exports are the key driver. As the long run level of output growth is a negative function of the real interest rate, monetary policy can be considered in a quasi-monetary growth approach: If the equilibrium condition for the money market is $M/P = hY/(h^*r)$, monetary policy - defined as a change of $(M/P)/Y$ - can reduce the real interest rate, the level of output and thus raise output. Moreover, it can be shown that the effective Schumpeterian macro production function implies that output per capita for the special case of $\beta=0.5$ is a positive function of capital intensity, the ratio of R&D workers in the total labor force, exports per capita, imports per capita, inward FDI intensity (α) and outward FDI intensity (α^*). For the general case $0 < \beta < 1$ the function is more complicated. The special case of $\beta=0.5$ allows to

develop a Schumpeterian **Solow** growth model on the assumption that Y^*/Y is constant and L' is increasing at a constant rate.

3.1 Output Elasticity with Respect to Foreign Knowledge

If one wants to understand the role of foreign knowledge on country 1's output one has to consider the knowledge production function and the macroeconomic production in both country 1 and country 2; recall the knowledge production function (1'') $A = (X/L)^H (J/L)^{V'}$ $(z'Y)^{V''} (\alpha^*K/Y)^V (\alpha K^*/Y^*)^{V^*}$ and the formulation (5'') $A = (xY^*/Y)^H j' y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''}$ $(\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$. Let us define $v := 1 - (V'+V'')(1-\beta)$. Hence

$$(6'') Y = (1-z'')K^{\beta/v} (x^H Y^* H L^{1-H-V-V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r)^{V^*})^{(1-\beta)/v}$$

As $Y^* = (1-z''^*)K^{*\beta^*} (A^*L^*)^{1-\beta^*}$ we get:

$$(7'') Y = (1-z'')K^{\beta/v} ((x^H (1-z''^*)K^{*\beta^* H} (A^*L^*)^{(1-\beta^*)H} L^{1-H-V-V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r)^{V^*})^{(1-\beta)/v}$$

From this follows for the effective elasticity of output with respect to foreign knowledge that

$$(8'') \ln Y / \ln A^* = (1-\beta^*)H(1-\beta)/v = (1-\beta^*)H(1-\beta)/(1 - (V'+V'')(1-\beta)) =$$

$$= (1-\beta^*)H / ((1-\beta)^{-1} - (V'+V''))$$

Thus this elasticity of output with respect to foreign knowledge is a positive function of the export per capita elasticity H in the knowledge production function and a negative function of both β^* and β (the output elasticity of capital in the macroeconomic production function in country 1 and country 2, respectively) as well as a positive function of the import per capita elasticity and the research output elasticity, respectively, in the knowledge production function. Thus the theoretical analysis allows getting a much better understanding of apparent international technology output effects.

3.2 Endogenous Growth Model

A growth model on the basis of a Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function can be derived here in a compact endogenous growth approach. Assume for simplicity that $\beta = 1 - \beta$ so that $\beta = 0.5$. Hence we can write

$$(9) y := Y/L = (1-z'')k^{0.5/v} ((x^H Y^* H L^{1-H-V-V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r)^{V^*})^{0.5/v}$$

Let us define $Q := ((x^H Y^* H L^{1-H-V-V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r)^{V^*})$

Goods market equilibrium condition in an economy with zero depreciation and constant growth of labor (growth rate n ; $k := K/L$, income tax rate is τ) is given by:

$$(10) dk/dt + z'Y = s(1 - \tau)Y$$

For simplicity the savings function assumed here is $s(1-\tau)Y$. Hence we get for the case of an exogenous growth rate of L , namely n (# for steady state; $L(t) = L_0 e^{nt}$, where e is the Euler number and t is the time index):

$$(11) \quad dk/dt = (s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})(1-z^{\epsilon})k^{0.5/v} Q^{0.5/v} - nk; \quad 0 < \beta/v < 1; \quad n > 0$$

$$(12) \quad k\# = Q^{0.5/v} ((s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})(1-z^{\epsilon})/n)^{1/(1-0.5/v)};$$

$$(13) \quad y\# = Q^{0.5/v} (1-z^{\epsilon}) ((s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})(1-z^{\epsilon})/n)^{(0.5/v)/(1-0.5/v)} Q^{0.5/v}$$

$$(14) \quad y\# = (x^{H/v} Y^{*H/v} L^{-(H-V-V')/v} (z' L')^{V''/v} (\alpha^* \beta/r)^{V/v} (\alpha \beta^*/r)^{V^*/v} (1-z^{\epsilon})^{1/(1-0.5/v)} (s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})/n)^{(0.5/v)/(1-0.5/v)}$$

One can rewrite Y^*/L as $(Y^*/L^*)(L^*/L)$ and – with $y^* := Y^*/(A^* L^*)$ – therefore $Y^*/L = y^* A^*_0 e^{a^* t}$. Therefore one can restate the equation as follows:

$$(15) \quad y\# = (x^{H/v} y^{*H/v} (L^*/L)^{H/v} L^{(V''-H-V-V')H/v} (z' L'/L)^{V''/v} (\alpha^* \beta/r)^{V/v} (\alpha \beta^*/r)^{V^*/v} (1-z^{\epsilon})^{1/(1-0.5/v)} (s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})/n)^{(0.5/v)/(1-0.5/v)}$$

If abroad $S^* = (1-\tau^*)Y^*$ and – assuming that $d \ln(A^*)/dt = a^*$ and constant and n^* is constant – the steady state solution for y^* can be written as $(s^*(1-\tau^*)/(a^*+n^*))^{\beta^*/(1-\beta^*)}$; the economy in country 2 for the sake of simplicity thus is characterized by a standard neoclassical (Solow) growth model result.

$$(16) \quad y\# = (x^{H/v} (s^*(1-\tau^*)/(a^*+n^*))^{\beta^*H/(1-\beta^*)/v} (L^*/L)^{H/v} (z' L'/L)^{V''/v} (\alpha^* \beta/r)^{V/v} (\alpha \beta^*/r)^{V^*/v} (1-z^{\epsilon})^{1/(1-0.5/v)} (s(1-\tau) - z^{\epsilon})/n)^{(0.5/v)/(1-0.5/v)} L_0^{(V''-H-V-V')Hv''/(vv)} A^*_0 e^{(a^*+n)(H/v)t}$$

Thus, the steady state growth rate of y is $(a^*+n)(H/v)$.

