UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT UND INTERNATIONALE MAKROÖKONOMIK



Ekaterina Markova

Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia

Diskussionsbeitrag 118 Discussion Paper 118

Europäische Wirtschaft und Internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen European Economy and International Economic Relations

ISSN 1430-5445

Ekaterina Markova

Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia

December 2003

Herausgeber/Editor: Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Chair in European Economic Integration

EUROPÄISCHES INSTITUT FÜR INTERNATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN (EIIW)/ EUROPEAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany Tel.: (0)202 – 439 31 71 Fax: (0)202 – 439 31 77 E-mail: welfens@uni-wuppertal.de www.euroeiiw.de

JEL classification: L96, L43, P20 Key words: Liberalisation, Telecommunications, Russia

Summary: This paper analyses the process of and problems with development of telecommunications in Russia and focuses on the issue of liberalisation, i.e., opening the market to the forces of competition as a means of improving telecommunications infrastructure and the provision of services. We give an overview of trends in the Russian telecommunications sector and investigate the main bottlenecks for its expansion. Moreover the structure of the Russian telecommunications industry as well as the current competitive situation are described and analysed. Finally, we examine the Russian Government's policies in the area of telecommunications reform, with particular focus on the implementation and progress of liberalisation. This includes an analysis of cross-subsidisation, monopoly retention, state intervention through pro-competitive policies and regulations as well as providing universal services.

Zusammenfassung: Dieses Arbeitspapier analysiert die Prozesse und Probleme der Telekommunikationsentwicklung in Russland, und fokussiert vor allem die Liberalisierung, d.h. die Öffnung des Marktes für den Wettbewerb mit dem Ziel der Verbesserung der Telekommunikationsinfrastruktur und der Bereitstellung der Dienstleistungen. Im Papier wird ein Überblick über die Trends im russischen Telekommunikationssektor gegeben und die wichtigsten Engpässe ihrer Expansion untersucht. Außerdem wird die Struktur der russischen Telekommunikationsindustrie beschrieben und analysiert. Anschließend wird die Politik der Regierung bei der Telekommunikationsreform untersucht, dabei werden besonders die Implementierung und der Fortschritt bei der Liberalisierung fokussiert. Hierbei wird in erster Linie auf die Cross-Subventionierung, Einbehaltung der Monopole, staatliche Interventionen durch die prowettbewerbliche Politik und Regulierung und Bereitstellung der universalen Dienstleistungen eingegangen.

Ekaterina Markova, M.A. - Ph. D. Candidate at the European Institute of International Economic Relations at the University of Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany; markova@wiwi.uni-wuppertal.de

EIIW Paper No. 118

Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia

Contents

1.	Overview and Trends	. 1
2.	Structure of the Russian Telecommunications Market	. 5
3.	Liberalisation und Telecommunications Reform in Russia	. 6
Refe	rences	.9

List of Figures

Figure	1: Digital	divide in the fixed	d telecommunications	3
\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}			

List of Tables

Table 1: State of Russian Telecommunications Network	1
Table 2: Annual telecommunications investment (in % to GDP)	2
Table 3: Fixed and mobile phone industry	2
Table 4: International comparison of selected indicators	4

1. Overview and Trends

The Russian telecommunications market demonstrated strong growth from 2000 to 2002, and the overall volume of sales in the sector exceeded \$8.5 billion in 2002. This accounts for 2.5% of GDP and is considerably higher compared to \$5.2 billion in 2000. The independent providers of new services, such as mobile telecommunications and Internet services, accounted for more than half this amount (YUDAEVA, 2003).

Nevertheless, the development of this sector is still relatively weak and has many problems. In the NRI-Network Readiness Indicator of 2003, Russia ranked 69, leaving large room for Information and Communication Technology (ICT) development on the part of the Russian government. The same proved true in the ITU Digital Access Index where Russia received a rank of 0.50^{1} .

The country's vast territories and partly poor quality of telecommunications equipment, especially in the rural areas, and an inefficient legislative base, make the process of development in the telecommunications sector complex and challenging. Table 1 presents the state of Russian telecommunications equipment. While there were improvements in the late 1990s, significant weaknesses remain, especially in digitalisation and quality of the infrastructure, most notably in rural areas. Thus the Russian telecommunications sector shows a significantly low quality of telecommunications services for the users. The level of telephone faults per 100 main lines was equal to 38 in 1998. This is relatively high in comparison to other countries, for example Poland with 26 and Finland with 8 (ITU, 2002).

	1980	1985	1990	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Share of automatic	channels									
Fully automatic systems	43,1	64,6	76,4	80,5	84,8	88,7	91,3	93,6	94,8	95,5
Partly automatic systems	29,7	19,6	13,9	10,7	8,9	6,1	4,5	3,0	2,4	2,0
Share of Digitalisat	ion of fully	automat	ic system	15						
Urban	-	0,4	4,6	17,0	21,9	27,0	35,5	39,0	41,5	46,0
Rural	-	0,03	1,4	7,6	9,5	12,0	13,7	15,3	17,4	19,0
Long-distance chan	nels									
Share of analogue channels			0,8	9,1	35,3	47,6	56,9	69,1	76,9	87,3
Share of optic channels			0,04	4,5	13,6	31,2	42,6	63,2	50,5	71,5

Source: GOSKOMSTAT, 2003

The needs of Russia with respect to telecommunications investment are huge, with rather different estimates abounding. The amount of investment estimated by the Ministry of Communications is \$33 billion (about 10% of GDP) over the next 10 years (NAZAROVA, 2002). SVYAZINVEST evaluated the figure at \$15 billion to bring Russia

¹ Sweden and Denmark received the highest ranks in the Digital Access Index with 0,85 and 0,83 respectively, while Burkina Faso (0,08) and Niger (0,04) received the lowest rankings (ITU, 2003).

to the level of industrialized countries (SVYAZINVEST, 2003). Table 2 depicts annual Russian expenses on telecommunication investment in relation to the GDP. Compared to selected transition countries, China and some developed countries, these levels are quite low. The structure of Russian trade in telecommunications equipment within Russia indicates that the import of telecommunications equipment makes up over 95% of all trade volume (ITU, 2002).

