

UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL  
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT  
UND  
INTERNATIONALE MAKROÖKONOMIK



Andre Jungmittag  
Paul J.J. Welfens

**Institutions, Telecommunications Dynamics and Policy  
Challenges: Theory and Empirical Analysis for Germany**

Diskussionsbeitrag 133  
Discussion Paper 133

*Europäische Wirtschaft und Internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen  
European Economy and International Economic Relations*

ISSN 1430-5445



Andre Jungmittag  
Paul J.J. Welfens

**Institutions, Telecommunications Dynamics and Policy  
Challenges: Theory and Empirical Analysis for Germany**

May 2005

*Herausgeber/Editor: Prof. Dr. Paul J.J. Welfens, Jean Monnet Chair in European Economic Integration*

EUROPÄISCHES INSTITUT FÜR INTERNATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN (EIIW)/  
EUROPEAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS  
Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Campus Freudenberg, Rainer-Gruenter-Straße 21,  
D-42119 Wuppertal, German  
Tel.: (0)202 – 439 13 71  
Fax: (0)202 – 439 13 77  
E-mail: [welfens@uni-wuppertal.de](mailto:welfens@uni-wuppertal.de)  
[www.euroeiiw.de](http://www.euroeiiw.de)

JEL classification: JEL: E0, L96, O0

Key words: telecommunications dynamics, institutional setup



**Summary:** The telecommunications sector was liberalized in the US and the EU in 1984 and 1998, respectively. In EU countries, price cap regulation has shaped this sector in a pro-competitive way that – with telecommunications obviously being a key element of the information and communications sector (ICT) - has obviously contributed to higher employment and growth. Integrating the use of telecommunications in a macroeconomic production function is the analytical starting point for our interdependent analysis of output, use of telecommunications and employment. Based on unit root and co-integration analysis as well as an error correction three-equation model which are estimated simultaneously, we present results both on long run links and short run links between telecommunications, output and employment. Considering various scenarios suggests that a fall in the relative price of telecommunications can generate a cumulated employment increase of 760,000 within seven years. This points to the need for maintaining the regulation of fixed-line telecommunications networks in Germany with due emphasis on efficiency-enhancing competition. The institutional setup for regulating telecommunications could be improved in Germany and other EU countries.

**Zusammenfassung:** Der Telekommunikationssektor wurde in den USA und der EU in 1984 bzw. 1998 liberalisiert. In den EU Ländern wurde seitens der Regulierung für diesen Schlüsselbereich des Sektors Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologie (IKT) eine wettbewerbsförderliche Preiskappingsregulierung realisiert, wobei die IKT-Expansion offenbar zu mehr Wachstum und Beschäftigung beigetragen hat. Die Integration der Telekommunikationsnutzung in eine makroökonomische Produktionsfunktion ist der analytische Startpunkt unserer Interdependenzanalyse der Variablen Produktion, Telekommunikationsnutzung und Beschäftigung. Auf Basis von Einheitswurzeltest und der Kointegrationsanalyse sowie eines Error-Correction-Ansatzes für ein Modell mit drei Gleichungen, die simultan geschätzt wurden, werden Ergebnisse sowohl für die kurze wie die lange Frist in Bezug auf die Verbindung zwischen Telekommunikation, Produktion und Beschäftigung geschätzt. Auf der Basis einer Reihe von Szenario-Betrachtungen ergibt sich als ein denkbarer Fall mit plausibler Größenordnung mit Blick auf die Reduzierung des Relativpreises der Telekommunikationsnutzung, dass die Beschäftigung um bis zu 760 000 bis zum Jahr 2010 zunehmen könnte. Von daher ist es sinnvoll, eine wettbewerbsförderliche Telekomregulierung fortzusetzen. In diesem Politikbereich gibt es sowohl auf nationaler Ebene wie auf EU-Ebene Verbesserungsbedarf.



*Andre Jungmittag and Paul J.J. Welfens*

*European Institute for International Economic Relations at the University of Wuppertal  
(EIIW) Rainer Gruenter Str. 21, D-42119 Wuppertal, +49 202 439 3171,  
welfens@eiiw.uni-wuppertal.de www.euroeiiw.de www.econ-international.net,*

## **Institutions, Telecommunications Dynamics and Policy Challenges: Theory and Empirical Analysis for Germany**

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Telecommunications, Employment and Output: Model and Empirical Analysis ....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Estimation of the Long Run Relationships .....	3
2.2 Estimation of the Dynamic Simultaneous Error Correction Model.....	5
2.3 Selected Scenarios .....	6
<b>3. Policy Implications.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>15</b>





# 1. Introduction

Economic developments and innovations are influenced by the interplay between competition and technological dynamics. The growth of information and communication technology (ICT) has strongly contributed to investment and growth in the US and many EU countries (e.g. AUDRETSCH/WELFENS, 2002). The European Commission has emphasized in its policies and particularly in its Lisbon Agenda of 2000 that developing a dynamic EU knowledge society in which information is a distinct element of the production function will be important. The theoretical and empirical analysis of BAYOUMI/LAXTON/PESENTI (2004) also indicates that Europe would benefit from more competition, and more competition in network industries including telecommunications is of key importance here. More intensive competition in telecommunications which is capital intensive could help in enjoying efficiency gains in investment, but it would also stimulate sector growth through network effects and would simultaneously reduce costs in sectors using telecommunications services as an input.

Telecommunications services are a key element of ICT. Innovative services help to reduce transaction costs and stimulate networking in the business community. Falling relative prices generate additional demand. Volume growth, which partly benefits from network effects on the demand side (endogenous growth of demand), in turn, raises investment needs. There is broad consensus that ICT production stimulated growth in the US and Europe in the 1990s, but growth accounting (VAN ARK/PIATKOWSKI, 2004) also suggests that the use of telecommunications is important for output expansion in Europe, both in Eastern and in Western Europe.

Moreover, as information is an input in all sectors, the cheaper use of telecommunications and the launching of advanced digital services will stimulate output growth. From a macroeconomic perspective, one may argue that broader and faster communication networks including mobile telecommunications stimulates output growth through faster diffusion of knowledge. Indeed there is empirical evidence for Germany (JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 1996) which shows that not only capital and labour inputs in addition to technology inputs – proxied by patents and real expenditures for imported licences – contribute to output growth, but the number of telecommunications calls does so as well. The authors estimate a long run production function for the period 1970-1990 for Germany. RÖLLER/WAVERMANN (1996; 1996a) and CANNING (1999) have used telecommunications density as one of the inputs in explaining growth for a panel of countries in the period 1970-1990 and 1960-90, respectively. Other authors have also provided some empirical evidence on the link between telecommunications and growth (CRONIN/COLLER/HERBERT et al. 1993; CRONIN/PARKER/COLLERAN et al. 1993; KARUNARATNE, 1997). An important issue in modern growth analysis is the explanation of technological progress, whereby a standard reference is MANKIW/ROMER/WEIL (1992); more sophisticated approaches have been presented by several authors (BUDD/HOBBS, 1989; 1989a; COE/MOGHADAM, 1993; JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 1996; JUNGMITTAG/BLIND/GRUPP, 1999). Subsequent analysis is based on this work, in particular JUNGMITTAG/WELFENS, 1996; RÖLLER/WAVERMANN, 1996 and 1996a and CANNING, 1999. A dynamic, simultaneous, multi-equation approach for Germany is presented so that we can analyze the links between telecommunications, growth and employment. This also allows for simulations to be run. Based on unit-root analysis and cointegration analysis, we can analyze both long run dynamics and short-run dynamics. As a first step – based on cointegration analysis – we present a long run production function and demand functions

for labour and telecommunication calls. In a second step, we present a simultaneous dynamic, three-equation model for the short run dynamics while taking into account the deviations from long run equilibrium values (error correction model). Basically the spirit of the model is neoclassical, which is in a similar vein as HANSEN (1992; 1993); the latter, however, puts his focus on the interdependence between exogenous technological progress, innovation output indicators and telecommunication calls as a proxy for information and communication. As such, he implicitly considers technological progress.