3.3 Golden Rule

The golden rule that maximizes per capita consumption is given by the condition $dY/dK = (a^*+n)(H/v)$ and, recalling the definition of $v := 1 - (V^*+V'')(1-\beta)$, therefore also by the condition:

$$(17) \quad (a^* + n) \left(\frac{H}{v} \right) = \frac{\beta}{v} K^{\frac{\beta}{v}} \left[(1 - z^{\epsilon}) \left(x^H Y^{*H} L^{V''} (z' L')^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{v^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right]^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

If one assumes profit maximization in the form marginal product of capital $Y_K = r$ (r is the real interest rate) the implication is that $r = (a^*+n)(H/v)$ which is quite interesting since in the case of a big country 2 the reading is that the real interest rate is determined by the foreign variable a^* and the domestic population growth rate n as well as the parameters H and v ; recall $v := 1 - (V^*+V'')(1-\beta)$ so that four supply side parameters determine r in this new setup, namely the output elasticity β negatively while the knowledge production

parameters H , V' and V'' have a positive impact on the real interest rate. It is noteworthy that a rise of β – e.g. caused by the expansion of information and communication technology - will reduce the real interest rate.

3.4 Labor Market Demand and other Macro Aspects

The marginal product of overall labor Y_L is given by (with $N' := 1-H-V-V'$):

$$(18) \quad Y_L = \frac{N'(1-\beta)}{v} L^{\frac{(1-\beta)(1-H-V+V'')-1}{v}} \left\{ (1-z'')K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} (z'L')^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

Clearly, obviously the marginal product of labor is a positive function of both the domestic and foreign capital stock, the foreign level of knowledge, the employment abroad, the number of researchers, the inward FDI parameter α^* , the outward FDI parameter α

The demand for labor therefore is (with $N' := 1-H-V-V'$):

$$(19) \quad L^d = \left(\frac{vW}{N'(1-\beta)} \right)^{\frac{v}{(1-\beta)(1-H-V+V'')-1}} \left\{ (1-z'')K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} (z'L')^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{(1-\beta)(1-H-V+V'')-1}}$$

The demand for labor thus depends on many interesting variables. As regard the marginal product of capital it can be written as:

$$(20) \quad Y_K = \frac{\beta}{v} K^{\frac{\beta}{v}} \left\{ (1-z'') \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{N'} (z'L')^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

The marginal product of researchers is given by:

$$(21) \quad Y_{L'} = L'^{\frac{v(1-\beta)}{v}} \left\{ (1-z'')K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{N'} z'^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

Denoting the nominal wage of researchers by W' and the real wage by w' , profit maximization will lead to $w' = Y_{L'}$. Under profit maximization the implied demand for skilled labor (researchers) is given by the condition:

$$(22) \quad L'^d = w'^{\frac{v}{v(1-\beta)}} \left\{ (1-z'')K^\beta \left(x^H Y^{*H} j^{V'} L^{N'} z'^{V''} \left(\frac{\alpha^* \beta}{r} \right)^v \left(\frac{\alpha \beta^*}{r^*} \right)^{V^*} \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{v(1-\beta)}}$$

Thus one gets a comprehensive view for the case of an open economy on how many domestic and foreign influences affect the marginal product of labor and researchers, respectively. Trade intensity as well as FDI globalization parameters and foreign output determine the demand for researchers.

3.5 Hybrid Medium-Term Macro Model

If in reality goods market equilibrium in the medium run is characterized on the aggregate demand side by both current income and steady state income (WELFENS, 2011) so that an adequate medium-term macro model would have to consider a weighted composite effective real income Z (with Ω' denoting the weighting factor of permanent income in the form of steady state income $Y\#$, $0 < c < 1$; $0 < c' < 1$; for the sake of simplicity no discounting of future income takes place and foreign GNP is already in the steady state). Assuming a Cobb-Douglas production function in each of the two countries considered, we can write for Z and Z^* , respectively (α denoting the share of capital owned by foreign investors from country 1 in country 2; α^* denoting the share of capital owned by foreign investors from country 2 in country 1; and $q^* := eP^*/P$ where e is the nominal exchange and P the price level):

$$(23) \quad Z = Y(1 - \alpha\beta) + \alpha\beta Y^* q^*$$

$$(24) \quad Z^* = Y^*(1 - \alpha\beta^*) + \alpha^*\beta Y/q^*$$

Here, gross national income is Y plus real net profit transfers from abroad - profits of country 2 subsidiaries amount to $\alpha\beta Y$ in country 1 provided there is competition in goods and factor markets. Profits accruing from subsidiaries abroad are $\alpha\beta Y^*$ and to express those profits in domestic goods units of country 1, $\alpha\beta Y^*$ has to be multiplied by q^* ; profits of foreign subsidiaries in country 1 are $\alpha^*\beta Y$ in good units of country 1 (when expressed in goods units of country 2, the term $\alpha^*\beta Y/q^*$ has to be considered). Hence if one assumes that consumption and imports are not proportionate to GDP but rather to Z - and exports to Z^* -, one can state as a medium-term condition for goods market equilibrium (WELFENS, 2011)

$$(25) \quad Y = (1 - \Omega')c(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha\beta)Y + \Omega'c'(1 - \tau)Y\#(1 - \alpha\beta) + b''(\beta Y/K - r) + G + x(Y\#(1 - \alpha\beta)q^* + \alpha\beta Y) - j[(1 - \Omega')c(1 - \tau)Y(1 - \alpha\beta) + \Omega'c'(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha\beta)Y\#]$$

The first term [...] on the right hand side is planned consumption. Exports depend, of course, on real income abroad and imports are a positive function of disposable real GNP (here the investment function is simply $b''(\beta Y/K - r)$ and G is government consumption. The difference between GDP (Y) and GNP (Z) is net income from abroad, namely profits obtained from subsidiaries abroad minus profits paid to foreign subsidiaries in country 1). It is obvious that the fiscal multiplier now looks different and that other multipliers also differ from traditional macro models. The steady state GDP has to be calculated from an endogenous growth model. One may also emphasize that Ω' might have varying numbers over time, 1 if the economy is in the full employment steady state solution, between 0 and 1 otherwise.