	1998	1999	2000
China	1,88	1,96	2,49
Czech Republic	2,09	1,60	2,37
Germany	0,41	0,47	0,32
Poland	0,74	0,93	0,87
Russia	0,39	0,40	0,24
United States	0,28	0,28	0,29

Table 2: Annual telecommunications investment (in % to GDP)

Source: ITU, 2002

The number of telephone lines per employee of telecommunications enterprises indicates a low efficiency of Russia's telecommunications firms. According to Russian figures from 2000, one employee had access to merely 75 telephone lines, while their counterparts in the USA had 172 and in Poland 159 telephones lines per employee (WORLD BANK, 2002). That shows the very low efficiency of Russian telecommunications enterprises.

As illustrated in Table 3, the number of fixed telephones in Russia has been increasing, and the penetration rate reached 24% in 2001 (GOSKOSMSTAT, 2002). This is close to the level of other CEE countries such as Poland (29%) and Lithuania (27%), although it is still really low compared to the level of industrial countries which often reach penetration levels of 70% to 90% (IBM, 2003). The main Russian population centres are quite well served, but large areas of this vast country have extremely poor access or no access at all. The waiting list for basic services included approximately 6.5 million names in 2000, and the average waiting time for installation was about 5 years (WORLD BANK, 2002).

	1980	1985	1990	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Density of fixed teleo	communica	ations use	ers (100	people)	with clos	e proxim	ity to pa	y phone		
connections										
total	9,7	12,2	15,8	18,1	18,7	19,5	20,6	21,8	22,8	24,1
urban	11,8	14,5	18,3	21,6	22,3	23,3	24,7	26,4	27,4	29,1
rural	4,8	6,2	8,6	8,8	8,9	9,0	9,3	9,6	10,1	10,6
digital divide between urban and										
rural regions	7,0	8,3	9,7	12,8	13,4	14,3	15,4	16,8	17,3	18,5
Mobile phone users										
Thousands		-		93,2	233,5	495,5	761,7	1415,9	3331,2	7750,5
Density of Mobile										
Phone (100 person)				0,06302	0,15820	0,33685	0,51922	0,96781	2,28791	5,35256

Table 3: Fixed and mobile phone industry

Source: GOSKOMSTAT, 2003

A clear increase in problems related to this digital divide can be seen in the Russian telecommunications sector. The term 'digital divide' describes the fact that the world can be divided into people who do and people who do not have access to - and the capability to use - modern information technology, such as the telephone, television, or the Internet. The digital divide exists between those in cities and those in rural areas. Figure 1 demonstrates the digital divide in fixed telecommunications - people in the Russian rural areas have less developed fixed telecommunications - which is growing each year. In Table 1 the digital divide in telecommunications infrastructure can be seen.



Figure 1: Digital divide in the fixed telecommunications

*digital divide between urban and rural regions = density of fixed telecommunications users (100 person) in urban areas in the year i – density of fixed telecommunication users (100 person) in rural areas in the year i.

Source: GOSKOMSTAT, 2003 and own calculations

Prices in fixed telecommunications compared to other countries reflect the crosssubsidies. Rates for local calls and residential monthly telephone subscription in comparison to the other countries are relatively cheap. In contrast, international calls are very expensive. This can be seen in Table 4.

	1998	1999	2000	2001
Telephone avera				
Czech Republic	0,07	0,15	0,13	0,11
Germany	0,11	0,11	0,1	0,09
Poland	0,06	0,07	0,08	
Russia		0,01		
United States	0,09			
Telephone avera	age cost of call to U.S.(US\$	per three minutes)		
China	6,70		6,70	
Czech Republic	3,28	2,00	0,97	
Germany	1,43	0,80	0,34	
Poland	3,65		2,92	
Russia	6,12		6,12	
United States			-	
Residential mor	thly telephone subscription	n (US\$)		
China	2,66	2,66	3,02	
Czech Republic	3,1	3,91	4,53	
Germany	12,16	11,63	10,04	
Poland	3,86	4,61	7,01	
Russia	2,06	2,03		
United States	19,76	19,93	20,78	

Table 4: International comparison of selected indicators

Source: World Bank, WDI, 2002

Mobile telephony is growing rapidly, especially in the metropolitan centres, and the number of subscribers has doubled every year. According to Anton Pogriebinski, AC&M, in January 2003 Russia had 36.15 mil. subscribers. The average penetration level taken for Russia as a whole makes up 25 %. In Moscow, this coefficient is sufficiently larger. This indicates the typical phenomenon in some Eastern European countries that the penetration rate of mobile phones is higher than the penetration rate of fixed-line telecommunications. The reason for this lies in the poor quality and long waiting periods for obtaining a fixed telephone line (BRALIEV, KORISCHENKO, 2002) as well as the social status that comes along with owning a mobile telephone in the Eastern Europe. The difference in penetration rates between urban and rural areas opens up a wide potential for market growth over the next several years. Mobile service providers are moving quite rapidly to increase coverage, but there are still large, sparsely populated areas where coverage is uneconomical (NAZAROVA, 2002).

Internet user penetration rates were estimated at 4.3% in January 2002. This is double the level of the previous year. In 2002 alone, the growth rate reached 39 %. The government is establishing public centres for Internet access under the auspices of the program, Electronic Russia 2002-2010. The Russian web hosting market has also seen rapid growth rates in recent years. Poor market data exists for this field, and the number of web hosting users and web hosting revenues vary strongly. Estimations by the World Bank and ITU in 2001 have stated that there are over 354.3 thousand web hosts in Russia. Russian sources have estimated over 55.5 thousand users of web hosting services with average monthly revenues of around \$20 per user .

