UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT UND INTERNATIONALE MAKROÖKONOMIK



Paul J.J. Welfens

<u>The Optimum Import Tariff in the Presence of Outward</u> <u>Foreign Direct Investment</u>

EIIW Diskussionsbeitrag 269 EIIW Discussion Paper 269



Europäische Wirtschaft und Internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen European Economy and International Economic Relations

ISSN 1430-5445

Paul J.J. Welfens

The Optimum Import Tariff in the Presence of Outward Foreign Direct Investment

January 2020



Herausgeber/Editor: Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Chair in European Economic Integration

EUROPÄISCHES INSTITUT FÜR INTERNATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN (EIIW)/ EUROPEAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Campus Freudenberg, Rainer-Gruenter-Straße 21, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany Tel.: (0)202 – 439 13 71 Fax: (0)202 – 439 13 77 E-mail: welfens@eiiw.uni-wuppertal.de www.eiiw.eu

JEL classification: F13, F15, F21, F23 **Key words:** import tariff, big economy, optimum tariff, outward foreign direct investment, US and China

Summary:

International Economics shows in the field of import tariff analysis that for small open economies the optimum import tariff is zero, while for a large economy the welfaremaximizing import tariff is given by 1/E' where E' is the foreign supply elasticity. This result, however, no longer holds if there is foreign direct investment in the respective export sector abroad. The higher the share of outward cumulated FDI in the foreign export sector is, the higher the dampening effect on the traditional optimum import tariff. As cumulated outward FDI has become rather important in the case of US and EU FDI in China, Japan, India and other countries, it is important to reconsider optimum import tariffs. It is argued that outward FDI could also affect optimum import tariffs through a macroeconomic channel, namely the effect of changes in net income from abroad. Since the optimum tariff will affect the real exchange rate, FDI in turn will be affected by the tariff policy – in line with the FROOT/STEIN argument on the real exchange rate affecting international FDI inflows and FDI outflows, respectively. Moreover, both outward FDI in the tradable and non-tradable sectors are relevant. In the US-China tariff conflict under President Trump, the FDI-related aspects have been ignored – and similarly in the UK with respect to No-Deal tariffs vis-à-vis the EU27 - so that import tariffs imposed/announced in several sectors are too high.

Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Professor for European Economic Integration; Chair for Macroeconomics; President of the European Institute for International Economic Relations at the University of Wuppertal, (EIIW), Rainer-Gruenter-Str. 21, D-42119 Wuppertal; +49 202 4391371), Alfred Grosser Professorship 2007/08, Sciences Po, Paris; Research Fellow, IZA, Bonn; Non-Resident Senior Fellow at AICGS/Johns Hopkins University, Washington DC.

Prof. Welfens has testified before the US Senate, the German Parliament, the EP, the IMF etc.

welfens@eiiw.uni-wuppertal.de, www.eiiw.eu

EIIW 2020 = 25 years of award-winning research

<u>The Optimum Import Tariff in the Presence of Outward</u> <u>Foreign Direct Investment</u>

EIIW Diskussionsbeitrag 269 EIIW Discussion Paper 269

Table of Contents

Tab	le of ContentsI	[
List	of Figures III	[
List	of Tables III	[
1.	Introduction 1	l
2.	Optimum Tariff in the Presence of Outward FDI: Theoretical Aspects2	2
3.	Conclusions	5
Refe	erences7	7
Арр	endix	3

List of Figures

Figure 1: True FDI Openness (Inflows and Outflows Relative to GDP), Selected Countrie 2016	s, 2
Figure 2: Optimum Import Tariff in Setting with Outward Foreign Direct Investment	. 4
Figure 3: Average US Tariff Rate on Chinese Imports	.9

List of Tables

Table 1:	Import Tariff List of the UK – For Selected Commodities	8
Table 2:	US Import Tariff Rates on Chinese Goods	9

1. Introduction

In Economics, the optimum import tariff of country 1 (home country) is shown to be 1/E' where E' is the foreign export elasticity (supply elasticity). If, however, there is outward foreign direct investment (FDI) in country 2, the situation is different as will be shown subsequently. Since cumulated outward foreign direct investment has increased considerably in OECD countries and Newly Industrialized Countries since the mid-1980s, it is important to consider the effects of outward FDI in the optimum tariff literature. The share of foreign investment also varies considerably by sector; as regards the necessary modification of the optimum tariff literature, one might argue that only outward FDI in the tradable sector is important, but additional reflections will show that outward FDI in the non-tradable sector could also be relevant. It is remarkable that the Trump Administration, in its trade conflicts with China, has emphasized that US import tariffs would be quite favorable for the United States and that high import tariff revenues would accrue to the US. However, the Trump Administration's list of import tariffs vis-à-vis China has ignored any foreign direct investment effects although US multinational companies have relatively high investments in many sectors in China. WELFENS (2019a, pp. 325-327) has pointed out this US policy inconsistency – with key aspects explained in a graphical approach. Subsequently, the simple mathematics of the modified optimum tariff solution, namely for the case of outward cumulated FDI, are presented.

The debate about import tariff and inward FDI has played some role in the literature, particularly as BHAGWATI (2004) has argued that the presence of foreign investors and multinational companies, respectively, will create strong lobbying pressure in host countries to have low import tariffs – and this pressure should be the higher, the higher the share of intermediate imports relative to gross domestic products is. As regards the openness of countries with respect to trade and foreign direct investment, one may point out that for many economic aspects effective trade openness (BRETSCHGER/HETTICH, 2002) is crucial where one has corrected the trade-GDP ratio for the size effect of countries. In a similar way, the following graph shows the true FDI openness of selected countries.