3.6 Further Extensions

There are many opportunities for additional research to be conducted. Since the Schumpeterian Macro Production Function includes Y^* one could also focus on a situation of an asymmetric international business cycle where country 1 is at full employment while country 2 is facing underutilization of the production potential so that Y^* could be covered by a Keynesian macro model with technology included – e.g. A^* would enter the investment function and the export function (WELFENS, 2011). Moreover, in a full macro model with an additional equilibrium condition for the money market and the foreign exchange market one also will get new insights into the equilibrium exchange rate. To the extent that (with positive parameter b' and b'') a medium term investment function $I = b''(Y_K - r) + b'(A/A^*)$ is used the logic of the knowledge production function and the macroeconomic production function will enter even a compact open economy macro model via the investment function via both Y_K , A and A^* ; a compact export function (with positive parameters x' and x'') could be $X = xZ^* + x'A^*/A + x''q^*$ and the import function would read $J = jZ - j'A/A^* - j''q^*$ where j' and j'' are positive parameters.

In an international full employment perspective it also is possible to model Y^* in an analogous way as Y in country 1 so that a Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function is relevant in country 1 and country 2: This is a useful approach to study long run international output interdependency. The production function as well as the knowledge production function could additionally include information and communication technology as a distinct input so that this important strand of research also could be analyzed in future research in a more consistent open economy context – possibly including international spillover effects plus network effects.

4. Policy Conclusions

There are some important conclusions to be drawn here. In a world economy characterized by globalization and innovation dynamics it is highly relevant to carefully consider the knowledge production function in an open economy and its implications for the macroeconomic production function. As regards the knowledge production function of EU countries, there is clear evidence (JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 2016) that the number of researchers, the per capita income and the inward FDI stock relative to GDP significantly raise the number of patent applications. Patents, in turn, raise real GDP so that government's R&D policy has to consider a complex perspective: It is not only important to ask whether the marginal social domestic benefits exceed private innovators' benefits, rather one should take into account that higher patent applications and actual patents granted, respectively, will also contribute to international real income effects provided that the country considered is big. This international output transmission effect will have a positive real income feedback on the home country – assuming two big countries to be considered (for example the EU and US) - macroeconomic externality. This positive per capita effect – assuming the population in country 1 and country 2 to be given – in turn stimulates R&D efforts and patent applications, respectively, so that there is a positive intertemporal spillover effect of innovations that so far has not been considered in the

literature in this context. It might, however, have been covered to some extent indirectly and implicitly in studies looking at path dependency of innovation dynamics. If there are such positive external effects of researchers and inward FDI stocks, there would also be new arguments why government should not only subsidize R&D activity but inward FDI flows – relative to GDP - as well. A specific question could be to focus on the optimum R&D activity level (see appendix 1). The impact of globalization on factor income shares also could be considered in a new way, however, a CES function is adequate for this (some aspects are highlighted in the appendix 2).

The internalization of positive international external effects should guide corporate tax policy, however, there is an international coordination problem since without coordination of tax policy there is the risk of excessive subsidization which could distort factor allocation considerably. In a two country model (with two big countries) there could be a problem of international R&D policy interaction so that an R&D subsidy race could occur; if it brings countries closer to the optimum R&D intensity this should not be considered to be a major problem. Rather, in open economies with rising export-GDP ratios – including exports of the R&D sector and of innovative intermediate products, respectively – there is some probability that part of R&D efforts will contribute to raising foreign real income so that the problem of low government incentives for an optimum R&D promotion could increase in the context of economic globalization. In such a context international R&D cooperation might be required.

The supply-side formulation of the production potential in an open economy with trade, FDI and research is also important for long run output multiplier effects. In an analogy to a Schumpeterian production function for country 1, a similar production function can be stated for country 2, and on this basis the long run equilibrium Y , Y^* and $Y^\#$ and $Y^{*\#}$, respectively, can be considered. Moreover, the optimum innovation policy at home and abroad can be discussed in a more realistic setup.

Long run as well as medium-term fiscal and monetary policy could be analyzed within the new framework. Generally, one may expect that policymakers will get a much better understanding of the role of innovation dynamics at home and abroad. Some of the important findings of PIKETTY (2014) on changes in income distribution could also be analyzed in a better way (see also WELFENS, 2014; WELFENS, 2015). It also becomes clear that, from a supply-side perspective, globalization – assuming an interplay of both two-way FDI and trade – is not neutral for both small countries and big countries. The Schumpeterian dimension of the macroeconomic production function should be emphasized more clearly and certainly the important role of multinational companies' international investment should become a standard feature of International Macroeconomics. The reflections presented here are both a modest contribution to Schumpeterian Economics and a clear statement in favor of a more realistic open economy macro analysis as well as an approach in favor of taking a broader look at modern regional integration analysis.

Finally, there are crucial implications for the analysis of broad regional integration schemes such as TTIP or TPP and a more realistic and comprehensive empirical analysis. The interaction of trade, foreign direct investment and innovation is crucial to understand in the context of regional integration and integration policies. Moreover, the economic policy debate can be quite misguided if FDI and innovation effects are ignored in deep integration

projects (such as TTIP and TPP) – the TTIP-study of FRANCOIS ET AL. (2013) for the European Commission that looks mainly at trade effects and to some extent also at FDI aspects while neglecting innovation effects is a typical case. This official study puts the economic welfare effect in the context of trade creation at 0.5% for the EU and 0.4% for the US, but this clearly seems to be a considerable underestimation for the two countries (“EU” as a country in an analytical sense) that stand for the two top source countries of international patents and innovation dynamics, respectively, and that also represent the two leading FDI source countries and two of the three global FDI host countries.