Broadband is in its infancy in Russia. According to the investment firm, Brunswick UBS Warburg, around 15,000 customers subscribe to ADSL, cable TV and asymmetric satellite services, with most of these subscribers being corporations. The investment

company Vesta Eurasia estimates the Russian market for high-speed, broadband Internet access in 2001 to be in the area of \$30 million and the number of subscribers at around 25,000. Broadband is far from being a mass market in Russia.

This was a short overview of the Russian telecommunications market. We will now proceed with a discussion on the structure of this market.

2. Structure of the Russian Telecommunications Market

The major telecommunications holding company, Svyazinvest, was founded in 1994 to consolidate government-owned shares in the regional operators of Russia. In 2002, the fixed-line industry was reorganized, with 70 regional operators being consolidated into 7 pan-regional companies. Rostelecom, the nation-wide backbone operator and the subsidiary of Svyazinvest, remained a separate entity. Svyazinvest and its subsidiaries make up the network of Incumbent Local Exchange Carries.

Competitive Local Exchange Carriers are challenging the incumbent providers. This group consists of the alternative operators such as Sistema Telecom, Golden Telecom, Global One and Combellga as well as the operators of national corporations such as Transtelecom (Ministry of Railways), Gazsvyaz (Gazprom) and UES Telecom (the electric utility, UES). The latter are not primarily in telecommunications, but are rapidly building their telecommunications infrastructure and are expected to emerge as major competitors within this sector.

The three mobile telephony operators – Vimpelcom, MTS and Megafon – have successfully concentrated the market in their hands, now controlling about 80 percent of the market. The rapid growth of the market over the last couple of years has been the result of significant price cutting strategies as well as the emergence of the third major player, Megafon, in the market. The Megafon group had about 1.13 million registered subscribers by February 2002, posting the fastest growth in the sector.

There are three standards of mobile telephony in Russia: GSM, ADMPS/TDMA and NMT - 450. The former is 2G, while the latter two are analogue-based technologies. The GSM standard dominates the market (82%), while ADMPS/TDMA and NMT-450 operators respectively had 11.6% and 6% of the market share (NAZAROVA, 2002). NMT operators are looking for ways to convert their networks to the digital standard. Moscow Cellular Communication (MCC) and Delta Telecom have completed pilot projects in Moscow and St. Petersburg based on CDMA-450 technology supplied by Lucent Technology and Qualcomm. The operators suppose that changing from NMT-450 to CDMA-450 standards will allow a smooth transition to 3G networks technology. ADMPS/TDMA networks subscribers are currently operational in 58 Russian regions. Despite the decision of the Russian Ministry of Communication in 2000 to retain GSM as the only cellular standard in Russia, the number of clients by AMPS operators doubled. New technologies such as Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) and General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) are now being implemented by Russian cellular operators as well, but insufficient content services and low consumer purchasing power are the main barriers to dissemination of these services.

The Internet access market remains fragmented with about 300 Internet Service Providers (ISP) active and many more companies holding licenses to provide Internet access services. Intense competition within this market has dramatically driven the prices down. Golden Telecom, Sistema Telecom and Equant control over 40% of the Internet access market. Rostelecom sells wholesale capacity to ISPs and enjoys 20% of the market. Rostelecom and Svyazinvest's regional telecom operators established RTComm.ru, which plans to take over Rostelecom's wholesale ISP business and will also offer retail Internet access under a single name. RTComm.ru is potentially a major competitive threat for the current operators. Over the next few years, more mergers and acquisitions are expected.

The Russian web hosting market is also growing rapidly, and estimations for this market vary greatly. This segment is very attractive for new entrants, with half of the current market leaders having entered the market over the last 18 months. Some changes through mergers and acquisitions could also be possible here in the future.

The small broadband market is competitive. Most parties represented in this market are closed to the CLECs. Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) enjoys its greatest popularity in Moscow, largely as a result of aggressive marketing by Tochka.Ru. In St. Petersburg this technology is provided by Web Plus, which has over 700 registered users. The second most popular technology on the Russian market, Broadband Wireless Access (BWA), is more expensive, and the number of users is not very impressive.

3. Liberalisation und Telecommunications Reform in Russia

An analysis of the telecommunications market in Russia indicates a lot of weaknesses. Many of these relate to strong market concentration, especially in fixed-line telecommunications, and could be eliminated through market liberalisation. The experiences of other countries including those in transition present the results of liberalisation in the telecommunications (WELFENS, 1996, 1999). It is well-known that the introduction of forces of competition on the market brings about lower prices, more or better quantities, and modern price strategies (for example bundling) or appropriate qualities of service in attracting customers. Competition serves public interest by inducing suppliers to become more efficient and to use new innovation and technologies (McCARTHY, 2001).

Despite this, the market can seldom be perfectly competitive. Because of this, government intervention is necessary to implement competition policy. There are two different types of governmental intervention. The first type is behavioural, whereby a public authority attempts to modify the behaviour of a particular firm or group of firms through regulation of their behaviour (e.g., price regulation). A second form of intervention is structural. Such intervention affects the market structure of the industry (e.g., merger or acquisition regulation). Cooperation between competition policy and telecommunications policy as well as between competition authority and telecommunications regulator is important for the creation of competition policy in the telecommunications sector due to the possible asymmetry in the market structure.

The Russian government is represented in the Russian telecommunications market by the Ministry of Anti-monopoly Policy and Support of Enterprises as well as by the Ministry of Telecommunications. Russia does not have an independent telecommunications regulator, which has been argued to be the optimal solution for telecommunications regulation. The Ministry of Anti-monopoly Policy and Support of Enterprises secures prices through state regulation of the telecommunications sector, especially in the case of a natural monopoly. The Ministry of Telecommunications takes over all other aspects of regulation in the telecommunications sector.