Figure 1: True FDI Openness (Inflows and Outflows Relative to GDP), Selected Countries, 2016

Source: Own representation

As regards FDI inflows in OECD countries, many crucial factors can be shown within a gravity modelling approach to influence FDI dynamics (WELFENS/BAIER, 2018) and in a similar way outward FDI dynamics (BAIER/WELFENS, 2019) show clearly the variables that are influencing FDI flows. While FDI flows have become increasingly important in the world economy since the 1980s, the impact of FDI on key economic variables has not been studied broadly, despite the fact that the role of multinational companies has been growing over decades in many countries.

In the subsequent analysis, the role of FDI for trade in intermediate products will not be analyzed. Section 2 presents the theoretical derivation of a new optimum tariff formula. Section 3 shows the crucial policy relevance of this new formula for both the United States, for example in the context of the US-Sino trade conflicts under the Trump Administration, and it is argued that the UK import tariff list for a No-Deal case with the EU – it might become relevant in 2021 - also contains import tariffs which are too high for an optimum tariff rate.

2. Optimum Tariff in the Presence of Outward FDI: Theoretical Aspects

In the traditional optimum tariff literature, the case of a large economy typically is considered. The foreign exports are shown as the supply curve k'* (* for foreign variable, k' is marginal costs). Import demand is given by the schedule DD0 in the sector considered. Import quantity is denoted by J, the foreign offer price net of the import tariff t' is denoted

as p. The optimum tariff will balance the additional gains which emerge from a reduced world market price (Jdp/dt') – after the imposition of the tariff in country 1 – and the welfare loss from reduced import volume; both areas are shown in the subsequent figure.

(1)
$$\frac{Jdp}{dt'} - t^{opt} \left(\frac{pdJ}{dt'}\right) = 0$$
(2)
$$t^{opt} = \frac{\left(\frac{dp}{dt'}\right)J}{\left(\frac{dJ}{dt'}\right)p}$$

The reduction of the world market price through import tariffs in country 1 amounts to lower profits of the exporting country (country 2). As the supply elasticity of exports E' is (dJ/dt')/(dp/dt'))(P/M), the traditional result is given by:

$$t^{opt} = \frac{1}{E}$$

If, however, foreign investors own a share α in the capital stock abroad and all goods are tradables, the marginal gain from reduced profits abroad is – with β^* denoting the share of profits in gross domestic product abroad - given by the term (1- $\alpha\beta^*$) J(dp/dt'), so that welfare maximization is now given by the condition:

(1')
$$(1-\alpha\beta^*)\frac{Jdp}{dt'} - t^{opt}\frac{pdJ}{dt'} = 0$$

Here it has to be considered that the import tariff reduces the exports of country 1 firms' subsidiaries in country 2, and lower profits in subsidiaries abroad reduce overall profits of country 1 companies – this should dampen the stock market price index in country 1; lower profits in MNC subsidiaries also imply a lower real national income (Z) which is the sum of real GDP (Y) plus net income from abroad.

In the presence of outward FDI, the optimum import tariff for any sector (i; subscripts are dropped here) is now given by

(3')
$$t^{opt} = \frac{1 - \alpha \beta^*}{E'}$$

Accordingly, the presence of outward foreign direct investment reduces the optimum import tariff. If foreigners would own the whole capital stock so that α would be unity and if one assumes that β^* is 40% - as in many developing countries (e.g., in China) – or the familiar 1/3 in many OECD countries, then the optimum import tariff of a big OECD economy would be only 60% of the traditional optimal import tariff.

The Bhagwati conjecture that foreign direct investment should bring about less protectionism is reinforced by the analysis presented here – even if there is no trade in intermediate products. This in turn implies that regional integration schemes should be viewed with modest reservations provided that the (small) countries that create a regional integration club can be considered to be important source countries of outward FDI. As most Western EU countries were already major FDI source countries in the 1980s, the EU

southern enlargement, the EFTA enlargement round in the 1990s and the eastern EU enlargement rounds after 2004 should be rather uncritical in terms of import tariff pressure. In this perspective, the UK – BREXIT implementation has started on January 31, 2020 – has been an important country for a rather pro-free trade policy stance in the EU (not just because of the long-standing UK tradition of free trade, but also because the UK has been a major source country of outward FDI in the EU).

In the reality of import tariff policy, one will have to consider various sectors (i=1, 2...N) which will all have different supply elasticities. Typically, supply elasticities will be rather high in sectors which can be characterized by low-technology products. In contrast, the export elasticity of high technology products should have a rather low price elasticity unless the respective firms are obtaining a patent-based monopoly position which is a special aspect not considered further here – clearly, the monopoly firms (after having obtained a patent) will offer in the price-elastic part of the demand curve.

Figure 2: Optimum Import Tariff in Setting with Outward Foreign Direct Investment



An analysis with a focus on certain individual sectors is not a macroeconomic approach, but one could easily integrate macro aspects in the analytical framework presented here if a broad trade policy with generally rising import tariff is considered:

- If country 1's government imposes import tariffs on all or many sectors of a big trading partner, the macroeconomic effect in country 2 will be a decline of real gross domestic product. Thus real imports from country 2 will reduce which, in turn, will dampen the real GDP of country 1 whose net exports of goods and services will decline.
- It is noteworthy that aggregate consumption C is a positive function of real national income $Z=Y + \alpha\beta^*Y^*q^*$ where q^* is the real exchange rate; here asymmetric FDI is considered, so that only MNCs from country 1 are investors abroad (in addition, two-way FDI could also be considered: WELFENS, 2011). Not only is C proportionate to real disposable national income ((1-t")Z) with t" denoting the income tax rate but also the demand for goods imported in general and for imported goods in individual sectors. If import tariffs are imposed by country 1 on many export sectors of country 2, then Y* will decline and therefore (at a given real exchange rate) Z will decline and this in turn implies a downward shift in all import markets and actually also in all consumption markets. This further adds a negative welfare effect on country 1 so that the optimum import tariff rate will be smaller than in the modified new formula presented above.
- It should be noted that the negative welfare effect of outward FDI for country 1 would be smaller than shown in the above new equation for the modified optimum import tariff, if part of the economy abroad consists of a non-tradables sector and if indeed part of outward FDI of country 1 firms went to the non-tradables sector in country 2. However, the negative macroeconomic effect discussed would still be relevant for an enhanced welfare analysis.