It is noteworthy that the approach presented can, or course, be applied to a comparative regional analysis in countries with regions with FDI inflows/outflows so that both the EU, the US, Canada, Australia and China could be analyzed in a broader regional globalization context. At the bottom line there are many interesting implications of the new approach presented and much further research will be needed.

References

- Abdih, Y.; Joutz, F. (2005), Relating the Knowledge Production Function to Total Factor Productivity: An Endogenous Growth Puzzle, IMF Working Paper, WP/05/74.
- Aghion, P.; Howitt, P. (1998), Endogenous Growth Theory, Cambridge/Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Asian Development Bank (2015), Asian Economic Integration Report: How Can Special Economic Zones Catalyze Economic Development?, Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- Bönte, W. (2001), Wie produktiv sind Investitionen in industrielle Forschung und Entwicklung? (How productive are investments into industrial R&D), *Wirtschaft und Statistik*, 2001/4, 312-320.
- Coe, D.T.; Helpman, E. (1995), International R&D Spillovers, *European Economic Review*, Vol. 39, 859-887.
- Dachs, B. (2016), Techno-Globalisierung als Motor des Aufholprozesses im österreichischen Innovationssystem, Beitrag im Rahmen des EIIW-Forschungsprojektes „EU-Strukturwandel, Leitmärkte und Techno-Globalisierung“, project for Hans-Böckler-Stiftung, Düsseldorf, forthcoming.
- Dachs, B. et al. (2014), The Internationalisation of Business R&D, *New Perspectives on the Modern Corporation*, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, United Kingdom.
- Dunning, J.; Lundan, S.M. (2008), *Multinational Enterprises and the Global Economy*, 2nd edition, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Francois, J. et al. (2013), *Reducing Transatlantic Barriers to Trade and Investment*, London: CEPR (for the European Commission).
- Graham, E.M.; Krugman, P. (1995), *Foreign Direct Investment in the United States*, 3rd Edition, Peterson Institute Press: All Books, Peterson Institute for International Economics, Washington DC.
- Griliches, Z. (1979), Issues in Assessing the Contribution of Research and Development to Productivity Growth," *Bell Journal of Economics*, vol. 10, 92-116.
- Jungmittag, A. (2016), Techno-Globalisierung, Beitrag im Rahmen des Forschungsprojektes „EU-Strukturwandel, Leitmärkte und Techno-Globalisierung“, Hans-Böckler-Stiftung, Düsseldorf, forthcoming.
- Jungmittag, A. (2004), Innovations, technological specialization and economic growth in the EU, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, Vol. 1, 247-273.
- Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J. (2016), TTIP: Beyond Trade – the Dynamics of Foreign Direct Investment, Patents and Output Growth, EIIW working paper 212, forthcoming.
- Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J. (2009), Liberalization of EU telecommunications and trade: theory, gravity equation analysis and policy implications, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, Vol. 6, 23-39.

- Lachenmaier, S.; Wößmann, L. (2006), Does innovation cause exports? Evidence from Exogenous innovation impulses and obstacles using German micro data, *Oxford Economic Papers*, No. 58, 317-350.
- Keller, W. (2000), *Geographic Localization of International Technology Diffusion*, NBER Working Paper No. 7509, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Machlup, F. (1979), Stocks and Flows of Knowledge, *Kyklos*, Vol. 32, Issue 1-2, 400–411.
- Melitz, M.J. (2003), The Impact of Trade on Intra-Industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity, *Econometrica*, vol. 71, 1695-1725.
- Narula, R., Zanfei, A. (2005), Globalisation of Innovation: The Role of Multinational Enterprises, in: Fagerberg, J., Mowery, D.C., Nelson R.R. (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 68-115.
- Perret, J.K. (2014), *Knowledge as a Driver of Regional Growth in the Russian Federation*, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- Piketty, T. (2014), *Capital in the 21st Century*, Cambridge, MA.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Romer, P.M. (1990), Endogenous Technological Change, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 98, No. 5 (October), pt. II, 71-102.
- UNCTAD (1996), *World Investment Report 2014: Investing in the SDGs: An Action Plan*, United Nations, New York, Geneva.
- UNCTAD, 2005, *World Investment Report 2005: Transnational Corporations and the Internationalization of R&D*, Geneva.
- Veugelers, R. (2005), *Internationalisation of R&D: Trends, Issues and Implications for S&T policies*, Background report for the OECD Forum on the internationalization of R&D, Brussels.
- Welfens, P.J.J. (2015), Innovation, inequality and a golden rule for growth in an economy with Cobb-Douglas function and an R&D sector, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, Volume 12, Issue 4, 469-496.
- Welfens, P.J.J. (2014), Information & communication technology and true real GDP: economic analysis and findings for selected countries, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, Volume 11, Issue 1, 5-27.
- Welfens, P.J.J. (2011), *Innovations in Macroeconomics*, 3rd revised and enlarged edition, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- World Bank (2016), *Global Economic Prospects: Spillovers amid Weak Growth*, Washington DC.

Appendix 1: Optimal Choice of the Size of the R&D Sector

In the above equation (V) in logs one can replace $z'L'$ by $z''L$ since $z''Y = z'L'y$ (recall that $z''Y$ is the output of the R&D sector); and $z''Y/y = z'L'$ and $z''Y/y = z''L$ so that $z''L = z'L'$ and hence $\ln(z'L')$ can be replaced by $\ln(z''L)$. The research share z'' in output that maximizes Y thus can be derived (or one maximizes y with respect to z''). Taking the derivative $d\ln Y/dz''$ and setting it equal to zero gives the necessary condition (while assuming: $V'+V'' < 1$)

$$(i) \quad d\ln Y/dz'' = (-1/(1-z''))(1/(1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta))) + (1-\beta)V'' (1/z'') / (1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)) = 0.$$

$$(ii) \quad -1/(1-z'') + (1-\beta)V''/z'' = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad z''/(1-z'') = (1-\beta)V''$$

$$(iii') \quad 1/z'' = 1 + 1/((1-\beta)v'')$$

$$(iii'') \quad z'' = 1/(1 + 1/((1-\beta)v''))$$

Assume $1/((1-\beta)v'')$ is close to zero.

$$(iv) \quad \ln z'' \approx 1/((1-\beta)v'')$$

Note: $d\ln z''/d\beta < 0$; $d\ln z''/dv'' > 0$.