From a theoretical perspective, it is clear that the relative price of telecommunications services determines the use of information as an input variable in the production process. Price dynamics in turn depend on the case of fixed line networks on regulatory policies. Price caps were adopted in most EU countries after the start of EU-wide liberalization in 1998. In network industries, government can indeed affect investment and innovation in many ways as has become obvious in the context of the deregulation of fixed network telecommunications in the EU. RPI minus X has become a standard price cap approach in the regulation of telecommunications. That is, regulation estimates sector productivity growth  $X$  and requires a bundle of services – basically the use of essential facilities by other operators – that the dominant firm must not exceed with its regulated basket of prices, the inflation rate minus  $X$ . This is an incentive regulation since the firm can appropriate any profits stemming from productivity growth beyond  $X$ . It will be argued that the price dynamics in telecommunications markets affect the demand for telecommunications services which in turn influence labour productivity and hence labour demand.

In the following analysis we first present the modelling approach (section 2) before we turn to the key policy conclusions which concern regulatory policies in telecommunications at both the national level and the EU level. At the bottom line, it can be shown that output, aggregate labour demand and the use of telecommunications are interdependent and that the institutional setup in the field of regulations can strongly influence the use of telecommunications. Given Germany's weakness in terms of output growth and employment dynamics, one may argue that careful regulation of telecommunications with clear emphasis on an institutional setting that gives incentives for digital innovations and investment is a key element for raising aggregate real income and employment.

## **2. Telecommunications, Employment and Output: Model and Empirical Analysis<sup>1</sup>**

The model developed here carefully considers long term and short term interdependencies between aggregate output, employment and the use of telecommunications. As endogenous variables we consider real income ( $Y$ ; GDP in constant prices of 1995), the number of gainfully employed ( $L$ ) and the number of telecommunication calls ( $TC$ ), whereas data for the latter are based on data from Germany's Federal Statistical Office or from Deutsche Telekom AG. The number of telecommunication calls is considered to be an adequate indicator variable for the diffusion of digital information. While one might want to

---

<sup>1</sup> A comprehensive presentation of the methodology used and the empirical results can be found in JUNGMITTAG (2005).

consider alternative indicator variables, it is clear that only the number of telecommunication calls is available over an extended period. With respect to future modern telecommunications services, one may raise doubts that the number of telecommunications calls is an ideal indicator since the increasing use of the internet protocol in data transmission and voice telephony (VOIP) suggests that the intensity of digital information exchange could be better covered by some other measure for data traffic. We use as exogenous variables the net capital stock ( $K$ ; in constant prices of 1995) as well as indices for nominal wage costs per capita ( $WAGE$ ) and the price index of GDP ( $PGDP$ ). Wage costs as well as the price for telecommunications are considered relative to the GDP deflator with data being derived from the EU's AMECO data bank. Moreover, we use the "average stock of patents" at the German Patent Office as an exogenous indicator for the level of technology. The stock at year end is defined as:

stock at beginning of year + granted (basic) patents + granted additional patents - cancelled patents - lapsed patents.<sup>2</sup>

The average stock of patents is one-half the stock of patents in the previous year and the stock at the end of the current year. Using patents registered as an indicator of innovativeness can be justified on various grounds (GRUPP, 1997; JUNGMITTAG, 2002); it can be considered for a number of reasons as a superior indicator compared to R&D expenditures.

## 2.1. Estimation of the Long Run Relationships

Before the estimation of the long-term relations, we checked whether the considered time series are integrated by the order of 1 (i.e., the series are characterised by unit roots) or whether they follow deterministic trends by ADF tests. The null hypothesis of a unit root cannot be rejected for any of the seven variables. For the first differences ( $\Delta$  is the differences operator), the null hypothesis must be rejected at a significance of at least 1 %. So, it can be concluded that all individual time series are I(1).

The estimation of the long-term production function for Germany from 1960 until 2000 is based on a Cobb-Douglas function, augmented by the number of telephone calls and the patent stock:

$$(1) Y_t = A \cdot K_t^\alpha \cdot L_t^\beta \cdot TC_t^\gamma \cdot PAT_t^\delta,$$

where  $Y_t$  represents the output,  $K_t$  the capital stock,  $L_t$  labour,  $TC_t$  the number of telephone calls and  $PAT_t$  the stock of patents granted at the German Patent Office. The parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  represent the partial production elasticities of capital and labour. Furthermore, the interplay of  $A$ ,  $TC_t$  and  $PAT_t$  can be interpreted as a technical progress function, explaining the increase of efficiency. The efficiency parameter  $A$  measures exogenous technical progress, while the indicator variables  $TC_t$  raised to the power  $\gamma$  and  $PAT_t$  raised to the power  $\delta$  measure the degree of efficiency due to knowledge diffusion and the stock of results from research and development activities (innovations). In logarithmic form the production function can be written as

---

<sup>2</sup> The patent data from 1963 to 2001 were taken from the Statistical Yearbook of the Federal Statistics Office and from the "Blatt für Patent-, Muster-und Zeichenwesen". The previous non-available values were calculated on the basis of a linear trend estimate.

$$(2) \ y_t = a + \alpha \cdot k_t + \beta \cdot l_t + \gamma \cdot tc_t + \delta \cdot pat_t,$$

with lower case letters denoting logarithms.

For the OLS estimation, the production function was supplemented by some dummy variables and a stochastic error term  $u_{1t}$ . The first three dummy variables are *DOC*, which captures the shock due to the first oil price crisis, and *D80* as well as *D81*, which capture a structural break starting in 1980 and reinforcing in 1981 following the second oil price crisis. Furthermore, a dummy variable *DGU* is added to catch the effects of German unification, and *D99* for a renewed structural break subsequent to 1999. Then, we get the following long-term production function for Germany from 1960 to 2000:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} y_t = & -0.590 + 0.620 k_t + 0.867 l_t + 0.095 pat_t + 0.119 tc_t - 0.019 DOC \\ & (-0.75) \quad (9.17) \quad (8.22) \quad (1.99) \quad (3.32) \quad (-2.55) \\ & - 0.040 D80 - 0.029 D81 - 0.192 DGU - 0.039 D99 + u_{1t} \\ & (-3.50) \quad (-2.69) \quad (-5.11) \quad (-4.09) \\ R^2_{adj.} = & 0.999 \quad DW = 1.864 \quad EG = -6.558 \end{aligned}$$

The  $t$ -values shown in brackets are only for information and cannot be used for statistical inferences about the significance of the individual variables, because the OLS estimates of the cointegration parameters are generally not normally-distributed. However, the adjusted  $R^2$  of 0,999 indicates a very good fitting of the model to the observed data. The  $DW$  statistics suggest that there is no first order autocorrelation. Furthermore, the null hypothesis of no cointegration relation of the  $EG$  test can be rejected at a significance level of 1 %, indicating that this equation can be interpreted as a long-term production function. The magnitudes of the coefficients of the indicator variables for the innovation output and the use of information and communication as well verify that the factors approximated by the indicator variables make contributions to real GDP that cannot be neglected. In a long-term view, output grows by roughly 0.1 % if the patent stock increases by 1 %, and by roughly 0.12 % if the effects captured by telephone calls increase by 1 %.

For the specification of the long-term labour demand function, we use a standard formulation whereby the number of persons employed depends on real GDP, real labour costs per person employed and a time trend (cf. e.g. HANSEN, 1992 and 1993). Including the already mentioned dummy variables and an error term  $u_{2t}$ , the OLS estimation for the logarithmic form yields:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} l_t = & -4.429 + 0.716 y_t - 0.482 \ln((WAGE_t / PGDP_t) * 100) - 0.007 t \\ & (-5.40) \quad (9.75) \quad (-9.79) \quad (-5.44) \\ & + 0.021 DOC + 0.030 D80 + 0.182 DGU + 0.015 D99 + u_{2t} \\ & (2.86) \quad (4.12) \quad (11.78) \quad (1.98) \\ R^2_{adj.} = & 0.997 \quad DW = 0.931 \quad EG = -3.442. \end{aligned}$$

The adjusted  $R^2$  indicates a very good fitting for this model as well. Furthermore, based on the  $EG$  statistic it can be concluded at a significance level of 5 % that the employment demand function is also a cointegration relation. As expected, real GDP has a positive impact on employment, while the influence of real wages is negative. The negative sign of the trend coefficient is in accordance with other estimations of labour demand functions for Germany (cf. again HANSEN, 1992 and 1993).