It is clear that an approach with inward and outward FDI would require to change the definition of Z to become $Z = Y(1-\alpha^*\beta) + \alpha\beta^*Y^*q^*$. This in turn would be an adequate framework to study the problems of an international tariff war, but clearly that scenario would be more complicated than the rather simple setup presented here. However, this rather compact setting has already shown clear implications for policymakers, including for the Trump Administration in the US and the Johnson government in the United Kingdom post-BREXIT – with both governments so far lacking an adequate optimal import tariff policy.

The optimum tariff analysis is related to the Marshall Lerner condition and the enhanced (sharper) Marshall Lerner condition (WELFENS, 2019b) which takes into account the role of foreign direct investment. Clearly, an optimum sectoral import tariff will reduce the expenditures for imports in a given sector and broad application of optimal import tariffs across sectors will in turn affect both imports as well as real national income. If the application of the optimum import tariffs brings about a real appreciation of the currency of country 1 – hence a depreciation of the currency of country 2 –, there is a further macroeconomic effect: As the FROOT/STEIN (1991) arguments suggest that a real depreciation in a world of imperfect capital markets will go along with higher FDI inflows, multinationals from country 1 will invest more in country 2 in the setting with asymmetric FDI considered above at first. In a two-way FDI setting, both higher outward FDI from country 1 and lower inward FDI from country 2 have to be considered – and the associated shifts in market demand curves in the tradables sector.

3. Conclusions

The Trump Administration has adopted a rather aggressive tariff policy vis-à-vis China in 2018/19; only in January 2020 has a first US-China agreement in trade policy been adopted. As regards the US-Sino trade conflict under President Trump, one may assume that the Trump Administration has chosen import tariffs for Chinese exporters in line with the traditional optimum tariff literature. Since the US and US firms are important FDI sources for most export sectors in China it is clear that the Trump Administration has adopted excessive import tariffs where it has not been considered that profits of US subsidiaries in China will be reduced by US import tariffs. This in turn would partly explain why the US stock market indices have reacted negatively to US import tariffs.

It is also noteworthy that the UK under the May government has already released a list of intended import tariffs for the potential case of a No-Deal BREXIT (see appendix). To the extent that the UK government has chosen import tariffs for the No-Deal scenario on the basis of the traditional import tariff literature, the proposed import tariffs are too high. This implies, of course, that the tariff revenues expected for the UK could be somewhat lower than considered so far in the literature; for example, the Institute for World Economics (IfW, Kiel) has calculated that the UK could, under a No-Deal scenario, expect import tariff revenues that would equal about €6 billion.

In the US-China tariff conflict under President Trump, the FDI-related aspects have been ignored – and similarly in the UK with respect to No-Deal tariffs vis-à-vis the EU27 - so that import tariffs imposed/announced in several sectors are too high. While it should not be difficult to calculate optimum import tariffs in an enhanced approach with outward foreign direct investment, one should also be aware that import tariffs are not likely to generate considerable medium-term benefits for a big economy in a system of flexible exchange rates. To the extent that higher import tariffs transitorily improve the current account balance in the medium term, one should not overlook that an appreciation of the currency will make import goods relatively cheaper while exports become less profitable – with profits expressed in domestic currency units. This well-known foreign exchange rate argument from CORDEN (1987) also suggests that aggressive US import tariffs will not generate much benefit for the United States.

Moreover, to the extent that a rather ad hoc trade policy of the Trump Administration undermines confidence in US economic policy, the tariff policy of that administration could indeed indirectly dampen global output growth and thereby the prospects for higher US net exports of goods and services. One should not overlook that part of the US economic expansion in the years 2018-2019 was not due so much to a successful US trade policy – as emphasized by President Trump – but rather to a strong fiscal stimulus in the form of a strange expansionary fiscal policy in an economic upswing: with deficit-GDP ratios of 4 percent to 5 percent in 2018/2019; if such policies would be continued, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO, 2020) expects a US debt-GDP ratio of 180% by 2050 which is such a negative US outlook that one may think that voters will stop a continued strange fiscal experiment in the USA.

References

- BHAGWATI, J. (2004), In Defense of Globalization, Oxford University Press: New York NY
- BAIER, F.J.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2019), The UK's banking FDI flows and Total British FDI: a dynamic BREXIT analysis, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, vol. 16 (1), 193-213 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-018-00426-x</u>
- BRETSCHGER, L.; HETTICH, F. (2002), Globalisation, Capital Mobility and Tax Competition: Theory and Evidence for OECD Countries, *European Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 18, 695-716.
- CBO (2020), The Budget and Economic Outlook: 2020 to 2030, Congressional Budget Office (CBO), Congress of the United States, January 2020 https://www.cbo.gov/publication/56073
- CORDEN, W.M. (1987), Protection and Liberalization: A Review of Analytical Issues, Occasional Paper No. 54, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC
- FROOT, K.; STEIN, J. (1991), Exchange Rates and Foreign Direct Investments: An Imperfect Capital Markets Approach, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1191-1217
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2011), Innovations in Macroeconomics, 3rd revised and enlarged edition, Springer: Heidelberg and New York.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2019a), The Global Trump Structural US Populism and Economic Conflicts with Europe and Asia, London: Palgrave Macmillan
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2019b), New Marshall-Lerner conditions for an economy with outward and two-way foreign direct investment, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 16, 593-617 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-019-00450-5</u>
- WELFENS, P.J.J.; BAIER, F. (2018), BREXIT and FDI: Key Issues and New Empirical Findings, International Journal of Financial Studies, 6(2), 46 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs6020046</u>