For a maximum the second derivative should be negative and it is given by the expression

$$(v) \quad d^2\ln Y/dz''^2 = [(-1/(1-z''))^2(1/(1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta)))] - \{(1-\beta)V'' (1/z''^2) / (1-(V'+V'')(1-\beta))\} < 0$$

This equation is fulfilled if $\{\dots\} > [\dots]$.

$$(v') \quad \{\dots\}/[\dots] > 1$$

$$(vi) \quad (1/(1-z''))^2/(((1-\beta)v'')/z''^2) < 1$$

$$(vii) \quad 1/(((1-2z''+z''^2)/z''^2)(1-\beta)v'') < 1$$

$$(viii) \quad 1/(1/z''^2 - 2/z'' + 1) > (1-\beta)v''$$

$$(ix) \quad -1/z''^2 + 2/z'' > -\beta + \ln v''$$

$$(x) \quad f(z'') > -\beta + \ln v''$$

An alternative approach could be to consider an endogenous growth model based on the Schumpeterian macroeconomic production function and then one considers the steady state situation and maximizes steady state per capita consumption through optimal choice of z'' . Governments eager to obtain the maximum golden rule consumption per capita will have to consider the profit maximization condition of the R&D sector and on this basis should allocate an adequate subsidy rate to the R&D sector. An extended approach would then

additionally include the government budget constraint $G + f'Y = \tau Y$ if one assumes that there is no government debt (f' is the subsidy ratio that should reflect the difference between the social rate of return on innovation and the private rate of return on innovation and G is government consumption – with $G/Y := \gamma$ to be considered the relevant exogenous variable). This then leads to an optimum tax analysis where $\tau = f' + \gamma$.

Appendix 2: Schumpeterian CES Function

The knowledge production function is given by:

$$(i) A = (xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*}$$

The CES production function – compared to the Cobb Douglas function it is better suitable for analyzing income distribution issues – is given by:

$$(ii) Y = \lambda[(1-\Omega)(AL)^{-v''} + \Omega K^{-v''}]^{-1/v''}$$

($\Omega > 0$; $0 < \Omega < 1$; $\infty \geq v'' \geq 1$; $v'' \neq 0$, elasticity of substitution $\sigma'' = 1/(1+v'')$; $\lambda > 0$)

Inserting (i) in (ii) gives

$$(iii) Y = \lambda[(1-\Omega)((xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L)^{-v''} + \Omega K^{-v''}]^{-1/v''}$$

$$(iv) Y^{-v''} = \lambda^{-v''} [(1-\Omega)((xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L)^{-v''} + \Omega K^{-v''}]$$

We can solve in a meaningful way for Y if one assumes that $v'' = V' + V''$:

$$(iv') Y^{-2v''} = \lambda^{-v''} [(1-\Omega)((xY^*)^H j^{V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L^{1-H-V'-V''})^{-v''} + \Omega(K/Y)^{-v''}]$$

$$(iv'') Y = \lambda^{0.5} [(1-\Omega)((xY^*)^H j^{V'} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L^{1-H-V'-V''})^{-v''} + \Omega(K/Y)^{-v''}]^{-1/2v''}$$

Dividing (iv) by $\Omega K^{-v''}$ gives:

$$(v) (Y/(\Omega K)) = \lambda^{-v''} [(1-\Omega)((xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L)^{-v''}/(\Omega K/Y)^{-v''} + 1]$$

$$\text{Define } z' := [(1-\Omega)((xY^*/Y)^H j^{V'} y^{H+V'+V''} (z'L')^{V''} (\alpha^*\beta/r)^V (\alpha\beta^*/r^*)^{V^*} L)^{-v''}/(\Omega K/Y)^{-v''} + 1]$$

Hence taking logs and using the approximation $\ln(1+Z') \approx Z'$ – for Z' close to zero – we can use the approximation:

$$(vi) -3v'' \ln(Y) - \ln((\Omega)^{-v''}) \ln(K) = -v'' \ln(\lambda) + Z'$$

$$(vii) \ln(Y) = \{-\ln((\Omega)^{-v''}) \ln(K) + v'' \ln(\lambda) - Z'\} / 3v''$$

EIIW Discussion Papers

ISSN 1430-5445:

Standing orders (usually 13 issues or more p.a.): academic rate 95 Euro p.a.; normal rate 250 Euro p.a.

Single orders: academic rate 10 Euro per copy; normal rate 20 Euro per copy.

Die Zusammenfassungen der Beiträge finden Sie im Internet unter:

The abstracts of the publications can be found in the internet under:

<http://www.eiiw.eu>

- No. 100 **Gavrilenkov, E.:** Macroeconomic Situation in Russia - Growth, Investment and Capital Flows, October 2002
- No. 101 **Agata, K.:** Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, November 2002
- No. 102 **Blind, K.; Jungmittag, A.:** Ausländische Direktinvestitionen, Importe und Innovationen im Dienstleistungsgewerbe, February 2003
- No. 103 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Kirn, T.:** Mittelstandsentwicklung, BASEL-II-Kreditmarktprobleme und Kapitalmarktperspektiven, Juli 2003
- No. 104 **Standke, K.-H.:** The Impact of International Organisations on National Science and Technology Policy and on Good Governance, March 2003
- No. 105 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Exchange Rate Dynamics and Structural Adjustment in Europe, May 2003
- No. 106 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Jungmittag, A.; Kauffmann, A.; Schumann, Ch.:** EU Eastern Enlargement and Structural Change: Specialization Patterns in Accession Countries and Economic Dynamics in the Single Market, May 2003
- No. 107 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Überwindung der Wirtschaftskrise in der Eurozone: Stabilitäts-, Wachstums- und Strukturpolitik, September 2003
- No. 108 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Risk Pricing, Investment and Prudential Supervision: A Critical Evaluation of Basel II Rules, September 2003
- No. 109 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Ponder, J.K.:** Digital EU Eastern Enlargement, October 2003
- No. 110 **Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.:** What Have We Learned About The Employment Effects of Severance Pay? Further Iterations of Lazear et al., October 2003
- No. 111 **Gavrilenkov, E.:** Diversification of the Russian Economy and Growth, October 2003
- No. 112 **Wiegert, R.:** Russia's Banking System, the Central Bank and the Exchange Rate Regime, November 2003
- No. 113 **Shi, S.:** China's Accession to WTO and its Impacts on Foreign Direct Investment, November 2003
- No. 114 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The End of the Stability Pact: Arguments for a New Treaty, December 2003
- No. 115 **Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.:** The effect of worker representation on employment behaviour in Germany: another case of -2.5%, January 2004
- No. 116 **Borbély, D.:** EU Export Specialization Patterns in Selected Accession Countries, March 2004