The 2003 ranking of Russia in the Networked Readiness Index Rank for competition in the telecommunications sector is 58, indicating a low degree of liberalisation in the Russian market. The policy of the Russian government in the telecommunications sector can be found in the Law on Communications from July 2003. The Law on Competition and the Limiting of Monopolistic Activity in the Goods Market as well as amendments thereto make up Russian competition policy. The main important points of the Law on Communications market and possible interference in this process will now be discussed below.

As discussed in the second section of this paper, seven new interregional companies, reorganized in 2002, emerged in the fixed-line industry of the Russian market. The number of telephone lines available to these companies is comparable to that of companies in other countries, but their market capitalization is small by international standards, encouraging foreign investors to stay away. One of the biggest problems here is the cross-subsidisation of local calls and telephone subscription from long distance call revenues. The Russian government would like to retain some level of cross-subsidisation. This is the reason it insists on retaining the monopoly of Rostelecom for long distance communication services. This is one of most important issues for liberalization of the market. The experience of other countries demonstrates that direct subsidies can bring about more desired results by providing services to small towns and increasing penetration rates. The opening of the market for long distance and international services can bring about a sharp fall of prices as seen, for example, through the liberalisation of the Chinese telecommunications market.

The new Law on Communication guarantees non-discriminatory interconnection access to all operators under state-regulated access pricing. Some experts argue that regulation is a costly and burdensome process, resulting in highly inefficient outcomes. But it is well-known that a perfect competition market is not possible in every stage of the telecommunications market due to low contestability in these stages of telecommunications industries. The regulation policy at these stages should aim to achieve an output similar to the output under competitive conditions. It is feasible that the main problem with state regulatory regime. In the case of Russia, it is the rate of return regulation. The negative results from this regime, especially its low incentives for increasing efficiency and eliminating overinvestment problems, are well-known in the telecommunications market of other countries.

The current market structure of mobile operators and ISPs in Russia seems to be quite competitive. The government should try to prevent anti-competitive behaviour not only in the above-mentioned market segments but also in the telecommunications market as a whole. This prevention includes agreements between firms and single firm behaviour. In addition, the competition policy should take into account the effects of market structure either by breaking up monopolies into competing units or via rules that prevent mergers and acquisitions. The level of critical market share in Russia is 35%. The focus of Russian law is similar to that seen in the EU and relates solely to market share and not to the ability to set prices above the competition level as is typical in the USA. Competition policy in Russia primarily addresses the activities of firms, but regulation by the state can also damage competition by restricting entry or price rivalry.

On the Russian telecommunications market a telecommunication licence authorizes an entity to provide telecommunications services or operate telecommunication facilities. In the new Law on Communication, the competitive licensing process has to exist only in the case of the availability of scarce resources, such as spectrum and numbers. A major objective of the licensing process in many markets is to ensure the viability and benefit of new competitive entry. On the other hand, licensing requirements can also provide a means to limit market access. This is one of the most important issues on the way of liberalising the Russian telecommunications market, where the grant of a telecommunications licence is a unilateral act of the Ministry of Telecommunications. The practice of good licensing contends that the licence conditions should be clear, proportionate and enforceable.

The new Law on Communication also specifies that universal services be provided at an affordable price within Russia, and this is to be subsidised from a reserve fund consisting of special non-tax contributions (2% of the revenue) of all telecommunication operators. The notion of universal services ensure that every citizen should have access to a pay phone within an hour's distance, and communities with a minimum population of 500 are entitled to public Internet connection centres. The right to provide universal services should be sold by auction, with the government obligating the incumbent company to provide universal services in the area in question and reimbursing the maximal possible expenses if no carrier wishes to take part in said auction.

There are many discussions addressing this issue with some researchers arguing that new technologies such as mobile telecommunications may be more suitable for bringing telephone service to small and remote locations than fixed telecommunications. Thus technologically-speaking, the government's universal services plan may not be the most efficient. This argument is only in part valid, as new technologies may help provide telecommunications services and should certainly be used. If the fixed network continues to be underdeveloped, however, there could be the risk that some modern telecommunications services (e.g., broadband technologies) remain widely inaccessible. On the other hand, the system of contracts being awarded to incumbent firms in the event of a lack of participation in contracting auctions could prove more efficient. The incumbent firm would thus have no incentive to decrease the cost.

Increasing the degree of competition in the telecommunications sector could bring about a result which the Russian telecommunications sector has as of yet still missed. Firstly, it is essential to increase quality and penetration rates while decreasing prices. Secondly, it is important to attract investment to the sector by increasing the incentives to be efficient through the introduction of competition. Moreover, liberalisation of the market can achieve better results with respect to the digital divide between urban and rural areas. Some of the steps toward liberalisation were already outlined by the Russian government, but there are still a lot of constraints with a state monopoly on long-distance calls and services as well as the cross-subsidisation of local calls through long distance call revenues being a prime example.

References

Law on Communication (2003) Russian Federation, Federal Law

Law on Competition and Limiting of monopole activities on the good market (1992) Russian Federation, Federal Law

BRALIEV, A., KORISCHENKO, K. (2002): Global Competitiveness Report 2001-2002, http://www.cid.harvard.edu/cr/profiles/Russian%20Federation.pdf (11.12.03)

GOSKOMSTAT (2002): Rossiisky Statistichesky Eshegodnik, 2002

- GRAACK, C., GRINBERG, R., WELFENS, P.J.J., YARROW, G. (Eds., 1999): Towards Competition in Network Industries – Telecommunication, Energy and Transportation in Europe und Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer
- IBM (2003): 3rd Report on Monitoring of EU Candidate Countries, Brussels-Luxemburg
- ITU (2002): World Telecommunication Indicators Database, 6th edition
- McCARTHY, T. (2001): Telecommunication Regulation Handbook, Information for Development, World Bank Group
- NAZAROVA, I. (2002): Trends in the Russian Telecommunication Market, http://www.tiaonline.org/policy/regional/nis/041902NISTrend.pdf 11.12.03)
- SVYAZINVEST (2003): Praim Tass, <u>http://www.svyazinvest.ru/press/?id=2280</u> Privatisierung und Internationalisierung, Heidelberg und New York: Springer
- WELFENS, P.J.J., GRAACK, C. (1996): Telekommunikationswirtschaft: Deregulierung, Privatisierung und Internationalisierung, Heidelberg: Springer
- WORLD BANK (2002): World Development Indicators, 6th edition
- YUDAEVA (2003): Telecommunications Reform, Transition Newsletter, vol. 14, p. 23-24

EIIW Discussion Papers

ISSN 1430-5445:

Standing orders (usually 13 issues or more p.a.): academic rate 95 Euro p.a.; normal rate 250 Euro p.a.