Appendix

Selected "Most-Favoured-Nation" Tariffs as	Tariffs: Ad valorum, specific and
per the UK Govt, in the event of No Deal	compound tariffs
BREXIT	
Motor cars and other motor vehicles principally	10.0%
designed for the transport of <10 persons.	
including station wagons and racing cars with	
diesel engine/ with both spark-ignition internal	
combustion reciprocating piston engine and	
electric motor as motors for propulsion capable	
of being charged by plugging to external source	
of electric power/ with only electric motor for	
propulsion	
Motorcycles, including mopeds, with	8.0%
reciprocating internal combustion piston engine	
of a cylinder capacity $\leq 250 \text{ cm}^3$	
Bananas, fresh (excluding plantains)	114 euros /1000kg
Bananas dried (excluding plantains)	16.0%
Fresh or chilled boyine meat boneless	$6.8 \pm 160.1 \text{ euros}/100 \text{kg}$
Natural butter of a fat content by weight of $\geq =$	60.5 euros/100 kg
80% but $\leq = 85\%$ in immediate packings of a	00.5 Curos / 100kg
net content of $\leq 1 \text{ kg}$ (excluding dehydrated	
butter and ghee)	
Grated or powdered cheese of all kinds	24.9 euros /100kg
Processed cheese, not grated or powdered, in the	19.1 euros /100kg
manufacture of which no cheeses other than	
emmentaler, gruvère and appenzell have been	
used and which may contain, as an addition.	
glarus herb cheese 'known as schabziger': put	
up for retail sale, of a fat content by weight in	
the dry matter of $\leq 56\%$.	
Vanilla, neither crushed nor ground	6.0%
Cloves, whole fruit, cloves and stems, neither	8.0%
crushed nor ground	
Cocoa paste (excluding defatted)	9.6%
Cocoa butter, fat and oil	7.7%
Fresh or chilled beans 'vigna spp., phaseolus	10.4% + 1.6 euros/100kg
spp.', shelled or unshelled	ç
Fresh or chilled boneless cuts of fowls of the	61.8 euros /100kg
species gallus domesticus	
Semi-milled round grain rice, parboiled	145 euros /1000kg
Frozen meat of lambs, boneless, frozen	12.8% + 234.5 euros /100kg
Fresh or chilled loins and cuts thereof of	11.4 euros /100kg
domestic swine	
Pneumatic tyres, new, of rubber, of a kind used	4.5%
for buses or lorries, with a load index of > 121	
Men's or boys' swimwear (excluding knitted or	12.0%
crocheted)	

 Table 1:
 Import Tariff List of the UK¹ – For Selected Commodities

¹ Note that the UK government guidance on non-preferential tariff rates and quotas on imports if the UK leaves the EU with no deal was officially withdrawn as of 30 January 2020 per the website: <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/temporary-rates-of-customs-duty-on-imports-after-eu-exit</u>



 Figure 3:
 Average US Tariff Rate on Chinese Imports

Table 2.	US Import	Tariff Rates on	Chinese (Sport
Table 2:	US Import	I armi Kates on	Chinese v	JUUUS

Commodity (Top 10 US Imports from	Tariff Rate (as of 15 December 2019)	
China by Value (US\$) in 2018		
RADIO TELEPHONES DESIGNED FOR		
THE PUBLIC CELLULAR	150/	
RADIOTELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE,	13%	
EXCLUDING FOR MOTOR VEHICLES		
PORTABLE DIGTL AUTOMATIC DATA		
PROCESSING MACHINES, WEIGHT NOT		
MORE THAN 10 KG,CONSISTING OF AT	15%	
LEAST A CENTRAL PROCESSING		
UNIT,KEYBOARD & A DISPLAY		
MACHINES FOR THE RECEPTION,		
CONVERSION & TRANSMISSION OR		
REGENERATION OF VOICE, IMAGES OR	30%	
OTHER DATA, INCLUDING SWITCHING		
& ROUTING APPARATUS, NESOI		
TOYS, PARTS AND ACCESSORIES		
SUBJECT TO 15 U.S.C. 2052, LABELED OR		
DETERMINED BY IMPORTER AS	15%	
INTENDED FOR USE BY PERSONS 3 TO 12		
YEARS OF AGE, NESOI		
PARTS AND ACCESSORIES OF		
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING		
MACHINES AND UNITS NOT	30%	
INCORPORATING CATHODE RAY TUBE,		
PRINTING CIRCUIT ASSEMBLIES,		
MEMORY MODULES		
DIGITAL PROCESSING UNITS EXCLUDE		
SUBHEADING 8471.41 OR 8471.49, MAY	30%	
CONTAIN IN SAME HOUSING 1 OR 2 OF		

FOLLOWING: STORAGE, INPUT OR		
OUTPUT UNITS, NESOI		
VIDEO GAME CONSOLES AND		
MACHINES, OTHER THAN THOSE OF	150/	
SUBHEADING 9504.30 (COIN OPERATED	13%	
OR BY OTHER MEANS OF PAYMENT)		
MACHINES FOR THE RECEPTION,		
CONVERSION AND TRANSMISSION OR	15%	
REGENERATION OF VOICE, IMAGES OR	13%	
OTHER DATA, NESOI		
MONITORS, OF A KIND SOLELY OR		
PRINCIPALLY USED IN AN AUTOMATIC	150/	
DATA PROCESSING SYSTEMS OF	1370	
HEADING 8471, NESOI		
PARTS AND ACCESSORIES OF		
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING		
MACHINES AND UNITS NOT	30%	
INCORPORATING A CATHODE RAY		
TUBE, PRINTED CIRCUIT		
ASSEMBLIES;NESOI		

Source: Own representation of data from Bown (2019), US-China Trade War: The Guns of August, PIIE Trade and Investment Policy Watch blog, Peterson Institute for International Economics (August 26).