- No. 117 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Auf dem Weg in eine europäische Informations- und Wissensgesellschaft: Probleme, Weichenstellungen, Politikoptionen, Januar 2004
- No. 118 **Markova, E.:** Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia, December 2003
- No. 119 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Markova, E.:** Private and Public Financing of Infrastructure: Theory, International Experience and Policy Implications for Russia, February 2004
- No. 120 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** EU Innovation Policy: Analysis and Critique, March 2004
- No. 121 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Politikberatung und empirische Wirtschaftsforschung: Entwicklungen, Probleme, Optionen für mehr Rationalität in der Wirtschaftspolitik, März 2004
- No. 122 **Borbély, D.:** Competition among Cohesion and Accession Countries: Comparative Analysis of Specialization within the EU Market, June 2004
- No. 123 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Digitale Soziale Marktwirtschaft: Probleme und Reformoptionen im Kontext der Expansion der Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologie, Mai 2004
- No. 124 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Kauffmann, A.; Keim, M.:** Liberalization of Electricity Markets in Selected European Countries, July 2004
- No. 125 **Bartelmus, P.:** SEEA Revision: Accounting for Sustainability?, August 2004
- No. 126 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Borbély, D.:** Exchange Rate Developments and Stock Market Dynamics in Transition Countries: Theory and Empirical Analysis, November 2004
- No. 127 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Innovations in the Digital Economy: Promotion of R&D and Growth in Open Economies, January 2005
- No. 128 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Savings, Investment and Growth: New Approaches for Macroeconomic Modelling, February 2005
- No. 129 **Pospiezna, P.:** The application of EU Common Trade Policy in new Memberstates after Enlargement – Consequences on Russia’s Trade with Poland, March 2005
- No. 130 **Pospiezna, P.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Economic Opening up of Russia: Establishment of new EU-RF Trade Relations in View of EU Eastern Enlargement, April 2005
- No. 131 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Significant Market Power in Telecommunications: Theoretical and Practical Aspects, May 2005
- No. 132 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** A Quasi-Cobb Douglas Production Function with Sectoral Progress: Theory and Application to the New Economy, May 2005
- No. 133 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Institutions, Telecommunications Dynamics and Policy Challenges: Theory and Empirical Analysis for Germany, May 2005
- No. 134 **Libman, A.:** Russia's Integration into the World Economy: An Interjurisdictional Competition View, June 2005
- No. 135 **Feiguine, G.:** Beitritt Russlands zur WTO – Probleme und Perspektiven, September 2005
- No. 136 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Rational Regulatory Policy for the Digital Economy: Theory and EU Policy Options, October 2005
- No. 137 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Schattenregulierung in der Telekommunikationswirtschaft, November 2005
- No. 138 **Borbély, D.:** Determinants of Trade Specialization in the New EU Member States, November 2005
- No. 139 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Interdependency of Real Exchange Rate, Trade, Innovation, Structural Change and Growth, December 2005
- No. 140 **Borbély D., Welfens, P.J.J.:** Structural Change, Innovation and Growth in the Context of EU Eastern Enlargement, January 2006

- No. 141 **Schumann, Ch.:** Financing Studies: Financial Support schemes for students in selected countries, January 2006
- No. 142 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Digitale Innovationen, Neue Märkte und Telekomregulierung, März 2006
- No. 143 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Information and Communication Technology: Dynamics, Integration and Economic Stability, July 2006
- No. 144 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Grundlagen rationaler Transportpolitik bei Integration, August 2006
- No. 145 **Jungmittag, A.:** Technological Specialization as a driving Force of Production Specialization, October 2006
- No. 146 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Rational Regulatory Policy for the Digital Economy: Theory and EU-Policy Options, October 2006
- No. 147 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Internationalization of EU ICT Industries: The Case of SAP, December 2006
- No. 148 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Marktwirtschaftliche Perspektiven der Energiepolitik in der EU: Ziele, Probleme, Politikoptionen, Dezember 2006
- No. 149 **Vogelsang, M.:** Trade of IT Services in a Macroeconomic General Equilibrium Model, December 2006
- No. 150 **Cassel, D., Welfens, P.J.J.:** Regional Integration, Institutional Dynamics and International Competitiveness, December 2006
- No. 151 **Welfens, P.J.J., Keim, M.:** Finanzmarktintegration und Wirtschaftsentwicklung im Kontext der EU-Osterweiterung, März 2007
- No. 152 **Kutlina, Z.:** Realwirtschaftliche und monetäre Entwicklungen im Transformationsprozess ausgewählter mittel- und osteuropäischer Länder, April 2007
- No. 153 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Borbély, D.:** Structural Change, Growth and Bazaar Effects in the Single EU Market, September 2008
- No. 154 **Feiguine, G.:** Die Beziehungen zwischen Russland und der EU nach der EU-Osterweiterung: Stand und Entwicklungsperspektiven, Oktober 2008
- No. 155 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Ungelöste Probleme der Bankenaufsicht, Oktober 2008
- No. 156 **Addison J.T.:** The Performance Effects of Unions. Codetermination, and Employee Involvement: Comparing the United States and Germany (With an Addendum on the United Kingdom), November 2008
- No. 157 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Portfoliomodell und langfristiges Wachstum: Neue Makroperspektiven, November 2008
- No. 158 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Growth, Structural Dynamics and EU Integration in the Context of the Lisbon Agenda, November 2008
- No. 159 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Growth, Innovation and Natural Resources, December 2008
- No. 160 **Islami, M.:** Interdependence Between Foreign Exchange Markets and Stock Markets in Selected European Countries, December 2008
- No. 161 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Portfolio Modelling and Growth, January 2009
- No. 162 **Bartelmus, P.:** Sustainable Development – Has It Run Its Course?, January 2009
- No. 163 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Intégration Européenne et Mondialisation: Défis, Débats, Options, February 2009
- No. 164 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ РОСТ, ИННОВАЦИИ И ПРИРОДНЫЕ РЕСУРСЫ, February 2009