We also used a standard specification of a demand function for the long-term demand for telephone calls, with the number of telephone calls depending positively on income and

negatively on the real price of telecommunication services. Again after the inclusion of some dummy variables and an error term  $u_{3t}$ , OLS estimation of the logarithmic form gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 tc_t = & -18.267 + 2.095 y_t - 0.216 \ln((PTEL_t / PGDP_t) * 100) \\
 & (-12.03) \quad (28.98) \quad (-1.80) \\
 (5) \quad & +0.136 D81 - 0.190 DGU + 0.128 D99 + u_{3t} \\
 & (2.85) \quad (-6.44) \quad (2.59) \\
 R^2_{adj.} = & 0.996 \quad DW = 1.031 \quad EG = -4.118.
 \end{aligned}$$

The explanatory power of this equation is also very high. Moreover, the EG statistics show a cointegration relation at a significance level of 1 %. The high income elasticity of the demand for telephone calls is especially conspicuous. If real income grows by 1 %, the demand for telephone calls increases by roughly 2.1 %. Furthermore, the positive sign of the dummy variable D99 shows that telecommunication liberalisation has positive effects on demand, which go beyond a pure price effect.

## 2.2. Estimation of the Dynamic Simultaneous Error Correction Model

Subsequent to the determination of economically well-founded long-term relationships, a dynamic simultaneous-equations model was specified, which, on the one hand, captures short-term dynamics, and, on the other hand, includes error correction terms to take the long-term relations into account. Therefore, each equation for the first differences of the endogenous variables – real GDP, number of persons employed and number of telephone calls – contains deviations from the long-term equilibrium relationships lagged for one year in addition to the – partly lagged – first differences of the explanatory variables (i.e., the lagged residuals of the respective cointegration relation). Three-step least squares is applied to estimate the simultaneous-equations model subject to all available information. This gives the following model, which forms the basis for the further analyses and simulations:

Production function:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta y_t = & 0.002 - 0.864 ecm^y_{t-1} + 0.346 \Delta y_{t-1} + 0.448 \Delta k_t \\
 & (0.42) \quad (-2.61) \quad (1.86) \quad (1.98) \\
 (6) \quad & +0.317 \Delta l_t - 0.164 \Delta l_{t-1} + v_{1t} \\
 & (3.43) \quad (-1.63) \\
 R^2_{adj.} = & 0.753 \quad DW = 1.864
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Labour demand:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta l_t = & -0.008 - 0.312 ecm_{t-1}^l - 0.101 \Delta l_{t-1} \\ & (-2.84) \quad (-2.16) \quad (-2.33) \\ & - 0.513 \Delta \ln((WAGE_t / PGDP_t) * 100) + 0.538 \Delta y_t + 0.256 \Delta y_{t-1} \\ (7) \quad & (-3.72) \quad (3.597) \quad (3.02) \\ & + 0.020 \Delta D80 + 0.198 \Delta DGU + v_{2t} \\ & (2.93) \quad (7.05) \\ R_{adj.}^2 = & 0.978 \quad DW = 1.289 \end{aligned}$$

#### Demand for telephone calls:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta tc_t = & 0.034 - 0.487 ecm_{t-1}^{tc} + 0.311 \Delta tc_{t-1} + 0.522 \Delta y_t - 0.305 \Delta y_{t-1} \\ & (3.23) \quad (-4.20) \quad (2.62) \quad (3.12) \quad (-1.62) \\ (8) \quad & - 0.258 \ln((PTEL_t / PGDP_t) * 100) + v_{3t} \\ & (-2.76) \\ R_{adj.}^2 = & 0.476 \quad DW = 2.163. \end{aligned}$$

The fit of the equations of the error correction model to the observed data is also good, or for the demand function for telephone calls at least at the usual level. Furthermore, the error correction terms are in all three equations highly significantly different from zero, as the  $t$ -values within the brackets indicate, and they show a negative sign. Thus, there is actually an adjustment to their respective long-term equilibrium path. The explanatory variables in first differences, which capture the short-term dynamics, show the expected signs. In the production function, increases in the capital stock as well as in employment (the sum of the coefficients for the change of the number of persons employed is positive) also lead in the short run to an increase in GDP. Analogously, a rise in real GDP also leads in the short run to an increase in labour demand as well as an increase in the demand for telephone calls. Conversely, price variables (real wages and the real price for telecommunication services) also have negative effects on these respective equations in the short run. It is obvious that the indicator for the innovation output – the stock of patents – and the number of telephone calls as a proxy variable for the whole indication filed for the use of information and communication (i.e., knowledge diffusion) mainly have long-term effects, since their first differences do not appear in the production function. However, this can be expected intuitively as well as from the viewpoint of economic theory (e.g., on the basis of new growth theory).

### **2.3. Selected Scenarios**

The dynamic, simultaneous macro model presented above can be used for ex-post analyses on the one hand and for ex-ante simulations on the other. The simplest form of an ex-post analysis (i.e., an analysis within the estimation period) is a dynamic ex-post forecast, which provides information about the dynamic properties of the model. For such a forecast, the historical values of the exogenous variables are used, but the values of lagged endogenous variables are taken from the previous forecasts. If there is already a stronger deviation between the actual and forecasted values of the endogenous variables at the


beginning of the observation period or at a later point in time as well, the ex-post forecasts will drift apart more and more from the actual values over the whole further observation period, because these errors will multiply due to the dynamics taken into account. Then the model is unsuited for ex-ante forecasts as well as simulations.

***Scenario 1: From 1960 to 2000, the nominal price index for telecommunication services (PTEL) was permanently below 20 % of the actual values.***

In addition to the ex-post forecast, a simple ex-post scenario was carried out, in which it is assumed that the nominal price index for telecommunication services (*PTEL*) from 1960 to 2000 was permanently below 20 % of the actual values. Figure 1 shows the development of the actual and forecasted values as well as the results from the simulation run. Comparing the actual values and the forecasts, there is clearly a very good fit for the telephone calls and real GDP, which points to the good dynamic properties of the model. Basically, the trend in development of employment is also reproduced adequately. However, temporary events like the first German crisis of 1967 and the fall of employment after German unification in the second and third quarter of the nineties are not recognized in this variable. Scenario 1 shows that permanently lower telecommunications costs would have been an important impulse for higher real income and more employment.

Figure 2 shows the percentage deviations between the dynamic ex-post forecasts and scenario 1. According to that, a permanent decrease in the price for telecommunication services by 20% leads to faster growth in the number of telephone calls, real GDP and employment in the early stages. However, this growth effect declines continually and converges towards zero, so that there is finally only a level effect, which is however considerable with respect to its magnitude. Since the mid-nineties, the number of telephone calls has been 15 % higher than for the dynamic ex-post forecasts, real GDP 4.47 % and the number of persons employed 3.18%.


**Figure 1: Dynamic ex post forecasts and simulations (scenario 1) for the endogenous variables**



Ex-post simulations can lead to interesting conclusions for economic policy, and they show the magnitude of the effects of the measures of economic policy, but in the centre of the analysis is the simulation of alternative development paths for the future up through 2010. For not taking the assumptions on which the scenarios are based arbitrarily, expert opinions are used for their justification.



**Figure 2: Scenario 2: Effects of a permanent cut in *PTEL* by 20 % (percentage deviations from dynamic ex post forecasts)**



Five ex-ante scenarios were developed in this manner. For all these scenarios, we assume that the other exogenous variables follow rather conservative development paths based on their trend development within the last available ten years (partly taking into account the forecasts for 2004 of DG II of the European Commission). Thus, the capital stock increased on average by 1.83 % from 1995 to 2004. This development was prolonged until 2010. We proceeded analogously for the wages, which grew on average yearly by 1.51 % from 1994 to 2003, and the price index of GDP, which increased yearly on average by 0.86 % from 1995 to 2004. Since the patent stock as a further exogenous variable shows no clear trend and stays roughly – after a clear decrease in the first half of the nineties – on the same level, we assume zero growth for this variable through 2010. Furthermore, the development of real GDP was exogenised for the years 2001 until 2003 in all ex-ante simulations, because the exogenous shocks in this period would lead to a clear overestimation of actual growth within a model immanent forecast – as in all other econometric models as well.