Single orders: academic rate10 Euro per copy; normal rate 20 Euro per copy.

Die Zusammenfassungen der Beiträge finden Sie im Internet unter: The abstracts of the publications can be found in the internet under:

http://www.euroeiiw.de

- No. 1 Welfens, P.J.J.: Telecommunications in Systemic Transformation, January 1995.
- No. 2 Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack, C.: Telecommunications in Western Europe: Liberalization, Technological Dynamics and Regulatory Developments, January 1995.
- No. 3 Welfens, P.J.J.: Achieving Competition in Europe's Telecommunications Sector, February 1995.
- No. 4 Addison, J.T.: The Dunlop Report: European Links and Other Odd Connections, May 1995.
- No. 5 Addison, J.T.; Blackburn, McKinley L.: A Puzzling Aspect of the Effect of Advance Notice on Unemployment, May 1995.
- No. 6 Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack, C.: Deregulierungspolitik und Wettbewerb in Netzindustrien: Bedeutung und Optionen für osteuropäische Transformationsländer, May 1995.
- No. 7 Addison, J.T. Chilton, J.B.: Models of Union Behavior, June 1995.
- No. 8 **Graack, C.:** EU-Telecom Markets and International Network Alliances: Developments, Strategies and Policy Implications, August 1995.
- No. 9 Welfens, P.J.J.: Koordinationserfordernisse der EU-Infrastrukturpolitik, November 1995.
- No. 10 **Hillebrand, R.:** Umweltpolitik in föderalen Systemen eine kritische Analyse der EU-Umweltpolitik, Dezember 1995.
- No. 11 Addison, J.T.; Schnabel, C.; Wagner J.: On the Determinants of "Mandatory" Works Councils in Germany, December 1995.
- No. 12 Welfens, P.J.J.: Towards Full Employment and Growth in the European Union, December 1995.
- No. 13 Welfens, P.J.J.: Wirtschaftspolitische Kompetenzverteilung in der Europäischen Union, Dezember 1995.
- No. 14 Welfens, P.J.J.: Privatization, Efficiency and Equity, January 1996.
- No. 15 Hartwig, K.-H.; Welfens P.J.J.: EU and Eastern Europe: Western European Integration and Eastern European Transformation, May 1996.
- No. 16 Welfens, P.J.J.: Konsequenzen einer Osterweiterung für die EU und deren Reformbedarf, May 1996.
- No. 17 **Graack, C.:** Structure of the Telecoms Sector and Degree of Internationalization in Europe and Russia, July 1996.
- No. 18 **Bogai, D.:** Werkstatt der Deutschen Einheit? Wirtschaft und Arbeitsmarkt in der Region Berlin-Brandenburg, Oktober 1996.
- No. 19 **Graack, C.:** Internationale Aspekte der Telekommunikationswirtschaft: Liberalisierung, internationale Tarifmechanismen und Wohlfahrtseffekte, Oktober 1996.
- No. 20 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Telekommunikation, Innovation und die langfristige Produktionsfunktion: Theoretische Aspekte und eine Kointegrationsanalyse für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Oktober 1996.
- No. 21 Welfens, P.J.J.; Guth M.: EU-Strukturpolitik in Deutschland: Entwicklung, Effizienzüberlegungen und Reformoptionen, Oktober 1996.