EIIW Diskussionsbeiträge EIIW Discussion Papers



ISSN 1430-5445:

Die Zusammenfassungen der Beiträge finden Sie im Internet unter: The abstracts of the publications can be found in the internet under:

http://www.eiiw.eu

- No. 161 Welfens, P.J.J.: Portfolio Modelling and Growth, January 2009
- No. 162 Bartelmus, P.: Sustainable Development Has It Run Its Course? January 2009
- No. 163 Welfens, P.J.J.: Intégration Européenne et Mondialisation: Défis, Débats, Options, February 2009
- No. 164 Welfens, P.J.J.: ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ РОСТ, ИННОВАЦИИ И ПРИРОДНЫЕ РЕСУРСЫ, February 2009
- No. 165 Welfens, P.J.J.; Vogelsang, M.: Regulierung und Innovationsdynamik in der EU-Telekommunikationswirtschaft, February 2009
- No. 166 Welfens, P.J.J.: The International Banking Crisis: Lessons and EU Reforms, February 2009
- No. 167 Schröder, C.: Financial System and Innovations: Determinants of Early Stage Venture Capital in Europe, March 2009
- No. 168 Welfens, P.J.J.: Marshall-Lerner Condition and Economic Globalization, April 2009
- No. 169 Welfens, P.J.J.: Explaining Oil Price Dynamics, May 2009
- No. 170 Welfens, P.J.J.; Borbély, D.: Structural Change, Innovation and Growth in the Single EU Market, August 2009
- No. 171 Welfens, P.J.J.: Innovationen und Transatlantische Bankenkrise: Eine ordnungspolitische Analyse, August 2009
- No. 172 Erdem, D.; Meyer, K.: Natural Gas Import Dynamics and Russia's Role in the Security of Germany's Supply Strategy, December 2009
- No. 173 Welfens P.J.J; Perret K.J.: Structural Change, Specialization and Growth in EU 25, January 2010
- No. 174 Welfens P.J.J.; Perret K.J.; Erdem D.: Global Economic Sustainability Indicator: Analysis and Policy Options for the Copenhagen Process, February 2010
- No. 175 Welfens, P.J.J.: Rating, Kapitalmarktsignale und Risikomanagement: Reformansätze nach der Transatlantischen Bankenkrise, Februar 2010
- No. 176 Mahmutovic, Z.: Patendatenbank: Implementierung und Nutzung, Juli 2010
- No. 177 Welfens, P.J.J.: Toward a New Concept of Universal Services: The Role of Digital Mobile Services and Network Neutrality, November 2010

- No. 178 **Perret J.K.:** A Core-Periphery Pattern in Russia Twin Peaks or a Rat´s Tail, December 2010
- No. 179 Welfens P.J.J.: New Open Economy Policy Perspectives: Modified Golden Rule and Hybrid Welfare, December 2010
- No. 180 Welfens P.J.J.: European and Global Reform Requirements for Overcoming the Banking Crisis, December 2010
- No. 181 Szanyi, M.: Industrial Clusters: Concepts and Empirical Evidence from East-Central Europe, December 2010
- No. 182 Szalavetz, A.: The Hungarian automotive sector a comparative CEE perspective with special emphasis on structural change, December 2010
- No. 183 Welfens, P.J.J.; Perret, K.J.; Erdem, D.: The Hungarian ICT sector a comparative CEE perspective with special emphasis on structural change, December 2010
- No. 184 Lengyel, B.: Regional clustering tendencies of the Hungarian automotive and ICT industries in the first half of the 2000's, December 2010
- No. 185 Schröder, C.: Regionale und unternehmensspezifische Faktoren einer hohen Wachstumsdynamik von IKT Unternehmen in Deutschland; Dezember 2010
- No. 186 **Emons, O.:** Innovation and Specialization Dynamics in the European Automotive Sector: Comparative Analysis of Cooperation & Application Network, October 2010
- No. 187 Welfens, P.J.J.: The Twin Crisis: From the Transatlantic Banking Crisis to the Euro Crisis? January 2011
- No. 188 Welfens, P.J.J.: Green ICT Dynamics: Key Issues and Findings for Germany, March 2012
- No. 189 Erdem, D.: Foreign Direct Investments, Energy Efficiency and Innovation Dynamics, July 2011
- No. 190 Welfens, P.J.J.: Atomstromkosten und -risiken: Haftpflichtfragen und Optionen rationaler Wirtschaftspolitik, Mai 2011
- No. 191 Welfens, P.J.J.: Towards a Euro Fiscal Union: Reinforced Fiscal and Macroeconomic Coordination and Surveillance is Not Enough, January 2012
- No. 192 Irawan, T.: ICT and economic development: Conclusion from IO Analysis for Selected ASEAN Member States, November 2013
- No. 193 Welfens, P.J.J.; Perret, J.: Information & Communication Technology and True Real GDP: Economic Analysis and Findings for Selected Countries, February 2014
- No. 194 Schröder, C.: Dynamics of ICT Cooperation Networks in Selected German ICT Clusters, August 2013
- No. 195 Welfens, P.J.J.; Jungmittag, A.: Telecommunications Dynamics, Output and Employment, September 2013
- No. 196 Feiguine, G.; Solojova, J.: ICT Investment and Internationalization of the Russian Economy, September 2013
- No. 197 **Kubielas, S.; Olender-Skorek, M.:** ICT Modernization in Central and Eastern Europe, May 2014 Trade and Foreign Direct Investment New Theoretical Approach and Empirical Findings for US Exports & European Exports
- No. 198 Feiguine, G.; Solovjova, J.: Significance of Foreign Direct Investment for the Development of Russian ICT sector, May 2014
- No. 199 Feiguine, G.; Solovjova, J.: ICT Modernization and Globalization: Russian Perspectives, February 2012
- No. 200 Syraya, O.: Mobile Telecommunications and Digital Innovations, May 2014