Based on these fundamental assumptions which hold for all ex-ante simulations, we have looked at the following scenarios based on expert opinions:

***Scenario 2: The price index for telecommunications services (*PTEL*) increases by 2% p.a. between 2003 and 2010.***

The background for scenario 2 is that there was a slight increase in the price index for telecommunication services in 2002, for the first time since 1996. A continuation of this

pessimistic trend is considered possible based on the following arguments: Prices for user access are used to cross-subsidize digital services. It is true that pure transmission costs for telecommunications are falling, but the respective cost reductions are not fully passed on to the user. Rather there is a tendency to combine increased access prices with lower per minute or per bit prices. Thus one should not overlook that voice services – partly already based on the internet protocol (naturally in the case of UMTS) – have increasingly become a commodity while voice telephony remains the cash cow of leading operators.

***Scenario 3: The price index for telecommunications (PTEL) stays at the level of 2002***

This scenario can be considered a neutral point of reference: The nominal price stays constant but as there is a rise of the general price level, the real price of telecommunications falls by 0.86% p.a.

***Scenario 3: The price index for telecommunications (PTEL) will fall by 4% p.a. in the period between 2003 and 2010.***

This assumption seeks to extend the development observed since 1998 while ignoring the slight rise of telecommunications costs in 2002 (i.e., that is the price development of 2002 is considered an irrelevant outlier). The argument for this scenario is basically the opening up of markets in local telecommunications (as of 2003). This should lead to intensified price competition which translates into falling prices for users. Hence the potential for cost reductions associated with new technologies (e.g., VOIP/internet-based telecommunications) is passed on to the user. This scenario also takes into account the potentially growing role of newcomers in telecommunications and the associated intensification of price competition. Voice services will become a quasi-commodity once several players become active in the market. The rising role of mobile communications also puts pressure on operators in the fixed-line business.

The two other scenarios are not based on the assumption of an exogenous variable price index for telecommunications services but on exogenous pre-determined adjustment paths for the endogenous variable, ‘number of telecommunications calls’. We are looking here at a pessimistic and an optimistic variant.

***Scenario 5: The number of telecommunication calls (TC) increases by 3% p.a. in the period from 2001 to 2010.***

This rather pessimistic case assumes a rather modest rise in the number of calls. Arguments for such a scenario are based on the assumption that the use of both broadband access and of flat rate online offers are not taken up on a broad scale. Moreover, there are substitution effects in the sense that online users switch to broadband offers whereby pricing is often based on a flat rate. Such users – exploiting a flat rate – will not show up in the form of a rising number of calls.

***Scenario 6: The number of telecommunication calls (TC) rises by 7% in the period from 2001 to 2010.***

This optimistic scenario assumes that the number of telecommunication calls will rise strongly, including a rising number of online users. Broadband and flat-rate dynamics cannot keep pace with the fast growth of new online users. Hence a large number of online users will use the internet via a standard model or ISDN channels even in 2010. As a critical caveat, one should consider that a long run growth rate of 7% is an extreme assumption as saturation processes are not taken into account (approaching a saturation point implies a decline of growth rates over time).

The results of the simulations for all ex-ante scenarios are shown in the following table. We indicate the annual growth rates for the three endogenous variables of the model. As regards the number of telecommunication calls, the pessimistic and the relatively neutral scenarios for price dynamics of telecommunication services will only translate into either low or modest growth, approaching roughly the exogenous growth rate of 3% after 2006/2007. The dynamics are different for the case of a fall of prices by 4%. Over time there will be a strong increase in the number of calls with the growth rate reaching 5.6% in 2010. However, even this strong fall in the telecommunications price does not translate into a similar case as the exogenously-given 7% in the number of calls.

As regards the growth of the real GDP, all scenarios except for scenario 6 – meaning exogenous growth of calls by 7% p.a. – amount to achieving a growth rate of 2% in 2004. This figure is consistent with the projection of DGII of the European Commission which also foresees gains of 2%. As regards the pessimistic and the relatively neutral scenario, the growth of real GDP would decline slightly up through 2007 but would thereafter increase until 2010 (not having achieved the growth rate for 2004). A different perspective holds in scenario 4. Here we can see that output growth dynamics at the end of the decade are slightly higher than in 2004 with an interim period of modest growth rate.

**Table 1: Results of the ex ante simulations (percentage growth rates of the endogenous variables)**

Scenario	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
<i>Telephone calls</i>							
2. <i>PTEL</i> +2 % p. a.	0.4	1.5	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.6
3. <i>PTEL</i> konstant	1.1	2.1	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6
4. <i>PTEL</i> -4 % p. a.	2.5	3.6	4.7	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6
5. <i>TC</i> +3 % p. a.	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
6. <i>TC</i> +7 % p. a.	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
<i>Real GDP</i>							
2. <i>PTEL</i> +2 % p. a.	1.9	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4
3. <i>PTEL</i> konstant	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7
4. <i>PTEL</i> -4 % p. a.	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.3
5. <i>TC</i> +3 % p. a.	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5
6. <i>TC</i> +7 % p. a.	3.2	3.5	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8
<i>Employment</i>							
2. <i>PTEL</i> +2 % p. a.	0.0	0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
3. <i>PTEL</i> konstant	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
4. <i>PTEL</i> -4 % p. a.	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6
5. <i>TC</i> +3 % p. a.	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
6. <i>TC</i> +7 % p. a.	0.7	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0

The scenarios with exogenous growth of telecommunication calls show that a growth rate of 3 % will not suffice in the long run to maintain the growth rate of 1.8 % in 2004. An exogenous growth rate of calls by 7% would result in higher growth which, however, would reduce in the second half of the decade. As a caveat, one should point out that such high growth rates are plausible only if the share in residential phone calls would rise over-proportionately, a case which is not unlikely given the enormous dynamics of mobile

telecommunications. However, such a structural change would violate the implicit assumption of the model, namely that there is a constant ratio of residential calls to business calls. It also is noteworthy that the scenarios indicate that after a slight increase in the price of telecommunications services, there will be – after a slight employment growth in 2005 – slightly negative employment effects after 2006. A constant telecommunications price index implies a slight increase in employment, while a fall of the telecommunications price index corresponds with higher employment growth. A fall of the (relative) price index by 4 % p.a. would therefore translate into considerable cumulated employment growth: 200,000 by 2006; 450,000 by 2008 and 760,000 by 2010.

**Table 2: Employment effects in alternative ex-ante simulations (absolute deviations from scenario 3: *PTEL* constant)**

Scenario	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
<i>Employment (in mill. Persons)</i>							
2. <i>PTEL</i> +2 % p. a.	-0.01	-0.05	-0.10	-0.15	-0.22	-0.29	-0.36
4. <i>PTEL</i> -4 % p. a.	0.03	0.10	0.20	0.32	0.45	0.60	0.76
5. <i>TC</i> +3 % p. a.	-0.04	-0.05	0.00	0.05	0.06	0.03	-0.01
6. <i>TC</i> +7 % p. a.	0.25	0.72	1.24	1.71	2.12	2.47	2.79

### 3. Policy Implications

The empirical analysis suggests that a long run fall in the telecommunications prices will stimulate the use of information as an input in the production function and hence stimulate the diffusion process. At the bottom line, one may expect higher output and higher employment which would be important not only for Germany but for other EU countries with high unemployment - such as Italy and France - as well. From this perspective, it is important to focus on key issues in the regulation of fixed line telecommunications. Sustained competition can be expected to bring about both static and dynamic efficiency gains. However, the dominant position of the incumbent telecommunications operator, the former national monopoly operator, raises some doubts about the viability of competition (WELFENS, 2003; 2005a; 2005b). While it is true that regulators in some countries (e.g., Germany and Austria) have introduced pre-selection options as a means to open up competition in fixed line telephony in the late 1990s, it also is true that the incumbent operators have reacted. By offering bundled services, incumbent operators have tried to shift market power from the local access market in which they strongly dominate towards other market segments such as long distance calls and international calls.

Market opening up – as required by the European Commission – and privatization of the respective incumbent operator in most EU countries have stimulated the expansion of fixed network telecommunications services. Fixed-line telecommunications indeed should be regulated to the extent that newcomers in the market face the problem that the incumbent operator can get access to customers only through its local network. Moreover, newcomers in the market need interconnection with the dominant operator, so that a double problem of essential facilities arises. Hence the regulator should make sure that there is non-discriminatory access to the network of the incumbent operator and that prices for the

respective services are based on long run costs. Adequate bottleneck regulation (i.e., cost-oriented regulation of essential facilities) is crucial for sustained competition in all network sectors in which falling average and marginal costs are combined with a considerable role of sunk costs. (The latter impair market entry and thus reduce prospects for potential competition.) As local access prices have increased in 2004 for the first time in the post-1998 market in Germany, there is evidence that there might be no sustained fall in the price of telecommunications services.