- No. 165 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Vogelsang, M.:** Regulierung und Innovationsdynamik in der EU-Telekommunikationswirtschaft, February 2009
- No. 166 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The International Banking Crisis: Lessons and EU Reforms, February 2009
- No. 167 **Schröder, C.:** Financial System and Innovations: Determinants of Early Stage Venture Capital in Europe, March 2009
- No. 168 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Marshall-Lerner Condition and Economic Globalization, April 2009
- No. 169 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Explaining Oil Price Dynamics, May 2009
- No. 170 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Borbély, D.:** Structural Change, Innovation and Growth in the Single EU Market, August 2009
- No. 171 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Innovationen und Transatlantische Bankenkrise: Eine ordnungspolitische Analyse, August 2009
- No. 172 **Erdem, D.; Meyer, K.:** Natural Gas Import Dynamics and Russia's Role in the Security of Germany's Supply Strategy, December 2009
- No. 173 **Welfens P.J.J.; Perret K.J.:** Structural Change, Specialization and Growth in EU 25, January 2010
- No. 174 **Welfens P.J.J.; Perret K.J.; Erdem D.:** Global Economic Sustainability Indicator: Analysis and Policy Options for the Copenhagen Process, February 2010
- No. 175 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Rating, Kapitalmarktssignale und Risikomanagement: Reformansätze nach der Transatlantischen Bankenkrise, Februar 2010
- No. 176 **Mahmutovic, Z.:** Patendatenbank: Implementierung und Nutzung, Juli 2010
- No. 177 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Toward a New Concept of Universal Services: The Role of Digital Mobile Services and Network Neutrality, November 2010
- No. 178 **Perret J.K.:** A Core-Periphery Pattern in Russia – Twin Peaks or a Rat's Tail, December 2010
- No. 179 **Welfens P.J.J.:** New Open Economy Policy Perspectives: Modified Golden Rule and Hybrid Welfare, December 2010
- No. 180 **Welfens P.J.J.:** European and Global Reform Requirements for Overcoming the Banking Crisis, December 2010
- No. 181 **Szanyi, M.:** Industrial Clusters: Concepts and Empirical Evidence from East-Central Europe, December 2010
- No. 182 **Szalavetz, A.:** The Hungarian automotive sector – a comparative CEE perspective with special emphasis on structural change, December 2010
- No. 183 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Perret, K.J.; Erdem, D.:** The Hungarian ICT sector – a comparative CEE perspective with special emphasis on structural change, December 2010
- No. 184 **Lengyel, B.:** Regional clustering tendencies of the Hungarian automotive and ICT industries in the first half of the 2000's, December 2010
- No. 185 **Schröder, C.:** Regionale und unternehmensspezifische Faktoren einer hohen Wachstumssdynamik von IKT Unternehmen in Deutschland; Dezember 2010
- No. 186 **Emons, O.:** Innovation and Specialization Dynamics in the European Automotive Sector: Comparative Analysis of Cooperation & Application Network, October 2010
- No. 187 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The Twin Crisis: From the Transatlantic Banking Crisis to the Euro Crisis?, January 2011
- No. 188 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Green ICT Dynamics: Key Issues and Findings for Germany, March 2012

- No. 189 **Erdem, D.:** Foreign Direct Investments, Energy Efficiency and Innovation Dynamics, July 2011
- No. 190 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Atomstromkosten und -risiken: Haftpflichtfragen und Optionen rationaler Wirtschaftspolitik, Mai 2011
- No. 191 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Towards a Euro Fiscal Union: Reinforced Fiscal and Macroeconomic Coordination and Surveillance is Not Enough, January 2012
- No. 192 **Irawan, Tony:** ICT and economic development: Conclusion from IO Analysis for Selected ASEAN Member States, November 2013
- No. 193 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Perret, J.:** Information & Communication Technology and True Real GDP: Economic Analysis and Findings for Selected Countries, February 2014
- No. 194 **Schröder, C.:** Dynamics of ICT Cooperation Networks in Selected German ICT Clusters, August 2013
- No. 195 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Jungmittag, A.:** Telecommunications Dynamics, Output and Employment, September 2013
- No. 196 **Feiguine, G.; Solojova, J.:** ICT Investment and Internationalization of the Russian Economy, September 2013
- No. 197 **Kubielas, S.; Olender-Skorek, M.:** ICT Modernization in Central and Eastern Europe, May 2014 Trade and Foreign Direct Investment New Theoretical Approach and Empirical Findings for US Exports & European Exports
- No. 198 **Feiguine, G.; Solovjova, J.:** Significance of Foreign Direct Investment for the Development of Russian ICT sector, May 2014
- No. 199 **Feiguine, G.; Solovjova, J.:** ICT Modernization and Globalization: Russian Perspectives, May 2014
- No. 200 **Syraya, O.:** Mobile Telecommunications and Digital Innovations, May 2014
- No. 201 **Tan, A.:** Harnessing the Power of ICT and Innovation Case Study Singapore, June 2014
- No. 202 **Udalov, V.:** Political-Economic Aspects of Renewable Energy: Voting on the Level of Renewable Energy Support, November 2014
- No. 203 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Overcoming the EU Crisis and Prospects for a Political Union, November 2014
- No. 204 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Irawan, T.:** Trade and Foreign Direct Investment: New Theoretical Approach and Empirical Findings for US Exports and European Exports, November 2014
- No. 205 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Competition in Telecommunications and Internet Services: Problems with Asymmetric Regulations, Dezember 2014
- No. 206 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Innovation, Inequality and a Golden Rule for Growth in an Economy with Cobb-Douglas Function and an R&D Sector, März 2015
- No. 207 **Perret, J.K.:** Comments on the Impact of Knowledge on Economic Growth across the Regions of the Russian Federation
- No. 208 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Irawan T.:** European Innovations Dynamics and US Economic Impact: Theory and Empirical Analysis, June 2015
- No. 209 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Transatlantisches Freihandelsabkommen EU-USA: Befunde zu den TTIP-Vorteilen und Anmerkungen zur TTIP-Debatte, Juni 2015
- No. 210 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Overcoming the Euro Crisis and Prospects for a Political Union, July 2015
- No. 211 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function for Open Economies: A New Endogenous Knowledge and Output Analysis, January 2016