- No. 22 Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack C.: Telekommunikationsmärkte in Europa: Marktzutrittshemmnisse und Privatisierungsprobleme aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, Oktober 1996.
- No. 23 Welfens, P.J.J.: Die Position Deutschlands im veränderten Europa: Wirtschaftliche und reformpolitische Perspektiven, November 1996.
- No. 24 Hartmann, P.: Foreign Exchange Vehicles Before and After EMU: From Dollar/Mark to Dollar/Euro?, November 1996.
- No. 25 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.: The Political Economy of EMU and Stabilization Policy, May 1997.
- No. 26 Hölzler, H.: Privatisierung und Einführung von Wettbewerb in Rußland, Januar 1996.
- No. 27 Welfens, P.J.J.: Small and Medium-sized Companies in Economic Growth: Theory and Policy Implications in Germany, May 1997.
- No. 28 **Bogai, D.:** Europäische Arbeitsmarktpolitik und nationale beschäftigungspolitische Initiativen, May 1997.
- No. 29 Welfens, P.J.J.: Research & Development Policy and Employment, June 1997.
- No. 30 Sinclair, A.: Liberalising the Electricity Supply Industry in Western and Eastern Europe: Lessons for Russia, July 1997.
- No. 31 Graack, C.: Infrastructure Investments and Regulation in Telecommunications, July 1997.
- No. 32 Welfens, P.J.J.; Schwarz A.: Die Rolle des Staates in der Sozialen Marktwirtschaft bei Globalisierung der Wirtschaftsbeziehungen, August 1997.
- No. 33 Welfens, P.J.J.; Wiegert R.: Transformation Policies, Regulation of Telecommunications and Foreign Direct Investment in Transforming Economies, July 1997.
- No. 34 Welfens, P.J.J.: Internationalization of Telecoms, Deregulation, Foreign Investment and Pricing: Analysis and Conclusions for Transforming Economies, July 1997.
- No. 35 Schwarz, A.: Subventionspolitik in den mittel- und osteuropäischen Transformationsländern: Gegenwärtige Strukturen, Probleme und Transparenzdefizite, September 1997.
- No. 36 Welfens, P.J.J.; Hillebrand R.: Globalisierung der Wirtschaft: Wirtschaftspolitische Konsequenzen des internationalen Standortwettbewerbs, September 1997.
- No. 37 Stiller, H.: Material Intensity of Transportation and Implications for Sustainable Mobility in Europe, September 1997.
- No. 38 Gerstberger, T.; Graack C.: Competition and Deregulation in the Japanese Telecommunications Network Industry, September 1997.
- No. 39 Welfens, P.J.J.: Wirtschaftspolitische Flankierungserfordernisse des Euro-Starts, November 1997.
- No. 40 Aslund, A.: The Political Economy of Systemic Transformation and Institution-Bulding, November 1997.
- No. 41 **Guth, M.:** Regionale Beschäftigungspakte im Rahmen der EU-STrukturpolitik: Hintergrund und Einordnung, November 1997.
- No. 42 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Politische Ökonomie der Europäischen Währungsunion und Stabilitätspolitik, January 1998.
- No. 43 Welfens, P.J.J.: Labor Costs, Unemployment and Innovation, February 1998.
- No. 44 Addison, J.T.; Audretsch, D.B.; Gries, T.; Grupp H.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Economic Globalization, Innovation and Growth, April 1998.
- No. 45 Welfens, P.J.J.: Euro, Währungsunion und EU-Binnenmarkt, April 1998.
- No. 46 Addison, J.T., Schnabel, C.; Wagner J.: Works Councils in Germany: Their Effects on Firm Performance, March 1998.
- No. 47 Addison, J.T.; Portugal, P.: Short- and Long-Term Unemployment, March 1998.
- No. 48 Welfens, P.J.J.: Trade and Optimum Import Tariffs: A Note in the Context of Foreign Direct Investment, June 1998.

- No. 49 Bohn, F.: Monetary Union and the Interest-Exchange Trade-off, July 1998.
- No. 50 Welfens, P.J.J.: Exchange Rate Policy for the Euro: Theory, Strategic Issues and Policy Options, July 1998.
- No. 51 Addison, J.T.; Portugal P.: Job Search Methods and Outcomes, July 1998.
- No. 52 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.: Telecommunication, Innovation and the Long-Term Production Function: Theoretical Analysis and a Cointegration Analysis for West Germany 1960-1990, August 1998.
- No. 53 Welfens, P.J.J.: Eastern EU Enlargement: Problems, Conflicts and Policy Options, September 1998.
- No. 54 Welfens, P.J.J.: Die russische Transformationskrise: Monetäre und reale Aspekte sowie Politikoptionen, November 1998.
- No. 55 **Graack, C.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Internationaler Technologiewettlauf, Arbeitsmarktdynamik und Unternehmensgründungsdynamik bei Standortkonkurrenz, September 1998.
- No. 56 Welfens, P.J.J.: Liberalisierung der Energiewirtschaft in Deutschland und EU-Partnerländern, Januar 1999
- No. 57 Welfens, P.J.J.: The RussianTransformation Crisis: Origins, Analysis and NewPolicy Requirements, January 1999
- No. 58 Komulainen, Tuomas: Currency Crisis Theories Some Explanations for the Russian Case, May 1999
- No. 59 Welfens, P.J.J.: Internet Market Dynamics in Germany: From a small Market towards a Strategic Sector of the Economy, May 1999
- No. 60 Wiegert, R.: Der russische Bankensektor im Prozeß der Systemtransformation, Juni 1999
- No. 61 Vogelsang, M.: How to rescue Japan: Proposal of a staggered VAT reform. Draft, May 1999
- No. 62 Welfens, P.J.J.: The Start of the Euro, International Relations and Inflation, April 1999
- No. 63 **Sutela, P.:** Overcoming the Russian Transformation Crisis: Selected Issues and Policy Options, June 1999
- No. 64 Bohn, F.: The Italian Case: A Parable for the Eastern Enlargement of the EMU, July 1999
- No. 65 Meyer, B.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Innovation Augmented Ecological Tax Reform: Theory, Model Simulation and New Policy Implications, September 1999
- No. 66 **Gavrilenkov, E.:** Crisis in Russia: Selected Problems of the Macroeconomic Performance, September 1999
- No. 67 Steinsdorff, S. v.: Wie demokratisch ist Rußland? Dezember 1999
- No. 68 **Pelzel, R.:** Internationalisierung der Telekommunikation, eine Vergleichsanalyse für USA, Großbritannien und Deutschland, Dezember 1999
- No. 69 Serebryakov, G.: Structural Change and Econometric Prospective, January 2000
- No. 70 Bohn, F.: Political Instability, Inflation, and International Loans, February 2000
- No. 71 Welfens, P.J.J.: The EU and Russia: Strategic Aspects of Transformation and Integration, April 2000
- No. 72 Jungmittag, A.: Techno-Globalismus: Mythos oder Realität?, Juli 2000
- No. 73 **von Westernhagen, N.:** The Role of FDI in the Transition Process of Selected CIS and Eastern European Countries, September 2000
- No. 74 Welfens, P.J.J.; Hollants, J.; Kauffmann, A.: Mittelständische Unternehmen und das Internet: Perspektiven in Deutschland, Oktober 2000
- No. 75 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Auswirkungen einer Internet Flatrate auf Wachstum und Beschäftigung in Deutschland, März 2000
- No. 76 Addison, J.T.: Is Community Social Policy Beneficial, Irrelevant, or Harmful to the Labor Market Performance of the European Union?, September 2000