It will remain an important challenge for the regulator that sustained competition be enforced. The tendency of product bundling which has gained importance after a first liberalization stage makes market entry for new firms more costly and more risky so that potential competition is losing significance. At the same time there is a doubtful tendency of the German regulator to inflate the number of prices which are subject to ex-ante regulation. From a theoretical perspective, one should only have strict ex-ante price regulation in the field of essential facilities. All other areas should be fully liberalized. There is, however, the problem that the bundling of various services – those which are regulated and those which have not been regulated so far – raises difficult problems for the regulator. To the extent that bundling is considered a normal element of competition (hence the type of bundling indeed could have occurred under competition), the regulator should not impair bundling. Yet there is an issue when the dominant operator uses high profits from the regulated markets to cross-subsidize non-regulated other digital services markets. Predatory pricing and dumping thus could be temporary problems. As regards technological dynamics the introduction of internet-based telephony could sharply reduce prices on all calls. Incumbent operators are reluctant to switch to the new technology since it would devalue the existing traditional fixed network, and the dominant fixed network operators have been able to replicate the close-to-monopoly position they had in traditional access lines in the DSL market, DSL broadband local access in principle makes possible full unbundling – if allowed by the regulator – and would stimulate the expansion of internet-based telephony (VOIP) enormously. The German regulator has imposed a strange requirement that DSL subscribers always must have telecommunication services from the respective DSL firm which amounts to saying that there is no easy resale of DSL capacity, for example, for VOIP telephony.

Institutions and rules matter for economic policy and for investors. The EU has established a consistent framework regulation which imposes broad guidelines for various sub-markets in fixed-line telephony. National regulators still have considerable autonomy and have pursued different approaches in digital regulation which to some extent reflect national policy preferences, size of the market and willingness to accept market forces in a field where there is still a role for universal services. In the case of the telecommunications sector, it is important that the regulator not impose artificial costs/risks on market actors, since a rise in services prices would follow. The regulator should indeed limit itself to a strict bottleneck regulation and not impose restrictions which undermine the incentive for market entry on the part of domestic or foreign newcomers. The recent changes in the Telecommunications Act in Germany in 2003 have brought about a new tendency towards a more limited regulation while at the same time introducing a strange political innovation, namely that the regulator REGTP should adopt ex-post regulation in certain fields. A more consistent approach would be to restrict REGTP to ex-ante regulation of essential facilities while leaving other measures in favour of competition to the general competition authorities. Normally, the latter will indeed rely on ex-post control (e.g., with respect to the problem of the abuse of a dominant market position).

Even if the regulator adopts an efficiency-enhancing regulatory policy, positive employment effects require complementary institutional elements. As regards the link between output dynamics and employment, it is well-known that labour markets in Germany and some other EU countries are relatively inflexible. If fear of accelerated structural change should encourage trade unions to even more strongly emphasize the protection of insiders in collective bargaining, the link between potential output growth and employment growth could weaken. It is obvious that modern digital technology offers a broad array of new options for organizing research & development, production, financing and marketing more flexibly, but more flexibility will require organizational changes in most firms. If resistance against such organizational learning is high, the potential benefits of accelerated diffusion in the digital economy cannot be reaped. Measures which further tighten adjustment options in the labour market are inadequate.

Finally, one may notice that the digitization of the economy creates new opportunities for both digital international trade and for new forms of digital international outsourcing. To the extent that cheaper international telecommunications calls as well as the internet mainly stimulate trade in Europe, one should not anticipate new complications for countries with employment problems. However, if digitization should stimulate net foreign direct investment outflows, the combination of a slow-down in capital accumulation in the source country and the faster international diffusion of knowledge could impair high wage countries' prospects for positive output and employment effects from falling (national and international) telecommunications prices.

## References

- Audretsch, D.; Welfens, P. J.J. (Eds.) (2002), *The New Economy and Economic Growth in Europe and the US*, Berlin et al.
- Bayoumi, T.; Laxton, D.; Pesenti, P. (2004), *Benefits and Spillovers of Greater Competition in Europe: A Macroeconomic Assessment*, Federal Reserve Bank of New York Staff Paper No. 182, New York.
- Budd, A., Hobbis, S. (1989), *Output growth and measure of technology*, Discussion paper, London Business School Centre for Economic Forecasting, July 1989.
- Budd, A., Hobbis, S. (1989a), *Cointegration, technology and the long-run production function*, Discussion paper, London Business School Centre for Economic Forecasting, July 1989.
- Canning, D. (1999), *Telecommunications and aggregate output*, CAER II Discussion Paper No. 56, Harvard Institute for International Development, Cambridge, MA.
- Coe, D. T. and Moghadam, R. (1993), *Capital and trade as engines of growth in France*, in: IMF Staff Papers, 40, pp. 542 – 566.
- Cronin, F. J.; Colleran, E. K.; Herbert, P. L. et al. (1993), *Telecommunications and growth: The contribution of telecommunications infrastructure investment to aggregate and sectoral productivity*, in: Telecommunications Policy, pp. 677 – 690.
- Cronin, F. J.; Parker, E. B.; Colleran, E. K. et al. (1993), *Telecommunications infrastructure investment and economic development*, in: Telecommunications Policy, 415 – 430.
- Grupp, H. (1997), *Messung und Erklärung des technischen Wandels*, Berlin.
- Hansen, G. (1992), *Makroökonomische Modellierung heute*, in: RWI-Mitteilungen 43, S. 287 – 300.
- Hansen, G. (1993), *Quantitative Wirtschaftsforschung*, München.
- Jungmittag, A. (2002), *Innovation dynamics in the EU: Convergence or divergence – A cross-country panel data analysis*, Paper presented at the international conference „Dynamics, Economic Growth, and International Trade, VII (DEGIT-VII) in Cologne, May 24-25, 2002, Diskussionsbeitrag Nr. 96, Europäisches Institut für internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen (EIIW), Potsdam.
- Jungmittag, A. (2005), *Telekommunikationsnutzung, Wachstum und Beschäftigung: Ein makroökonomisches Erklärungs- und Simulationsmodell*, EIIW paper No. 129, University of Wuppertal.
- Jungmittag, A.; Blind, K.; Grupp, H. (1999), *Innovation, standardisation and the long-term production function: An cointegration analysis for Germany 1960 – 1996*, in: Zeitschrift für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften 119, pp. 205 – 222.
- Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P. J.J. (1996), *Telekommunikation, Innovation und die langfristige Produktionsfunktion: Theoretische Aspekte und eine Kointegrationsanalyse für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland*, Discussion Paper No. 20, Europäisches Institut für internationale Wirtschaftsbeziehungen (EIIW), University of Potsdam.

- Karunaratne, N. D. (1997), High tech innovations, growth and trade dynamics in Australia, in: *Open Economies Review*, Vol. 8, pp. 151 – 170.
- Mankiw, N. G.; Romer, D.; Weil, D. N. (1992), A contribution to the empirics of economic growth, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 107, pp. 407 – 438.
- Röller, L. H.; Waverman, L. (1996), Telecommunications infrastructure and economic development: A simultaneous approach, WZB discussion paper FS IV 96 - 16.
- Röller, L. H.; Waverman, L. (1996a), The impact of telecommunications infrastructure on economic development, in: Howitt, P. (Ed.), *The implications of knowledge-based growth for micro-economic policies*, Calgary.
- Van Ark, B.; Piatkowski, M. (2004), Productivity, Innovation and ICT in Old and New Europe, *International Economics and Economic Policy*, Vol. 1, 215-246.
- Welfens, P.J.J. (2003), *Internet economics.net*, Heidelberg and New York, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition forthcoming in 2005.



## **EIIW Discussion Papers**

### **ISSN 1430-5445:**

Standing orders (usually 13 issues or more p.a.): academic rate 95 Euro p.a.; normal rate 250 Euro p.a.

Single orders: academic rate 10 Euro per copy; normal rate 20 Euro per copy.