Weitere Beiträge von Interesse:

Titels of related interest:

Paul J.J. Welfens; Jens K. Perret; Tony Irawan; Evgeniya Yushkova (2015), Towards Global Sustainability, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; A. Korus; T. Irawan (2014), Transatlantisches Handels- und Investitionsabkommen: Handels-, Wachstums- und industrielle Beschäftigungsdynamik in Deutschland, den USA und Europa, Lucius & Lucius Stuttgart

Paul J.J. Welfens (2013), Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2013), Social Security and Economic Globalization, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2012), Clusters in Automotive and Information & Communication Technology, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2011), Innovations in Macroeconomics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2011), Zukunftsfähige Wirtschaftspolitik für Deutschland und Europa, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Cillian Ryan (2011), Financial Market Integration and Growth, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Raimund Bleischwitz; Paul J.J. Welfens; ZhongXiang Zhang (2011), International Economics of Resource Efficiency, Physica-Verlag HD

Paul J.J. Welfens; John T. Addison (2009), Innovation, Employment and Growth Policy Issues in the EU and the US, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Suthiphand Chirathivat; Franz Knipping (2009), EU – ASEAN, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Ellen Walther-Klaus (2008), Digital Excellence, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Huib Meijers; Bernhard Dachs; Paul J.J. Welfens (2008), Internationalisation of European ICT Activities, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens; Michael Heise (2007), 50 Years of EU Economic Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Mathias Weske (2007), Digital Economic Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Franz Knipping; Suthiphand Chirathivat (2006), Integration in Asia and Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Edward M. Graham; Nina Oding; Paul J.J. Welfens (2005), Internationalization and Economic Policy Reforms in Transition Countries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Anna Wziatek-Kubiak (2005), Structural Change and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Peter Zoche; Andre Jungmittag; Bernd Beckert; Martina Joisten (2005), Internetwirtschaft 2010, Physica-Verlag HD

Evgeny Gavrilentov; Paul J.J. Welfens; Ralf Wiegert (2004), Economic Opening Up and Growth in Russia, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

John T. Addison; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Labor Markets and Social Security, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Timothy Lane; Nina Oding; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Real and Financial Economic Dynamics in Russia and Eastern Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Claude E. Barfield; Günter S. Heiduk; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Thomas Gries; Andre Jungmittag; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Neue Wachstums- und Innovationspolitik in Deutschland und Europa, Physica-Verlag HD

Hermann-Josef Bunte; Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), Wettbewerbsdynamik und Marktabgrenzung auf Telekommunikationsmärkten, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Ralf Wiegert (2002), Transformationskrise und neue Wirtschaftsreformen in Russland, Physica-Verlag HD

Paul J.J. Welfens; Andre Jungmittag (2002), Internet, Telekomliberalisierung und Wirtschaftswachstum, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), Interneteconomics.net, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

David B. Audretsch; Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), The New Economy and Economic Growth in Europe and the US, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), European Monetary Union and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), Internationalization of the Economy and Environmental Policy Options, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), Stabilizing and Integrating the Balkans, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens (2000), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

- Paul J.J. Welfens; Evgeny Gavrilencov** (2000), Restructuring, Stabilizing and Modernizing the New Russia, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Klaus Gloede; Hans Gerhard Strohe; Dieter Wagner** (1999), Systemtransformation in Deutschland und Rußland, Physica-Verlag HD
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Cornelius Graack** (1999), Technologieorientierte Unternehmensgründungen und Mittelstandspolitik in Europa, Physica-Verlag HD
- Paul J.J. Welfens; George Yarrow; Ruslan Grinberg; Cornelius Graack** (1999), Towards Competition in Network Industries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens** (1999), Globalization of the Economy, Unemployment and Innovation, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens** (1999), EU Eastern Enlargement and the Russian Transformation Crisis, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; S. Jungbluth; H. Meyer; John T. Addison; David B. Audretsch; Thomas Gries; Hariolf Grupp** (1999), Globalization, Economic Growth and Innovation Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; David B. Audretsch; John T. Addison; Hariolf Grupp** (1998), Technological Competition, Employment and Innovation Policies in OECD Countries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- John T. Addison; Paul J.J. Welfens** (1998), Labor Markets and Social Security, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Axel Börsch-Supan; Jürgen von Hagen; Paul J.J. Welfens** (1997), Wirtschaftspolitik und Weltwirtschaft, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; George Yarrow** (1997), Telecommunications and Energy in Systemic Transformation, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Jürgen v. Hagen; Paul J.J. Welfens; Axel Börsch-Supan** (1997), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre 2, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Holger C. Wolf** (1997), Banking, International Capital Flows and Growth in Europ, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens** (1997), European Monetary Union, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens (1996), European Economic Integration as a Challenge to Industry and Government, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Jürgen v. Hagen; Axel Börsch-Supan; Paul J.J. Welfens** (1996), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre 1, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens** (1996), Economic Aspects of German Unification, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Cornelius Graack (1996), Telekommunikationswirtschaft, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (1996), European Monetary Integration , Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Michael W. Klein; Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Multinationals in the New Europe and Global Trade, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Economic Aspects of German Unification, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Market-oriented Systemic Transformations in Eastern Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens (1990), Internationalisierung von Wirtschaft und Wirtschaftspolitik, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Paul J.J. Welfens; Leszek Balcerowicz (1988), Innovationsdynamik im Systemvergleich, Physica-Verlag HD