- No. 77 Welfens, P.J.J.: Modern Exchange Rate Theory and Schumpetrian Economic Analysis: New Approach and Application to the Euro, June 2000
- No. 78 **Guth, M.:** From technology policy for regions to regional technology policy towards a new policy strategy in the EU, December 2000
- No. 79 Welfens, P.J.J.; Kauffmann, A.; Vogelsang, M.: Evaluationsbericht: Das Internet strategisch richtig nutzen, Februar 2001
- No. 80 Welfens, P.J.J.: Transatlantische Wachstumsunterschiede, Euro-Schwäche und Finanzpolitik, Mai 2001
- No. 81 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Effects of an Internet Flat Rate on Growth and Employment in Germany, February 2001
- No. 82 Welfens, P.J.J.: Transatlantic Growth Differentials, ICT Dynamics, Fiscal Policy and the Fall of the Euro, July 2001
- No. 83 Wiegert, R.: Financial Sector and Human Capital in a Long-Term Growth Perspective: The Case of Russia, July 2001
- No. 84 Addison J.T.: Principles of Market-Oriented Labor Market Policies; July 2001
- No. 85 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Europäische Telekomliberalisierung und Außenhandel: Theorie, Gravitationsansatz und Implikationen, Juni 2001
- No. 86 **Ponder, J.K.:** Telekommunikationssektor in Polen: Entwicklungen, Investitionsperspektiven und Regulierung, Oktober 2001
- No. 87 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Liberalization of EU Telecommunications and Trade: Theory, Gravity Equation Analysis and Policy Implications, October 2001
- No. 88 Bohn, F.: Powerful Groups and Corruption, December 2000
- No. 89 Welfens, P.J.J.: Aggregation in a Two-Sector Growth Model: A Modified Solow Approach with Cobb-Douglas Production Functions, September 2001
- No. 90 Welfens, P.J.J.: Stabilization and Growth: A New Model, October 2001
- No. 91 Addison, J.T.: Principles of Market-Oriented Labor Market Policies, March 2002
- No. 92 **Jungmittag, A.:** Innovationsdynamik in der EU: Konvergenz oder Divergenz?, Eine Zeitreihen-Querschnittsanalyse, Februar 2002
- No. 93 Welfens, P.J.J.; Wiegert, R.: Reform des Bankensektors und Stabilität in Rußland, November 2001
- No. 94 Welfens, P.J.J.: Mittelfristige Herausforderungen für Euroland: Stabilität, EU-Osterweiterung, Wachstum; November 2001
- No. 95 Welfens, P.J.J.: Constitutional Issues and the Quality of Political Competition: Analysis and Implications for a Future EU Constitution, April 2002
- No. 96 **Jungmittag, A:** Innovation Dynamics in the EU: Convergence or Divergence?, A Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis, June 2002
- No. 97 Welfens, P.J.J.: I&K-Technologie, Produktivität und Wachstum: Transatlantische Ananlyseperspektiven und wirtschaftspolitische Optionen, Juli 2002
- No. 98 Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.: Telecommunication, Internet, Innovation and Growth in Europe and the US, August 2002
- No. 99 Welfens, P.J.J.: Finanzpolitik zwischen Wachstumsschwäche und Maastrichter Vertrag / Stabilitätspakt: Ausgabenschwerpunkte neu setzen und kluge Steuerreform, September 2002
- No. 100 **Gavrilenkov, E**: Macroeconomic Situation in Russia Growth, Investment and Capital Flows, October 2002
- No. 101 Agata, K.: Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, November 2002
- No. 102 Blind, K.; Jungmittag, A.: Ausländische Direktinvestitionen, Importe und Innovationen im Dienstleistungsgewerbe, February 2003

- No. 103 Welfens, P.J.J.; Kirn, T.: Mittelstandsentwicklung, BASEL-II-Kreditmarktprobleme und Kapitalmarktperspektiven, Juli 2003
- No. 104 Standke, K.-H.: The Impact of International Organisations on National Science and Technology Policy and on Good Governance, March 2003
- No. 105 Welfens, P.J.J.: Exchange Rate Dynamics and Structural Adjustment in Europe, May 2003
- No. 106 Welfens, P.J.J.; Jungmittag, A.; Kauffmann, A.; Schumann, Ch.: EU Eastern Enlargement and Structural Change: Specialization Patterns in Accession Countries and Economic Dynamics in the Single Market, May 2003
- No. 107 Welfens, P.J.J.: Überwindung der Wirtschaftskrise in der Eurozone: Stabilitäts-, Wachstums- und Strukturpolitik, September 2003
- No. 108 Welfens, P.J.J.: Risk Pricing, Investment and Prudential Supervision: A Critical Evaluation of Basel II Rules, September 2003
- No. 109 Welfens, P.J.J.; Ponder, J.K.: Digital EU Eastern Enlargement, October 2003
- No. 110 Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.: What Have We Learned About The Employment Effects of Severance Pay? Further Iterations of Lazear et al., October 2003
- No. 111 Gavrilenkov, E.: Diversification of the Russian Economy and Growth, October 2003
- No. 112 Wiegert, R.: Russia's Banking System, the Central Bank and the Exchange Rate Regime, November 2003
- No. 113 Shi, S.: China's Accession to WTO and its Impacts on Foreign Direct Investment, November 2003
- No. 114 Welfens, P.J.J.: The End of the Stability Pact: Arguments for a New Treaty, December 2003
- No. 115 Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.: The effect of worker representation on employment behaviour in Germany: another case of -2.5%, January 2004
- No. 116 Borbèly, D.: EU Export Specialization Patterns in Selected Accession Countries, March 2004
- No. 117 Welfens, P.J.J.: Auf dem Weg in eine europäische Informations- und Wissens-gesellschaft: Probleme, Weichenstellungen, Politikoptionen, Januar 2004
- No. 118 Markova, E.: Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia, December 2003