- No. 201 Tan, A.: Harnessing the Power if ICT and Innovation Case Study Singapore, March 2014
- No. 202 Udalov, V.: Political-Economic Aspects of Renewable Energy: Voting on the Level of Renewable Energy Support, November 2014
- No. 203 Welfens, P.J.J.: Overcoming the EU Crisis and Prospects for a Political Union, March 2014
- No. 204 Welfens, P.J.J.; Irawan, T.: Trade and Foreign Direct Investment: New Theoretical Approach and Empirical Findings for US Exports and European Exports, November 2014
- No. 205 Welfens, P.J.J.: Competition in Telecommunications and Internet Services: Problems with Asymmetric Regulations, December 2014
- No. 206 Welfens, P.J.J.: Innovation, Inequality and a Golden Rule for Growth in an Economy with Cobb-Douglas Function and an R&D Sector
- No. 207 Jens K. Perret.: Comments on the Impact of Knowledge on Economic Growth across the Regions of the Russian Federation
- No. 208 Welfens, P.J.J.; Irawan T.: European Innovations Dynamics and US Economic Impact: Theory and Empirical Analysis, June 2015
- No. 209 Welfens, P.J.J.: Transatlantisches Freihandelsabkommen EU-USA: Befunde zu den TTIP-Vorteilen und Anmerkungen zur TTIP-Debatte, Juni 2015
- No. 210 Welfens, P.J.J.: Overcoming the Euro Crisis and Prospects for a Political Union, July 2015
- No. 211 Welfens, P.J.J.: Schumpeterian Macroeconomic Production Function for Open Economies: A New Endogenous Knowledge and Output Analysis, January 2016
- No. 212 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Beyond EU-US Trade Dynamics: TTIP Effects Related to Foreign Direct Investment and Innovation, February 2016
- No. 213 Welfens, P.J.J.: Misleading TTIP analysis in the 6th/7th May 2016 issue of DER SPIEGEL, May 2016
- No. 214 Welfens, P.J.J.: TTIP-Fehlanalyse im SPIEGEL Heft 6. Mai 2016, Mai 2016
- No. 215 Welfens, P.J.J.; Irawan, T.; Perret, J.K.: True Investment-GDP Ratio in a World Economy with Investment in Information & Communication Technology, June 2016
- No. 216 Welfens, P.J.J.: EU-Osterweiterung: Anpassungsprozesse, Binnenmarktdynamik und Euro-Perspektiven, August 2016
- No. 217 **Perret, J.K.:** A Spatial Knowledge Production Function Approach for the Regions of the Russian Federation, June 2016
- No. 218 Korus, A.: Currency Overvaluation and R&D Spending, September 2016
- No. 219 Welfens, P.J.J.: Cameron's Information Disaster in the Referendum of 2016: An Exit from Brexit? September 2016
- No. 220 Welfens, P.J.J.: Qualitätswettbewerb, Produktinnovationen und Schumpetersche Prozesse in internationalen Märkten, October 2016
- No. 221 Jungmittag, A.: Techno-Globalisierung, October 2016
- No. 222 **Dachs, B.:** Techno-Globalisierung als Motor des Aufholprozesses im österreichischen Innovationssystem, October 2016
- No. 223 **Perret, J.K.:** Strukturwandel in der Europäischen Union am Beispiel ausgewählter Leitmärkte mit besonderem Bezug auf die Innovationstätigkeit der Mitgliedsländer, October 2016
- No. 224 Irawan, T.; Welfens, P.J.J.: ICT Dynamics and Regional Trade Bias in Asia: Theory and Empirical Aspects, October 2016