Die Zusammenfassungen der Beiträge finden Sie im Internet unter:  
The abstracts of the publications can be found in the internet under:

<http://www.euroeiiw.de>

- No. 1     **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Telecommunications in Systemic Transformation, January 1995.
- No. 2     **Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack, C.:** Telecommunications in Western Europe: Liberalization, Technological Dynamics and Regulatory Developments, January 1995.
- No. 3     **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Achieving Competition in Europe's Telecommunications Sector, February 1995.
- No. 4     **Addison, J.T.:** The Dunlop Report: European Links and Other Odd Connections, May 1995.
- No. 5     **Addison, J.T.; Blackburn, McKinley L.:** A Puzzling Aspect of the Effect of Advance Notice on Unemployment, May 1995.
- No. 6     **Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack, C.:** Deregulierungspolitik und Wettbewerb in Netzindustrien: Bedeutung und Optionen für osteuropäische Transformationsländer, May 1995.
- No. 7     **Addison, J.T. Chilton, J.B.:** Models of Union Behavior, June 1995.
- No. 8     **Graack, C.:** EU-Telecom Markets and International Network Alliances: Developments, Strategies and Policy Implications, August 1995.
- No. 9     **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Koordinationserfordernisse der EU-Infrastrukturpolitik, November 1995.
- No. 10    **Hillebrand, R.:** Umweltpolitik in föderalen Systemen - eine kritische Analyse der EU-Umweltpolitik, December 1995.
- No. 11    **Addison, J.T.; Schnabel, C.; Wagner J.:** On the Determinants of "Mandatory" Works Councils in Germany, December 1995.
- No. 12    **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Towards Full Employment and Growth in the European Union, December 1995.
- No. 13    **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Wirtschaftspolitische Kompetenzverteilung in der Europäischen Union, December 1995.
- No. 14    **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Privatization, Efficiency and Equity, January 1996.
- No. 15    **Hartwig, K.-H.; Welfens P.J.J.:** EU and Eastern Europe: Western European Integration and Eastern European Transformation, May 1996.
- No. 16    **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Konsequenzen einer Osterweiterung für die EU und deren Reformbedarf, May 1996.
- No. 17    **Graack, C.:** Structure of the Telecoms Sector and Degree of Internationalization in Europe and Russia, July 1996.

- No. 18 **Bogai, D.:** Werkstatt der Deutschen Einheit? Wirtschaft und Arbeitsmarkt in der Region Berlin-Brandenburg, October 1996.
- No. 19 **Graack, C.:** Internationale Aspekte der Telekommunikationswirtschaft: Liberalisierung, internationale Tarifmechanismen und Wohlfahrtseffekte, October 1996.
- No. 20 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Telekommunikation, Innovation und die langfristige Produktionsfunktion: Theoretische Aspekte und eine Kointegrationsanalyse für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland, October 1996.
- No. 21 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Guth M.:** EU-Strukturpolitik in Deutschland: Entwicklung, Effizienzüberlegungen und Reformoptionen, October 1996.
- No. 22 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Graack C.:** Telekommunikationsmärkte in Europa: Marktzutrittschennisse und Privatisierungsprobleme aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, October 1996.
- No. 23 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Die Position Deutschlands im veränderten Europa: Wirtschaftliche und reformpolitische Perspektiven, November 1996.
- No. 24 **Hartmann, P.:** Foreign Exchange Vehicles Before and After EMU: From Dollar/Mark to Dollar/Euro?, November 1996.
- No. 25 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** The Political Economy of EMU and Stabilization Policy, May 1997.
- No. 26 **Hölzler, H.:** Privatisierung und Einführung von Wettbewerb in Rußland, January 1996.
- No. 27 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Small and Medium-sized Companies in Economic Growth: Theory and Policy Implications in Germany, May 1997.
- No. 28 **Bogai, D.:** Europäische Arbeitsmarktpolitik und nationale beschäftigungspolitische Initiativen, May 1997.
- No. 29 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Research & Development Policy and Employment, June 1997.
- No. 30 **Sinclair, A.:** Liberalising the Electricity Supply Industry in Western and Eastern Europe: Lessons for Russia, July 1997.
- No. 31 **Graack, C.:** Infrastructure Investments and Regulation in Telecommunications, July 1997.
- No. 32 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Schwarz A.:** Die Rolle des Staates in der Sozialen Marktwirtschaft bei Globalisierung der Wirtschaftsbeziehungen, August 1997.
- No. 33 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Wiegert R.:** Transformation Policies, Regulation of Telecommunications and Foreign Direct Investment in Transforming Economies, July 1997.
- No. 34 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Internationalization of Telecoms, Deregulation, Foreign Investment and Pricing: Analysis and Conclusions for Transforming Economies, July 1997.
- No. 35 **Schwarz, A.:** Subventionspolitik in den mittel- und osteuropäischen Transformationsländern: Gegenwärtige Strukturen, Probleme und Transparenzdefizite, September 1997.
- No. 36 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Hillebrand R.:** Globalisierung der Wirtschaft: Wirtschaftspolitische Konsequenzen des internationalen Standortwettbewerbs, September 1997.
- No. 37 **Stiller, H.:** Material Intensity of Transportation and Implications for Sustainable Mobility in Europe, September 1997.
- No. 38 **Gerstberger, T.; Graack C.:** Competition and Deregulation in the Japanese Telecommunications Network Industry, September 1997.

- No. 39 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Wirtschaftspolitische Flankierungserfordernisse des Euro-Starts, November 1997.
- No. 40 **Aslund, A.:** The Political Economy of Systemic Transformation and Institution-Bulding, November 1997.
- No. 41 **Guth, M.:** Regionale Beschäftigungspakte im Rahmen der EU-Strukturpolitik: Hintergrund und Einordnung, November 1997.
- No. 42 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Politische Ökonomie der Europäischen Währungsunion und Stabilitätspolitik, January 1998.
- No. 43 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Labor Costs, Unemployment and Innovation, February 1998.
- No. 44 **Addison, J.T.; Audretsch, D.B.; Gries, T.; Grupp H.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Economic Globalization, Innovation and Growth, April 1998.
- No. 45 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Euro, Währungsunion und EU-Binnenmarkt, April 1998.
- No. 46 **Addison, J.T., Schnabel, C.; Wagner J.:** Works Councils in Germany: Their Effects on Firm Performance, March 1998.
- No. 47 **Addison, J.T.; Portugal, P.:** Short- and Long-Term Unemployment, March 1998.
- No. 48 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Trade and Optimum Import Tariffs: A Note in the Context of Foreign Direct Investment, June 1998.
- No. 49 **Bohn, F.:** Monetary Union and the Interest-Exchange Trade-off, July 1998.
- No. 50 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Exchange Rate Policy for the Euro: Theory, Strategic Issues and Policy Options, July 1998.
- No. 51 **Addison, J.T.; Portugal P.:** Job Search Methods and Outcomes, July 1998.
- No. 52 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Telecommunication, Innovation and the Long-Term Production Function: Theoretical Analysis and a Cointegration Analysis for West Germany 1960-1990, August 1998.
- No. 53 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Eastern EU Enlargement: Problems, Conflicts and Policy Options, September 1998.
- No. 54 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Die russische Transformationskrise: Monetäre und reale Aspekte sowie Politikoptionen, November 1998.
- No. 55 **Graack, C.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Internationaler Technologiewettlauf, Arbeitsmarktdynamik und Unternehmensgründungsdynamik bei Standortkonkurrenz, September 1998.
- No. 56 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Liberalisierung der Energiewirtschaft in Deutschland und EU-Partnerländern, Januar 1999
- No. 57 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The Russian Transformation Crisis: Origins, Analysis and New Policy Requirements, January 1999
- No. 58 **Komulainen, Tuomas:** Currency Crisis Theories – Some Explanations for the Russian Case, May 1999
- No. 59 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Internet Market Dynamics in Germany: From a small Market towards a Strategic Sector of the Economy, May 1999
- No. 60 **Wiegert, R.:** Der russische Bankensektor im Prozeß der Systemtransformation, Juni 1999
- No. 61 **Vogelsang, M.:** How to rescue Japan: Proposal of a staggered VAT reform. Draft, May 1999
- No. 62 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The Start of the Euro, International Relations and Inflation, April 1999