EIIW Economic Policy Analysis ISSN 1434-2510

- No. 1 Welfens, P.J.J.: Globalisierung der Wirtschaft und Krise des Sozialstaats: Ist die Wirtschaftswissenschaft am Ende?, April 1997
- No. 2 Welfens, P.J.J.: Nach der D-Mark kommt die E-Mark: Auf dem Weg zur EU-Währungsunion, Juli 1997
- No. 3 Welfens, P.J.J.: Beschäftigungsförderliche Steuerreform in Deutschland zum Euro-Start: Für eine wachstumsorientierte Doppelsteuerreform, Oktober 1998

Fordern Sie den EIIW Newsletter an: <u>www.euroeiiw.de</u>

Please subscribe to EIIW Newsletter: www.euroeiiw.de

Weitere Beiträge von Interesse: Titels of related interest:

GAVRILENKOV, E.; WELFENS, P.J.J.; WIEGERT, R. (2004), Economic Opening Up and Growth in Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

MC MORROW, K.; RÖGER, W. (2003), The Economic and Financial Market Consequences of Global Aging, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WIEGERT, R. (2003), Transformation, Wachstum und Wettbewerb in Rußland, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

PETZOLD, L. (2003), Infrastrukturreform in Transformationsländern, Lohmar: EUL-Verlag.

LANE, T., ODING, N., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Real and Financial Economic Dynamics in Russia and Eastern Europe, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

BARFIELD, C.E., HEIDUK, G., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, Perspectives on the New Economy in Europe, Japan and the USA, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GRIES, T., JUNGMITTAG, A., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Neue Wachstums- und Innovationspolitik in Deutschland und Europa, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

ADDISON, J.T., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Labor Markets and Social Security, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J., WIEGERT, R. (2002), Transformationskrise und neue Wirtschaftsreformen in Russland, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

WESTERNHAGEN, N. VON (2002), Systemic Transformation, Trade and Economic Growth, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

AUDRETSCH, D.B., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), The New Economy and Economic Growth in Europe and the US, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), Interneteconomics.net, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

BUNTE, H.-J., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), Wettbewerbsdynamik und Marktabgrenzungen auf Telekommunikationsmärkten, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

JUNGMITTAG, A., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002) Internet, Telekomliberalisierung und Wirtschaftswachstum, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

SCHWARZ, A. (2001), Subventionen in Mittel- und Osteuropa, Lohmar: EUL-Verlag.

PELZEL, R.F. (2001), Deregulierte Telekommunikationsmärkte, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), Stabilizing and Integrating the Balkans, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), Internationalization of the Economy and Environmental Policy Options, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), European Monetary Union and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GAVRILENKOV, E., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2000), Restructuring, Stabilizing and Modernizing the New Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2000), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

JUNGMITTAG, A., REGER, G., REISS, T. (Eds., 2000), Changing Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Industry. Globalization and New Ways of Drug Development, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GRAACK, C., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Technologieorientierte Unternehmensgründungen und Mittelstandspolitik in Europa, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

GRAACK, C., GRINBERG, R., WELFENS, P.J.J., YARROW, G. (Eds., 1999), Towards Competition in Network Industries – Telecommunications, Energy and Transportation in Europe and Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

ADDISON, J.T., AUDRETSCH, D.B., GRIES, T., GRUPP, H., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Globalization, Economic Growth and Innovation Dynamics, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), EU Eastern Enlargement and the Russian Transformation Crisis, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Globalization of the Economy, Unemployment and Innovation, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. et al. (eds., 1998), Competition in Network Industries: Telecommunications, Energy and Transportation in Europe and Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

PALKINAS, P.; EICHHORN, B., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), Europäische Währungsunion: Argumente und Fakten zur Euro-Debatte, Frankfurt/Main.

GLOEDE, K., STROHE, H.B. WAGNER, D., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), Systemtransformation in Deutschland und Rußland: Erfahrungen, ökonomische Perspektiven und politische Optionen, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

AUDETSCH, D.B., ADDISON, J.T. GRUPP, H., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1998), Technological Competition, Employment and Innovation Policy in OECD Countries, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

ADDISON, J.T., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), European Labor Markets and Social Security, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GRAACK, C. (1997), Telekommunikationswirtschaft in der Europäischen Union: Innovationsdynamik, Regulierungspolitik und Internationalisierungsprozesse, Heidelberg: Physica (award-winning book).

WELFENS, P.J.J., WOLF, H. (ed., 1997), Banking, International Capital Flows and Growth in Europe, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

BÖRSCH-SUPAN, A., VON HAGEN, J., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1996,1997), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre, Band 1 und 2, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J., YARROW, G. (eds., 1996), Telecommunications and Energy in Systemic Transformation, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GRAACK, C., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1996), Telekommunikationswirtschaft: Deregulierung, Privatisierung und Internationalisierung, Heidelberg und New York: Springer: (award-winning book).

WELFENS, P.J.J. (ed., 1996), European Monetary Integration, 3rd edition, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (ed., 1996), Economic Aspects of German Unification, 2. rev. and enlarged edition, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1995), European Economic Integration as a Challenge to Industry and Government, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (1995), Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

JASINSKI, P., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1994), Privatization and Foreign Direct Investment in Transforming Economies, Aldershot: Dartmouth/Gower.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (1992), Market-oriented Systemic Transformation in Eastern Europe. Problems, Theoretical Issues and Policy Options, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

KLEIN, M., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1992), Multinationals in the New Europe and Global Trade, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WELFENS, P.J.J. (1990), Internationalisierung von Wirtschaft und Wirtschafspolitik, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

BALCEROWICZ, L., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1988), Innovationsdynamik im Systemvergleich. Theorie und Praxis unternehmerischer, gesamtwirtschaftlicher und politischer Neuerung, Heidelberg: Physica.