- No. 225 Korus, A.: Erneuerbare Energien und Leitmärkte in der EU und Deutschland, October 2016
- No. 226 **Dachs, B.; Budde, B.:** Fallstudie Nachhaltiges Bauen und Lead Markets in Österreich, October 2016
- No. 227 Welfens, P.J.J.: eHealth: Grundlagen der Digitalen Gesundheitswirtschaft und Leitmarktperspektiven, October 2016
- No. 228 Korus, A.: Innovationsorientierte öffentliche Beschaffung und Leitmärkte: Politische Initiativen in der EU, October 2016
- No. 230 Nan, Yu: Innovation of renewable energy generation technologies at a regional level in China: A study based on patent data analysis, December 2016
- No. 231 Welfens, P.J.J; Debes, C.: Globale Nachhaltigkeit 2017: Ergebnisse zum EIIW-vita Nachhaltigkeitsindikator, März 2018
- No. 232 Welfens, P.J.J.: Negative Welfare Effects from Enhanced International M&As in the Post-BREXIT-Referendum UK, April 2017
- No. 233 Udalov, V.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Digital and Competing Information Sources: Impact on Environmental Concern und Prospects for Cooperation, April 2017
- No. 234 Welfens, P.J.J.: The True Cost of BREXIT for the UK: A Research Note, October 2017
- No. 235 Welfens, P.J.J.; Hanrahan, D.: BREXIT: Key Analytical Issues and Insights from Revised Economic Forecasts, January 2018
- No. 236 Welfens, P.J.J.: Techno-Globalisierung, Leitmärkte und Strukturwandel in wirtschaftspolitischer Sicht, August 2017
- No. 238 Welfens, P.J.J.: Foreign Financial Deregulation under Flexible and Fixed Exchange Rates, June 2017
- No. 239 Welfens, P.J.J.; Kadiric, S.: Neuere Finanzmarktaspekte von Bankenkrise, QE-Politik und EU-Bankenaufsicht, July 2017
- No. 240 Welfens, P.J.J.; Hanrahan, D.: The BREXIT Dynamics: British and EU27 Challenges after the EU Referendum, May 2017
- No. 241 Welfens, P.J.J.; Baier, F.: BREXIT and FDI: Key Issues and New Empirical Findings, January 2018
- No. 242 Welfens, P.J.J.: International Risk Management in BREXIT and Policy Options, March 2018
- No. 243 Korus, A.; Celebi, K.: The Impact of Brexit on the British Pound/Euro Exchange rate The Impact of Brexit on the British Pound/Euro Exchange rate, April 2018
- No. 244 Welfens, P.J.J.; Yushkova, E.: IKT-Sektor in China und Wirtschaftsbeziehungen zu Deutschland, April 2018
- No. 245 Udalov, V.: Analysis of Individual Renewable Energy Support: An Enhanced Model, June 2018
- No. 246 Welfens, P.J.J.: Lack of International Risk Management in BREXIT? July 18 2018
- No. 247 Xiong, T.; Welfens, P.J.J.: The Effects of Foreign Direct Investment on Regional Innovation Capacity in China, June 2018
- No. 248 Welfens, P.J.J.: New Marshall-Lerner Conditions for an Economy with Outward and Two-Way Foreign Direct Investment, July 2018, Updated February 2019
- No. 249 Welfens, P.J.J.; Xiong, T.: BREXIT Perspectives: Financial Market Dynamics, Welfare Aspects and Problems from Slower Growth, September 2018

- No. 250 Welfens, P.J.J.; Udalov, V.: International Inequality Dynamics: Issues and Evidence of a Redistribution Kuznets Curve, September 2018
- No. 251 Kadiric, S.; Korus, A.: The Effects of Brexit on Corporate Yield Spreads: Evidence from UK and Eurozone Corporate Bond Markets, September 2018
- No. 252 Welfens, P.J.J.: Import Tariffs, Foreign Direct Investment and Innovation: A New View on Growth and Protectionism, December 2018
- No. 253 Welfens, P.J.J.: Explaining Trumpism as a Structural US Problem: New Insights and Transatlantic Plus Global Economic Perspectives, October 2018
- No. 254 **Baier, F.J.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** The UK's Banking FDI Flows and Total British FDI: A Dynamic BREXIT Analysis, November 2018
- No. 255 Welfens, P.J.J.; Yu, N.; Hanrahan, D.; Schmuelling, B; Fechtner, H.: Electrical Bus Mobility in the EU and China: Technological, Ecological and Economic Policy Perspectives, December 2018
- No. 256 Welfens, P.J.J.; Baier, F.; Kadiric, S.; Korus, A.; Xiong, T.: EU28 Capital Market Perspectives of a Hard BREXIT: Theory, Empirical Findings and Policy Options, March 2019
- No. 257 Welfens, P.J.J.: Council of Economic Advisers: Biased Per Capita Consumption Comparison of the US with Europe, March 2019 (forthcoming)
- No. 258 Welfens, P.J.J.: Wirtschaftspolitik-Fehlorientierung des Westens nach 1989: Bankenkrise, Globalisierungs-Ordnungsdefizit und Desintegrationsdruck, April 2019
- No. 259 Welfens, P.J.J.: CO2-Steuer, Zertifikate-Handel und Innovationsförderung als Klimapolitik-Instrumente, June 2019
- No. 260 Welfens, P.J.J.: BREXIT- Wirtschaftsperspektiven für Deutschland und NRW: Mittelund langfristige Effekte & Politikoptionen, June 2019
- No. 261 **Baier, F.J.:** Foreign Direct Investment and Tax: OECD Gravity Modelling in a World with International Financial Institutions, August 2019
- No. 262 Welfens, P.J.J.: Rationale Klimapolitik für das Erreichen des Ziels Klimaneutralität: NRW-Deutschland-EU-G20Plus, Oktober 2019
- No. 263 Welfens, P.J.J.: After Eastern German State Elections 2019: Germany Facing Serious Politico-Economic Problems, September 2019
- No. 264 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, Paul J.J.: EU-US Trade Post-Trump Perspectives: TTIP Aspects Related to Foreign Direct Investment and Innovation, November 2019, forthcoming
- No. 265 Welfens, P.J.J.: Financial Markets and Oil Prices in a Schumpeterian Context of CO2-Allowance Markets, December 2019, forthcoming
- No. 266 Welfens, P.J.J.; Xiong, T.: US MNCs' Reinvested Earnings and Investment in EU Countries: New Thoughts on Feldstein-Horioka, December 2019, forthcoming
- No. 267 Welfens, P.J.J.; Celebi, K.: CO2 Allowance Price Dynamics and Stock Markets in EU Countries: Empirical Findings and Global CO2-Perspectives, January 2020, forthcoming
- No. 268 Celebi, K.: Quo Vadis, Britain? Implications of the Brexit Process on the UK's Real Economy, January 2020, forthcoming
- No. 269 Welfens, P.J.J.: The Optimum Import Tariff in the Presence of Outward Foreign Direct Investment, January 2020