- No. 63 **Sutela, P.:** Overcoming the Russian Transformation Crisis: Selected Issues and Policy Options, June 1999
- No. 64 **Bohn, F.:** The Italian Case: A Parable for the Eastern Enlargement of the EMU, July 1999
- No. 65 **Meyer, B.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Innovation – Augmented Ecological Tax Reform: Theory, Model Simulation and New Policy Implications, September 1999
- No. 66 **Gavrilencov, E.:** Crisis in Russia: Selected Problems of the Macroeconomic Performance, September 1999
- No. 67 **Steinsdorff, S. v.:** Wie demokratisch ist Rußland? Dezember 1999
- No. 68 **Pelzel, R.:** Internationalisierung der Telekommunikation, eine Vergleichsanalyse für USA, Großbritannien und Deutschland, Dezember 1999
- No. 69 **Serebryakov, G.:** Structural Change and Econometric Prospective, January 2000
- No. 70 **Bohn, F.:** Political Instability, Inflation, and International Loans, February 2000
- No. 71 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The EU and Russia: Strategic Aspects of Transformation and Integration, April 2000
- No. 72 **Jungmittag, A.:** Techno-Globalismus: Mythos oder Realität?, Juli 2000
- No. 73 **von Westernhagen, N.:** The Role of FDI in the Transition Process of Selected CIS and Eastern European Countries, September 2000
- No. 74 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Hollants, J.; Kauffmann, A.:** Mittelständische Unternehmen und das Internet: Perspektiven in Deutschland, Oktober 2000
- No. 75 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Auswirkungen einer Internet Flatrate auf Wachstum und Beschäftigung in Deutschland, März 2000
- No. 76 **Addison, J.T.:** Is Community Social Policy Beneficial, Irrelevant, or Harmful to the Labor Market Performance of the European Union?, September 2000
- No. 77 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Modern Exchange Rate Theory and Schumpetrian Economic Analysis: New Approach and Application to the Euro, June 2000
- No. 78 **Guth, M.:** From technology policy for regions to regional technology policy towards a new policy strategy in the EU, December 2000
- No. 79 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Kauffmann, A.; Vogelsang, M.:** Evaluationsbericht: Das Internet strategisch richtig nutzen, Februar 2001
- No. 80 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Transatlantische Wachstumsunterschiede, Euro-Schwäche und Finanzpolitik, Mai 2001
- No. 81 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Effects of an Internet Flat Rate on Growth and Employment in Germany, February 2001
- No. 82 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Transatlantic Growth Differentials, ICT Dynamics, Fiscal Policy and the Fall of the Euro, July 2001
- No. 83 **Wiegert, R.:** Financial Sector and Human Capital in a Long-Term Growth Perspective: The Case of Russia, July 2001
- No. 84 **Addison J.T.:** Principles of Market-Oriented Labor Market Policies; July 2001
- No. 85 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Europäische Telekomliberalisierung und Außenhandel: Theorie, Gravitationsansatz und Implikationen, Juni 2001
- No. 86 **Ponder, J.K.:** Telekommunikationssektor in Polen: Entwicklungen, Investitionsperspektiven und Regulierung, Oktober 2001

- No. 87 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens P.J.J.:** Liberalization of EU Telecommunications and Trade: Theory, Gravity Equation Analysis and Policy Implications, October 2001
- No. 88 **Bohn, F.:** Powerful Groups and Corruption, December 2000
- No. 89 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Aggregation in a Two-Sector Growth Model: A Modified Solow Approach with Cobb-Douglas Production Functions, September 2001
- No. 90 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Stabilization and Growth: A New Model, October 2001
- No. 91 **Addison, J.T.:** Principles of Market-Oriented Labor Market Policies, March 2002
- No. 92 **Jungmittag, A.:** Innovationsdynamik in der EU: Konvergenz oder Divergenz?, Eine Zeitreihen-Querschnittsanalyse, Februar 2002
- No. 93 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Wiegert, R.:** Reform des Bankensektors und Stabilität in Rußland, November 2001
- No. 94 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Mittelfristige Herausforderungen für Euroland: Stabilität, EU-Osterweiterung, Wachstum; November 2001
- No. 95 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Constitutional Issues and the Quality of Political Competition: Analysis and Implications for a Future EU Constitution, April 2002
- No. 96 **Jungmittag, A.:** Innovation Dynamics in the EU: Convergence or Divergence?, A Cross-Country Panel Data Analysis, June 2002
- No. 97 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** I&K-Technologie, Produktivität und Wachstum: Transatlantische Analyseperspektiven und wirtschaftspolitische Optionen, Juli 2002
- No. 98 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Telecommunication, Internet, Innovation and Growth in Europe and the US, August 2002
- No. 99 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Finanzpolitik zwischen Wachstumsschwäche und Maastrichter Vertrag / Stabilitätspakt: Ausgabenschwerpunkte neu setzen und kluge Steuerreform, September 2002
- No. 100 **Gavrilencov, E.:** Macroeconomic Situation in Russia - Growth, Investment and Capital Flows, October 2002
- No. 101 **Agata, K.:** Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, November 2002
- No. 102 **Blind, K.; Jungmittag, A.:** Ausländische Direktinvestitionen, Importe und Innovationen im Dienstleistungsgewerbe, February 2003
- No. 103 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Kirn, T.:** Mittelstandsentwicklung, BASEL-II-Kreditmarktprobleme und Kapitalmarktperspektiven, Juli 2003
- No. 104 **Standke, K.-H.:** The Impact of International Organisations on National Science and Technology Policy and on Good Governance, March 2003
- No. 105 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Exchange Rate Dynamics and Structural Adjustment in Europe, May 2003
- No. 106 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Jungmittag, A.; Kauffmann, A.; Schumann, Ch.:** EU Eastern Enlargement and Structural Change: Specialization Patterns in Accession Countries and Economic Dynamics in the Single Market, May 2003
- No. 107 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Überwindung der Wirtschaftskrise in der Eurozone: Stabilitäts-, Wachstums- und Strukturpolitik, September 2003
- No. 108 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Risk Pricing, Investment and Prudential Supervision: A Critical Evaluation of Basel II Rules, September 2003
- No. 109 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Ponder, J.K.:** Digital EU Eastern Enlargement, October 2003

- No. 110 **Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.:** What Have We Learned About The Employment Effects of Severance Pay? Further Iterations of Lazear et al., October 2003
- No. 111 **Gavrilencov, E.:** Diversification of the Russian Economy and Growth, October 2003
- No. 112 **Wiegert, R.:** Russia's Banking System, the Central Bank and the Exchange Rate Regime, November 2003
- No. 113 **Shi, S.:** China's Accession to WTO and its Impacts on Foreign Direct Investment, November 2003
- No. 114 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** The End of the Stability Pact: Arguments for a New Treaty, December 2003
- No. 115 **Addison, J.T.; Teixeira, P.:** The effect of worker representation on employment behaviour in Germany: another case of -2.5%, January 2004
- No. 116 **Borbély, D.:** EU Export Specialization Patterns in Selected Accession Countries, March 2004
- No. 117 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Auf dem Weg in eine europäische Informations- und Wissensgesellschaft: Probleme, Weichenstellungen, Politikoptionen, Januar 2004
- No. 118 **Markova, E.:** Liberalisation of Telecommunications in Russia, December 2003
- No. 119 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Markova, E.:** Private and Public Financing of Infrastructure: Theory, International Experience and Policy Implications for Russia, February 2004
- No. 120 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** EU Innovation Policy: Analysis and Critique, March 2004
- No. 121 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Politikberatung und empirische Wirtschaftsforschung: Entwicklungen, Probleme, Optionen für mehr Rationalität in der Wirtschaftspolitik, März 2004
- No. 122 **Borbély, D.:** Competition among Cohesion and Accession Countries: Comparative Analysis of Specialization Within the EU Market, June 2004
- No. 123 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Digitale Soziale Marktwirtschaft: Probleme und Reformoptionen im Kontext der Expansion der Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologie, Mai 2004
- No. 124 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Kauffmann, A.; Keim, M.:** Liberalization of Electricity Markets in Selected European Countries, July 2004
- No. 125 **Bartelmus, P.:** SEEA Revision: Accounting for Sustainability?, August 2004
- No. 126 **Welfens, P.J.J.; Borbély, D.:** Exchange Rate Developments and Stock Market Dynamics in Transition Countries: Theory and Empirical Analysis, November 2004
- No. 127 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Innovations in the Digital Economy: Promotion of R&D and Growth in Open Economies, October 2004
- No. 128 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Savings, Investment and Growth: New Approaches for Macroeconomic Modelling, January 2005
- No. 129 **Pospiezná, P.:** The application of EU Common Trade Policy in new Memberstates after Enlargement – Consequences on Russia's Trade with Poland, November 2004
- No. 130 **Pospiezná, P.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Economic Opening up of Russia: Establishment of new EU-RF Trade Relations in View of EU Eastern Enlargement, November 2004
- No. 131 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Significant Market Power in Telecommunications: Theoretical and Practical Aspects, September 2004
- No. 132 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** A Quasi-Cobb Douglas Production Function with Sectoral Progress: Theory and Application to the New Economy, May 2005