Weitere Beiträge von Interesse:

Titels of related interest:

- Paul J.J. Welfens (2017), Macro Innovation Dynamics and the Golden Age, New Insights into Schumpeterian Dynamics, Inequality and Economic Growth, Springer Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (Nov. 2016), Brexit aus Versehen: Europäische Union zwischen Desintegration und neuer EU, Springer Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Jens K. Perret; Tony Irawan; Evgeniya Yushkova (2015), Towards Global Sustainability, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; A. Korus; T. Irawan (2014), Transatlantisches Handels- und Investitionsabkommen: Handels-, Wachstums- und industrielle Beschäftigungsdynamik in Deutschland, den USA und Europa, Lucius & Lucius Stuttgart
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2013), Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik, 5. Auflage, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2013), Social Security and Economic Globalization, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2012), Clusters in Automotive and Information & Communication Technology, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2011), Innovations in Macroeconomics, 3rd revised and enlarged edition, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2011), Zukunftsfähige Wirtschaftspolitik für Deutschland und Europa, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Cillian Ryan, eds. (2011), Financial Market Integration and Growth, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Raimund Bleischwitz; Paul J.J. Welfens; Zhong Xiang Zhang (2011), International Economics of Resource Efficiency, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; John T. Addison (2009), Innovation, Employment and Growth Policy Issues in the EU and the US, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Suthiphand Chirathivat; Franz Knipping (2009), EU ASEAN, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Ellen Walther-Klaus (2008), Digital Excellence, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Huub Meijers; Bernhard Dachs; Paul J.J. Welfens (2008), Internationalisation of European ICT Activities, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens; Michael Heise (2007), 50 Years of EU Economic Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Mathias Weske (2007), Digital Economic Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Franz Knipping; Suthiphand Chirathivat (2006), Integration in Asia and Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Edward M. Graham; Nina Oding; Paul J.J. Welfens (2005), Internationalization and Economic Policy Reforms in Transition Countries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Anna Wziatek-Kubiak (2005), Structural Change and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

- Paul J.J. Welfens; Peter Zoche; Andre Jungmittag; Bernd Beckert; Martina Joisten (2005), Internetwirtschaft 2010, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- **Evgeny Gavrilenkov; Paul J.J. Welfens; Ralf Wiegert** (2004), Economic Opening Up and Growth in Russia, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- John T. Addison; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Labor Markets and Social Security, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Timothy Lane; Nina Oding; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Real and Financial Economic Dynamics in Russia and Eastern Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Claude E. Barfield; Günter S. Heiduk; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Thomas Gries; Andre Jungmittag; Paul J.J. Welfens (2003), Neue Wachstums- und Innovationspolitik in Deutschland und Europa, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- Hermann-Josef Bunte; Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), Wettbewerbsdynamik und Marktabgrenzung auf Telekommunikationsmärkten, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Ralf Wiegert (2002), Transformationskrise und neue Wirtschaftsreformen in Russland, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Andre Jungmittag (2002), Internet, Telekomliberalisierung und Wirtschaftswachstum, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), Interneteconomics.net, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- David B. Audretsch; Paul J.J. Welfens (2002), The New Economy and Economic Growth in Europe and the US, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), European Monetary Union and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), Internationalization of the Economy and Environmental Policy Options, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (2001), Stabilizing and Integrating the Balkans, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens (2000), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Evgeny Gavrilenkov (2000), Restructuring, Stabilizing and Modernizing the New Russia, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Klaus Gloede; Hans Gerhard Strohe; Dieter Wagner (1999), Systemtransformation in Deutschland und Rußland, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Cornelius Graack (1999), Technologieorientierte Unternehmensgründungen und Mittelstandspolitik in Europa, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; George Yarrow; Ruslan Grinberg; Cornelius Graack (1999), Towards Competition in Network Industries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1999), Globalization of the Economy, Unemployment and Innovation, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1999), EU Eastern Enlargement and the Russian Transformation Crisis, Springer Berlin Heidelberg

- Paul J.J. Welfens; S. Jungbluth; H. Meyer; John T. Addison; David B. Audretsch; Thomas Gries; Hariolf Grupp (1999), Globalization, Economic Growth and Innovation Dynamics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; David B. Audretsch; John T. Addison; Hariolf Grupp (1998), Technological Competition, Employment and Innovation Policies in OECD Countries, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- John T. Addison; Paul J.J. Welfens (1998), Labor Markets and Social Security, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Axel Börsch-Supan; Jürgen von Hagen; Paul J.J. Welfens (1997), Wirtschaftspolitik und Weltwirtschaft, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; George Yarrow (1997), Telecommunications and Energy in Systemic Transformation, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Jürgen v. Hagen; Paul J.J. Welfens; Axel Börsch-Supan (1997), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre 2, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Holger C. Wolf (1997), Banking, International Capital Flows and Growth in Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1997), European Monetary Union, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- **Richard Tilly; Paul J.J. Welfens** (1996), European Economic Integration as a Challenge to Industry and Government, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Jürgen v. Hagen; Axel Börsch-Supan; Paul J.J. Welfens (1996), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre 1, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1996), Economic Aspects of German Unification, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Cornelius Graack (1996), Telekommunikationswirtschaft, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1996), European Monetary Integration, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Michael W. Klein; Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Multinationals in the New Europe and Global Trade, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Economic Aspects of German Unification, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1992), Market-oriented Systemic Transformations in Eastern Europe, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens (1990), Internationalisierung von Wirtschaft und Wirtschaftspolitik, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Paul J.J. Welfens; Leszek Balcerowicz (1988), Innovationsdynamik im Systemvergleich, Physica-Verlag Heidelberg