- No. 133 **Jungmittag, A.; Welfens, P.J.J.:** Institutions, Telecommunications Dynamics and Policy Challenges: Theory and Empirical Analysis for Germany, May 2005

## **EIIW Economic Policy Analysis**

- No. 1 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Globalisierung der Wirtschaft und Krise des Sozialstaats: Ist die Wirtschaftswissenschaft am Ende?, April 1997
- No. 2 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Nach der D-Mark kommt die E-Mark: Auf dem Weg zur EU-Währungsunion, Juli 1997
- No. 3 **Welfens, P.J.J.:** Beschäftigungsförderliche Steuerreform in Deutschland zum Euro-Start: Für eine wachstumsorientierte Doppelsteuerreform, Oktober 1998

Fordern Sie den EIIW Newsletter an: [www.euroeiiw.de](http://www.euroeiiw.de)

Please subscribe to EIIW Newsletter: [www.euroeiiw.de](http://www.euroeiiw.de)

## **Weitere Beiträge von Interesse: Titels of related interest:**

WELFENS, P.J.J.; ZOCH, P.; JUNGMITTAG, A.; BECKERT, B.; JOISTEN, M. (2005), Internetwirtschaft 2010, Perspektiven und Auswirkungen, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

APOLTE, T.; CASPERS, R.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2004), Ordnungsökonomische Grundlagen nationaler und internationaler Wirtschaftspolitik, Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius.

GAVRILENKOV, E.; WELFENS, P.J.J.; WIEGERT, R. (2004), Economic Opening Up and Growth in Russia, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

MC MORROW, K.; RÖGER, W. (2003), The Economic and Financial Market Consequences of Global Aging, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

WIEGERT, R. (2003), Transformation, Wachstum und Wettbewerb in Rußland, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

PETZOLD, L. (2003), Infrastrukturreform in Transformationsländern, Lohmar: EUL-Verlag.

LANE, T.; ODING, N.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Real and Financial Economic Dynamics in Russia and Eastern Europe, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

BARFIELD, C.E.; HEIDUK, G.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Internet, Economic Growth and Globalization, Perspectives on the New Economy in Europe, Japan and the USA, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

GRIES, T.; JUNGMITTAG, A.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Neue Wachstums- und Innovationspolitik in Deutschland und Europa, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.

D. CASSEL; P.J.J. WELFENS (Hrsg., 2003), Regionale Integration und Ostererweiterung der Europäischen Union, Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius.


ADDISON, J.T.; WELFENS, P.J.J. (2003), Labor Markets and Social Security, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.

- WELFENS, P.J.J., WIEGERT, R. (2002), Transformationskrise und neue Wirtschaftsreformen in Russland, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WESTERNHAGEN, N. VON (2002), Systemic Transformation, Trade and Economic Growth, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- AUDRETSCH, D.B., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), The New Economy and Economic Growth in Europe and the US, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), Interneteconomics.net, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- BUNTE, H.-J., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002), Wettbewerbsdynamik und Marktabgrenzungen auf Telekommunikationsmärkten, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- JUNGMITTAG, A., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2002) Internet, Telekomliberalisierung und Wirtschaftswachstum, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- SCHWARZ, A. (2001), Subventionen in Mittel- und Osteuropa, Lohmar: EUL-Verlag.
- PELZEL, R.F. (2001), Deregulierte Telekommunikationsmärkte, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), Stabilizing and Integrating the Balkans, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), Internationalization of the Economy and Environmental Policy Options, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (2001), European Monetary Union and Exchange Rate Dynamics, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- GAVRILENKOV, E., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2000), Restructuring , Stabilizing and Modernizing the New Russia, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (2000), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- JUNGMITTAG, A., REGER, G., REISS, T. (Eds., 2000), Changing Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Industry. Globalization and New Ways of Drug Development, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- GRAACK, C., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Technologieorientierte Unternehmensgründungen und Mittelstandspolitik in Europa, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- GRAACK, C., GRINBERG, R., WELFENS, P.J.J., YARROW, G. (Eds., 1999), Towards Competition in Network Industries – Telecommunications, Energy and Transportation in Europe and Russia, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- ADDISON, J.T., AUDRETSCH, D.B., GRIES, T., GRUPP, H., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Globalization, Economic Growth and Innovation Dynamics, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), EU Eastern Enlargement and the Russian Transformation Crisis, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Globalization of the Economy, Unemployment and Innovation, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1999), Economic Globalization, International Organizations and Crisis Management, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. et al. (eds., 1998), Competition in Network Industries: Telecommunications, Energy and Transportation in Europe and Russia, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- PALKINAS, P.; EICHHORN, B., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), Europäische Währungsunion: Argumente und Fakten zur Euro-Debatte, Frankfurt/Main.



- GLOEDE, K., STROHE, H.B. WAGNER, D., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), Systemtransformation in Deutschland und Rußland: Erfahrungen, ökonomische Perspektiven und politische Optionen, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- AUDETSCH, D.B., ADDISON, J.T. GRUPP, H., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1998), Technological Competition, Employment and Innovation Policy in OECD Countries, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- ADDISON, J.T., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1998), European Labor Markets and Social Security, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- GRAACK, C. (1997), Telekommunikationswirtschaft in der Europäischen Union: Innovationsdynamik, Regulierungspolitik und Internationalisierungsprozesse, Heidelberg: Physica (award-winning book).
- WELFENS, P.J.J., WOLF, H. (ed., 1997), Banking, International Capital Flows and Growth in Europe, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- BÖRSCH-SUPAN, A., VON HAGEN, J., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1996,1997), Springers Handbuch der Volkswirtschaftslehre, Band 1 und 2, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J., YARROW, G. (eds., 1996), Telecommunications and Energy in Systemic Transformation, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- GRAACK, C., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1996), Telekommunikationswirtschaft: Deregulierung, Privatisierung und Internationalisierung, Heidelberg und New York: Springer: (award-winning book).
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (ed., 1996), European Monetary Integration, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (ed., 1996), Economic Aspects of German Unification, 2. rev. and enlarged edition, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- TILLY, R., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1995), European Economic Integration as a Challenge to Industry and Government, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (1995), Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- JASINSKI, P., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1994), Privatization and Foreign Direct Investment in Transforming Economies, Aldershot: Dartmouth/Gower.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (1992), Market-oriented Systemic Transformation in Eastern Europe. Problems, Theoretical Issues and Policy Options, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- KLEIN, M., WELFENS, P.J.J. (eds., 1992), Multinationals in the New Europe and Global Trade, Heidelberg and New York: Springer.
- WELFENS, P.J.J. (1990), Internationalisierung von Wirtschaft und Wirtschaftspolitik, Heidelberg und New York: Springer.
- BALCEROWICZ, L., WELFENS, P.J.J. (1988), Innovationsdynamik im Systemvergleich. Theorie und Praxis unternehmerischer, gesamtwirtschaftlicher und politischer Neuerung, Heidelberg: Physica.


EUROPEAN INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS  
EUROPÄISCHES INSTITUT FÜR INTERNATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN



**[www.euroeiiw.de](http://www.euroeiiw.de)**

**BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT  
WUPPERTAL**

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT UND  
INTERNATIONALE MAKROÖKONOMIK



Gaußstr. 20, D-42119 Wuppertal  
[www.uni-wuppertal.de](http://www.uni-wuppertal.de)

**UNIVERSITÄT POTSDAM**

EUROPÄISCHE WIRTSCHAFT UND INTER-  
NATIONALE WIRTSCHAFTSBEZIEHUNGEN



August-Bebel-Straße 89, D-14482 Potsdam  
[www.uni-potsdam.de](http://www.uni-potsdam.de)